# NAM Catalyst Award Review Process

## Admin Review
- Conducted by NAM staff
- Criteria:
  - Eligibility
  - Relevance

## Innovation Review (General)
- 3 reviewers/application
- Assign 1 overall rating (Gold, Silver, Bronze, or Do Not Fund) based on 4 criteria:
  - Innovation
  - Impact
  - Quality
  - Scope
- Add’l assessment of:
  - Level of risk
  - Level of reward
  - Potential to reduce health disparities

## SME Review
- 2 reviewers/application
- Consider 2 criteria:
  - Technical merit
  - Feasibility
- Assign 1 overall rating (1-5 Likert scale)
- Provide qualitative comments

## Innovation Review (VC)
- 1 venture capital or entrepreneur-type reviewer/application
- Complete full Innovation Review process

## Selection Committee
- 15 SC members independently review all finalist applications w/ previous reviewer ratings
- Select top 10 most innovative, rank #1-10
- Consider previous criteria:
  - Innovation, impact, quality, scope
  - Level of risk & reward, health disparities
  - Technical merit & feasibility

---

**Applications submitted (on average, 500/year)**

**MARCH 2024**

**All applications**

**All applications except those eliminated in Admin Review**

**Finalist applications only**
(i.e., strongest 50-60 applications based on Innovation Review (General))

**SEPTEMBER 2024**

**Awardees selected**

*Dates subject to change based on number of applications received and other factors.*