MATIONAL ACADEMY OF MEDICINE



Educating Together, Improving Together

Harmonizing Interprofessional Approaches to Address the Opioid Epidemic

KATHY CHAPPELL, ERIC HOLMBOE, LAUREN POULIN, STEVE SINGER, ELIZABETH FINKELMAN, AND AISHA SALMAN, *EDITORS*

Educating Together, Improving Together: Harmonizing Interprofessional Approaches to Address the Opioid Epidemic

Kathy Chappell, Eric Holmboe, Lauren Poulin, Steve Singer, Elizabeth Finkelman, and Aisha Salman, *Editors*



WASHINGTON, DC NAM.EDU NOTICE: This publication has undergone peer review according to procedures established by the National Academy of Medicine (NAM). Publication by the NAM signifies that it is the product of a carefully considered process and is a contribution worthy of public attention, but does not constitute endorsement of conclusions and recommendations by the NAM. The views presented in this publication are those of individual contributors and do not represent formal consensus positions of the authors' organizations; the NAM; or the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2022933212

Copyright 2021 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

Suggested citation: Chappell, K., E. Holmboe, L. Poulin, S. Singer, E. Finkelman, and A. Salman, Editors. 2021. Educating Together, Improving Together: Harmonizing Interprofessional Approaches to Address the Opioid Epidemic. *NAM Special Publication.* Washington, DC: National Academy of Medicine.

"Knowing is not enough; we must apply. Willing is not enough; we must do." —GOETHE



for a healthier future



ABOUT THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF MEDICINE

The **National Academy of Medicine** is one of three Academies constituting the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (the National Academies). The National Academies provide independent, objective analysis and advice to the nation and conduct other activities to solve complex problems and inform public policy decisions. The National Academies also encourage education and research, recognize outstanding contributions to knowledge, and increase public understanding in matters of science, engineering, and medicine.

The **National Academy of Sciences** was established in 1863 by an Act of Congress, signed by President Lincoln, as a private, nongovernmental institution to advise the nation on issues related to science and technology. Members are elected by their peers for outstanding contributions to research. Dr. Marcia McNutt is president.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964 under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences to bring the practices of engineering to advising the nation. Members are elected by their peers for extraordinary contributions to engineering. Dr. John L. Anderson is president.

The **National Academy of Medicine** (formerly the Institute of Medicine) was established in 1970 under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences to advise the nation on issues of health, health care, and biomedical science and technology. Members are elected by their peers for distinguished contributions to medicine and health. Dr. Victor J. Dzau is president.

Learn more about the National Academy of Medicine at NAM.edu.

ACTION COLLABORATIVE ON COUNTERING THE U.S. OPIOID EPIDEMIC HEALTH PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING WORKGROUP

KATHY CHAPPELL (Co-Lead), American Nurses Credentialing Center ERIC HOLMBOE (Co-Lead), Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education STEVE SINGER (Co-Lead), Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education DAVID BENTON, National Council of State Boards of Nursing TIMOTHY BRENNAN, The Addiction Medicine Foundation **ROBERT CAIN, American Association of Colleges of Osteopathic Medicine** THERESA CAMPO, American Association of Nurse Practitioners **LEMREY "AL" CARTER,** National Association of Boards of Pharmacy **JIANGUO CHENG,** American Academy of Pain Medicine **DAVOREN CHICK,** American College of Physicians CHARLENE DEWEY, Vanderbilt University Medical Center **OMAR ESCONTRIAS,** American Dental Education Association **RICK GARCIA**, American Association of Colleges of Nursing **IAMES GIFFORD,** Federation of State Medical Boards LISA HOWLEY, Association of American Medical Colleges MARGARET JARVIS, Geisinger Health System **BEVERLEY IOHNSON, Institute for Patient- and Family-Centered Care RONEET LEV,** Independent Emergency Physicians Consortium LUCINDA MAINE, American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy RAY MITCHELL, Georgetown University and Liaison Committee on Medical Education **DANIEL PACE**, American Academy of Physician Assistants MARGOT SAVOY, American Academy of Family Physicians **JOY RUCKER**, Texas Harm Reduction Alliance (former) DANIEL SLEDGE, Williamson County Mobile Outreach Team

NAM Staff

Development of this publication was facilitated by contributions of the following NAM staff:

ELIZABETH FINKELMAN, Senior Program Officer AISHA SALMAN, Program Officer NOAH DUFF, Associate Program Officer EMMA FREILING, Research Assistant JENNA OGILVIE, Deputy Director of Communications MADELEINE DEYE, Senior Editorial Assistant

REVIEWERS

This special publication was reviewed in draft form by individuals chosen for their diverse perspectives and technical expertise, in accordance with review procedures established by the National Academy of Medicine.

We wish to thank the following individuals for their contributions:

 ELIZABETH BENTLEY, Kaiser Permanente
 RONALD M. CERVERO, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences
 DARLA SPENCE COFFEY, Council on Social Work Education
 HOLLY HAGLE, University of Missouri-Kansas City
 TERRI JORGENSON, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs
 KELSEY KRUSHINSKI, Baptist Memorial Hospital Memphis
 MICHELE MATTHEWS, Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences
 SUZANNE NESBIT, Johns Hopkins University

The reviewers listed above provided many constructive comments and suggestions, but they were not asked to endorse the content of the publication and did not see the final draft before it was published. Review of this publication was overseen by **AISHA SALMAN**, Program Officer, and **ELIZABETH FINKELMAN**, Senior Program Officer. Responsibility for the final content of this publication rests entirely with the editors and the NAM.

CONTENTS

1 Executive Summary, 1

2 Introduction, 3

3 Literature Review (Study 1), 8

Objectives and Methods, 8 Results, 9

4 Educational Requirements Survey (Study 2), 20

Background, 20 Objectives and Methods, 20 Data Analysis and Synthesis, 22 Results, 24

5 Discussion, 35

Collective Insights from the Literature Review, 35 Variation in Regulatory Requirements to Address Pain Management and Substance Use Disorder, 38 Limitations, 41

6 Key Priorities, 43

Key Priorities, 44 Conclusions, 52

Appendixes

- A Search Strategy, 55
- B Search Strategy, 60
- C Coding Matrix for Articles on Practice Gaps, 72
- D Survey, 76
- E Organizations Providing Links to Requirements, 80
- F State CME Requirements for Prescribers, 83
- G State CE Requirements for Nursing, 96

References, 116

BOXES AND TABLES

BOXES

1 A Call for Learning Leadership, 49

TABLES

- 1 Literature Review Research Article Composition, 9
- 2 Health Care Professionals by Type Represented in the Literature Review, 10
- 3 Specialties Represented in Literature Review, 10
- 4 Practice Environments Represented in Literature Review, 11
- 5 Domains of Practice in Literature Review, 11
- 6 Data Sources Used to Identify or Describe PPGs in the Literature, 11
- 7 Patient Populations Referred to in Literature Review Articles, 12
- 8 PPGs by Type or Stage in the Care Process Included in the Literature Review, 12
- 9 Professional Practice Gap by Category in Literature Review, 13
- 10 Summary of PPG Themes by Category, 18
- 11 Policy Themes Identified in the Literature Review, 23
- 12 Respondents by Type of Organization, 24
- 13 Health Care Professional Type by Jurisdiction, 25
- 14 Organizational Focuses, 26
- 15 Requirements by Focus Area, 26
- 16 Organizations' Number of Requirements for Treating Acute and Chronic Pain by Type of Health Professional and Stage of Training, 28
- 17 Count of Policies by Category, 29
- 18 Focus and Type of Policy by Organization Category, 30
- 19 Professional Practice Gaps Identified from Peer-Reviewed Literature, 36
- 20 Summary of Requirements and Policies of Regulatory Agencies, Certifying Boards, and Accrediting Organizations, 39-41
- Taking Action on the Key Priorities—Who Can Affect Change and How?, 53

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ABMS	American Board of Medical Specialties
ACCME	Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education
APRN	advance practice registered nurse
BIPOC	Black, Indigenous, and people of color
BTP	breakthrough pain
CDC	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CE	continuing education
CLO	Chief Learning Officer
CME	continuing medical education
CMS	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
CPD	continuing professional development
DC	District of Columbia
DDS	doctor of dental surgery
DMD	doctor of medicine in dentistry
DO	doctor of osteopathic medicine
DSCSA	Drug Supply Chain Security Act
EHR	electronic health records
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
FHIR	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources
FSMB	Federation of State Medical Boards
HHS	U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
IPCE	interprofessional continuing education
MAT	medication-assisted treatment
MD	medical doctor
MOUD	medication for opioid use disorder

NAM	National Academy of Medicine
NCCPA	National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NQF	National Quality Forum
ORC	Opioid Regulatory Collaborative
OUD	opioid use disorder
PA	physician assistant
PDMP	prescription drug monitoring program
PPGs	professional practice gaps
Project ECHO	Project Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes
REMS	risk evaluation and mitigation strategies
RN	registered nurse
SAMHSA SBIRT SUD(s)	Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration screening, brief-intervention and referral to treatment substance use disorder(s)

CHAPTER 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The United States is in the midst of an urgent and complex opioid crisis. To address how education and training can more effectively respond to this crisis, we must have a better understanding of problems in practice—or professional practice gaps—for health professionals and teams in practice. A coordinated response requires identifying and addressing professional practice gaps (PPGs) related to pain management, opioid use disorder (OUD), and other substance use disorder (SUD) care, as well as integrating evidence-based best practices into health professional education and training curricula across the continuum from undergraduate training into post-graduate continuing education (ACCME, n.d.-c). In this publication, a PPG is the difference between health care processes or outcomes observed in practice, and those potentially achievable on the basis of current professional knowledge. As part of the National Academy of Medicine's (NAM's) Action Collaborative on Countering the U.S. Opioid Epidemic, the Health Professional Education and Training Workgroup, led by Kathy Chappell, Eric Holmboe, and Steve Singer, created this Special Publication to serve as a resource to assist multidisciplinary stakeholders in developing a more coordinated and comprehensive health education system that supports interprofessional practice and improves patient- and family-centered care. This Special Publication presents two major information gathering efforts to assess and better understand the current health professional education environment: the first is a comprehensive literature review, and the second is a survey of the regulatory landscape.

The literature review identified persisting PPGs across five health professions that are part of the pain management and SUD workforce: medicine, nursing, physician assistant, dentistry, and pharmacy. Of the 310 articles included in the literature review, 83% discussed physician practice (unspecified, medical doctor [MD], or doctor of osteopathic medicine [DO]), 40% focused on the primary care/outpatient care setting, and 66% concentrated on chronic pain management. Data sources used to identify or describe PPGs were predominantly descriptive and self-reported (63%) and the most common PPGs involved opioid prescribing or tapering (93%). Major causes for PPGs were gaps in clinical knowledge (40%), attitudes and biases (30%), or failure to use/lack of available evidence-informed tools and resources (26%). Key themes include unexplained differences in prescribing practices between

groups of clinicians, the presence of harmful negative attitudes or biases held by health care professionals toward patients or the interprofessional team, and reports of insufficient time or resources and health system constraints exacerbating PPGs. Validation surveys were also conducted with clinicians and health systems (n=44) to confirm the findings of the literature review and to identify any potential areas that were not captured in the published, peer-reviewed literature.

The survey of the regulatory landscape included responses from a total of 62 unique organizations (national, state, or other) responsible for requirements, standards, or policies. Responses were sorted by policy type, organizational focus, and requirement focus areas. Across the pain management and SUD domain, the majority of organizations did not have requirements; only 47% and 31% of organizations reported having requirements or standards for health care professionals that address acute or chronic pain management or SUD respectively. Visual breakdowns of respondents for both pain management and SUD surveys are available in the relevant chapters. The survey data are indicative of fragmentation across the regulatory environment, as the surveyed organizations focus on different aspects of regulation without substantial coordination. There is a need for regulatory entities to work together to develop requirements and standards that support the complex and variable needs of health care professionals and the diverse patient populations they serve.

The results of the literature review and requirements survey underscore the need to collaboratively develop a harmonized interprofessional, person- and family-centered approach for the continuum of health professions education to more effectively address the opioid crisis. The Health Professional Education and Training Workgroup identified five key action-oriented priorities to support the goal identified above. Key priorities include the following:

- 1. Establish minimum core competencies in pain management and SUDs for all health care professionals, and support evaluating and tracking of health care professionals' competence;
- 2. Align accreditors' expectations for interprofessional collaboration in education for pain management and SUDs;
- 3. Foster interprofessional collaboration among licensing and certifying bodies to optimize regulatory approaches and outcomes;
- 4. Unleash the capacity for continuing education to meet health professional learners where they are; and
- 5. Collaborate to harmonize practice improvement initiatives.

This work brings into focus the opportunity to meet the complex challenges of the opioid crisis by optimizing the environment for health professionals' continuous learning and improvement. This education imperative requires greater interprofessional collaboration between regulators, certifying bodies, accreditors and health professions educators. Addressing the "epidemic within the pandemic" is the focus of this publication, but the authors recognize that key themes—system-wide engagement, learning leadership, harmonization and alignment, recognizing complex and individualized needs—can and should be applied to other complex and intractable health imperatives.

CHAPTER 2 INTRODUCTION

ADDRESSING THE EPIDEMIC WITHIN A PANDEMIC

Between 1999 and 2019, nearly 500,000 individuals living in the U.S. died from an overdose involving an opioid (CDC, 2021b). The devastation of this crisis persists, as the number of individuals living in the U.S. who died from a drug overdose reached an all-time-high of 100,000 recorded in the 12 month period ending in April 2021—surpassing the 2019 figures by more than 21,000 deaths (NCHS, 2021). Of these deaths, nearly 75 percent involved an opioid (Ahmad et al., 2021). Among the most significant barriers to combating the overdose epidemic in the United States is ensuring patients have access to affordable and evidence-based substance use disorder treatment. Of the 21.6 million people aged 12 or older with an SUD, only 12.2 percent received treatment in an appropriate facility in 2019 (SAMHSA, 2020).

The global spread of SARS-CoV-2 and the resulting coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic have exacerbated the overdose epidemic. Based on provisional data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), reported drug overdose deaths in the U.S. increased by 29.4 percent in 2020—the largest single-year increase since 1999 (Ahmad et al., 2021). Already disproportionately burdened by the worst effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, including the rising rates of morbidity and mortality, food insecurity, and unemployment, these overdose-related deaths have largely been shouldered by the economically disadvantaged as well as Black, Indigenous, and people of color (BIPOC), further widening existing health disparities (CBPP, 2021; CDC, 2020a; Haley and Saitz, 2020; Khatri et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2021).

Over a year since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the U.S. health care system continues to grapple with fundamental challenges in training and educating its health care workforce amid a pandemic, ensuring health care providers can practice safely and with appropriate personal protective equipment, that care can be delivered both in-person and virtually, that health care professional students have access to clinical training sites, and that organizations can manage enormous financial strain. The impact of COVID-19 has exacerbated health care inequity for at-risk populations and has created

a perfect storm—a "crashing of crises"—for those already reeling from the existing opioid crisis (Alexander et al., 2020; Becker and Fiellin, 2020; Khatri and Perrone, 2020). National and local efforts to "flatten the curve" and reduce mortality for COVID-19 simultaneously and dramatically interrupted the care delivery system for those impacted by the opioid crisis.

While addressing a global pandemic is critical, health care providers and educators also cannot lose sight of the urgency of the opioid crisis and the adverse impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, which will exacerbate drug overdose, death by suicide, and substance use-related morbidity and mortality in significant ways for, potentially, years to come (Volkow, 2020).

The Role of Health Care Professionals

For more than 20 years, the etiology of the opioid crisis has included the intersection of industry misconduct (Hoffman and Benner, 2021), harmful regulatory missteps (Bonnie et al., 2019), discriminatory and stigmatizing drug policies (Jordan, Mathis, and Isom, 2020), and health care and public health lapses that continue to this day (Jones et al., 2018). Sparked by overprescribing in its first decade and accelerated by illicit opioids, the crisis has raged on with immeasurable costs.

Addressing health professional practice has been at the center of the U.S. response to the opioid crisis, though largely through a regulatory approach and with a much smaller effort toward establishing specific competencies for health care professionals and incorporating relevant education across the continuum of health professions education. Concerted efforts to reduce opioid prescribing by clinicians have yielded steady declines—including a 20 percent reduction from 2012 to 2015 in annual prescribing rates for opioid prescriptions of <30 days' supply—but may have unintended consequences in that patients experiencing addiction who have been denied opioids may seek illicit sources with dire consequences (Guy et al., 2017).

Even though synthetic opioids (i.e., fentanyl) are currently contributing more than any single drug to mortality, overdose deaths that include prescription opioids—among other drugs—continue at staggering levels and accounted for more than 100,000 overdose deaths recorded in the 12 month period ending in April 2021, the greatest yearly toll of any year (CDC, 2021c). The majority of overdose deaths that involved prescription opioids also included non-opioids (cocaine, methamphetamine, and benzodiazepines). A significant contributor to opioid misuse is individuals who obtain opioids from friends and family, which creates a more complicated environment that extends beyond simply addressing responsible prescribing (Gladden et al., 2019). Far from a post-opioid phase of the crisis, psychostimulants and benzodiazepines are filling the gap left by concomitant decreases in prescription opioids, indicating that polypharmacy is emerging in the complex environment of substance use as a growing cause of mortality (CDC, 2020b; Gladden et al., 2019; Tori, Larochelle, and Naimi, 2020).

Safer opioid prescribing is important but not sufficient to curtail the crisis. The enormity of challenges faced by the health care workforce cannot be overstated. Most clinicians are receiving insufficient or inadequate training to manage pain and substance use for their patients (IOM, 2011; Office of National

Drug Control Policy, 2004). Beyond individual competence, care teams struggle to implement evidencebased approaches that require interprofessional care coordination. System-based challenges, such as the use of data and technology systems and barriers in payment and reimbursement, compound the complex web of factors that must be addressed (Englander et al., 2017; Mackey et al., 2019; Makris et al., 2014). As research efforts, such as the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded *Acute to Chronic Pain Signatures,* continue to elucidate new approaches for managing pain and SUDs, the need for education to inform and re-shape health professional practice and care delivery is ongoing (NIH Office of Strategic Coordination - The Common Fund, n.d.). When considering the unique needs of individual health care professionals and teams—specific to practice setting—the difficulty of evolving practices, in competition with numerous other practice-based priorities, is apparent. To achieve and sustain the efforts to address the substance abuse epidemic in the midst of COVID-19 leaves health care professionals with the impossible imperative of "we must do better with less."

THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF MEDICINE'S ACTION COLLABORATIVE ON COUNTERING THE U.S. OPIOID EPIDEMIC

The National Academy of Medicine's Action Collaborative on Countering the U.S. Opioid Epidemic (the Collaborative) is a public-private partnership committed to sharing knowledge, aligning ongoing initiatives, and addressing complex challenges that require a collective response from public and private actors. It is comprised of over 60 member organizations from health care systems, federal agencies, state and local governments, community organizations, patient organizations, provider groups, payors, industry, academia, nonprofits, and persons with lived experience.

The Collaborative endeavors to identify unmet needs and develop and disseminate evidence-based, multi-sector solutions designed to reduce rates of opioid misuse and improve outcomes for individuals, families, and communities affected by the crisis. The Education and Training Workgroup of the Collaborative includes members across academia, federal agencies, health education and accreditation organizations, health professional associations, health systems, nonprofit institutions, the private sector, as well as practicing clinicians and persons with lived experience. The interprofessional Workgroup is helping its members to recognize the biases and limitations of professional silos, and by doing so, creating opportunities for creative problem-solving.

GOALS FOR THIS PUBLICATION

The Education and Training Workgroup has considered the complex ecosystem of current efforts to address the opioid crisis across health professions. All stakeholders, including people with lived experience, educators, regulators, certifying agencies, and accreditors, are actively engaged in this work. The organizing principle for this work became optimization and harmonization by providing support and guidance to this community.

The Workgroup asked:

- How do we determine if the solutions being developed are accurately matched to problems in practice?
- Where are additional resources and efforts warranted, and where are they contributing to inefficiency?
- How can we improve the acuity and impact of supportive efforts while eliminating unproductive variation and redundancy?

The Workgroup determined that it must begin with understanding the current environment for health care professionals in practice through an educational lens with the following objectives:

- Identify and highlight professional practice gaps for health care professionals that currently exist in relation to acute and chronic pain management and SUDs; and
- Analyze current accreditation, certification, and regulatory requirements for health professions education that foster competency in acute and chronic pain management and SUDs.

Professional practice gaps were identified as critical to understanding the current environment as they reflect the difference between health care processes or outcomes observed in practice, and those potentially achievable on the basis of current professional knowledge. Identifying a PPG does not presuppose the reason why it exists, but rather identifies a gap that requires further analysis or research to better understand. Education providers use PPGs as the basis for conducting needs assessments to identify possible contributors to those gaps, and to design and evaluate education to close the gaps.

Analysis of current accreditation, certification, and regulatory requirements were identified as critical to understanding the current environment as they set standards for professions across the continuum, from undergraduate to postgraduate and, ultimately, clinical practice. Examining what requirements and policies do and do not exist can help regulatory organizations identify areas for improvement of standards and programs to promote more effective education and practice in pain management and SUD care. Regulators can also use the results of the analysis to not only identify intra-organizational gaps and needs, but also identify opportunities for harmonization across professions and organizations.

By describing these insights from the environment of educational requirements and current practice gaps, the Workgroup was able to identify strategies to help health professions educators and stakeholders optimize their ongoing responses to the opioid crisis and model collaborative methods that can be used to address education and training needs for other complex public health imperatives.

To address these objectives, the Workgroup conducted a literature review to identify PPGs across five health professions: medicine (allopathic (MD) and osteopathic (DO)), nursing (registered nurse (RN) and advanced practice registered nurse (APRN)), physician assistant, dentistry (doctor of dental surgery (DDS) and doctor of medicine in dentistry (DMD)), and pharmacy (pharmacists and pharmacy techni-

cians). Field experts then validated these gaps. Concurrently, the group also disseminated an educational requirements survey to accreditation, certification, and licensure organizations to collate current regulatory policies or requirements for acute and chronic pain management and SUD care training.

It is important to note that the five professions selected for this analysis were not meant to imply that there are not very well-qualified experts from other health professions caring for patients with pain and SUDs. These professions were chosen because they represent a large number of prescribers or health care professionals in the current workforce.

CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW (STUDY 1)

OBJECTIVES AND METHODS

Search Design and Strategy

First, the Workgroup and National Academies' Research Center (the Research Center) developed a search term matrix that was used for the literature review. The Research Center then searched electronic databases (Embase, MEDLINE, PubMed, and Scopus) to identify peer-reviewed articles. In addition, a search of the internet was conducted to identify reports in the grey literature (government, consensus, and white papers) that could contribute to the overall understanding of PPGs. The search was limited to articles (peer-reviewed or government reports) that were published in English in the United States between 2009 and 2019. Search terms reflected the five identified professions—medicine (MD and DO), nursing (RN and APRN), physician assistant, dentistry (DDS and DMD), and pharmacy (pharmacists and pharmacy technicians)—as well as relevant treatment and conditions, health care professional competencies, collaboration with patients and families, and patient outcomes (see *Appendix A*).

Of the 822 articles initially identified using the above criteria, perspective and editorial articles (213) and articles not available in full text (62) were excluded from the sample, as the Workgroup decided to focus analysis on research articles and articles that described quality improvement projects. The remaining 547 articles underwent abstract screening, of which only US-based research studies that focused on professional practice gaps among practicing clinicians were included, or 310 of the 547 original articles. The decision to review US-based research studies was made because the Action Collaborative is focused on the opioid crisis In the United States.

Coding and Analysis

Members of the Workgroup then developed inclusion criteria, including a working definition of what constitutes a professional practice gap, to select articles from the initial search for analysis (see coding

inclusion criteria matrix in *Appendix C*). The working definition of a PPG was based on the cited Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) definition, which states that PPGs are the difference between health care processes or outcomes observed in practice and those potentially achievable on the basis of current professional knowledge. The Workgroup conducted a reliability study to evaluate interrater reliability among coders for inclusion in the review. Seven members of the Workgroup, whose professional backgrounds reflected all of the professions included in the search terminology, independently reviewed 10 randomly selected articles. Determining whether the article described a PPG was the area of greatest variation among coders and was addressed through group discussion and consensus for rationale among the team members. For example, an article that described differences in how physicians and nurse practitioners prescribed opioids was classified by four of six reviewers as meeting inclusion criteria for describing a PPG (difference in practices between two professions), while two reviewers were unsure. By reviewing and reinforcing the definition of a PPG, Workgroup members were able to resolve discrepancies.

An independent research team with expertise in coding and analysis was subcontracted to complete the article coding, using the matrix developed by the Workgroup. The research team was led by a doctorally prepared, tenured university professor with extensive expertise in this type of analysis.

Results

Quantitative

A total of 310 articles (310/547; 57%) met the inclusion criteria for this review. The predominant reason articles were excluded was that they failed to describe a professional practice gap (n = 86; 36%). *Table 1* summarizes research article composition. The research articles reflected research or quality

improvement studies and were classified as quantitative, qualitative, or mixed methods.

Type of Research Article	Count	Percentage
Quantitative	197	63.50%
Qualitative	61	19.70%
Mixed methods	52	16.80%
Total	310	100.00%

TABLE 1 | Literature Review Research Article Composition

Table 2 describes health care professionals by type represented in the literature review. Physicians were the most common health care profession, followed by nurses, pharmacists, physician assistants, and dentists.

Professions by Type	Count	Percent
Physician Total (unspecified, MD and DO)	257	82.9%
Nursing Total	67	21.6%
Nursing (APRN)	41	13.2%
Nursing (unspecified)	24	7.7%
Nursing (RN)	12	3.9%
Pharmacy (pharmacist)	41	13.2%
Physician assistant	28	9.0%
Dentistry (DDS and DMD)	15	4.8%
Pharmacy (pharmacist technician)	1	0.3%
Other professions, such as behavioral health, educators, and residents	25	8.1%
Specialty of one of the above	134	43.2%
Profession not specified	13	4.2%

TABLE 2 Health Care Professionals by Type Represented in the Literature Review

Overall, areas of specialty were indicated by 43% of respondents (see *Table 3*). The majority of articles reflected practice of physicians only, but 20% of articles included analysis of two professions. A small number of articles included more than two professions.

TABLE 3 Specialties Represented in Literature Review

Specialties	Count	Percent of Total Respondents
Specialty Total	134	43.2%
Primary care	28	9.0%
Internal medicine	22	7.1%
Family medicine	22	7.1%
Pain management	25	8.1%
Surgery	21	6.8%
Emergency medicine	17	5.5%
Psychiatry	15	4.8%
Addiction medicine	9	2.9%
Community or clinical pharmacy	12	3.9%
Pediatrics	7	2.3%
Orthopedics	7	2.3%
Other	22	7.1%
Profession not specified	13	4.2%

As seen in *Table 4*, the articles in this review described practices in a variety of care settings, including primary care/outpatient, acute care/inpatient, clinic/outpatient-unspecified, community/outpatient, clinic/inpatient, and other. The most common care setting was primary care/outpatient.

Practice Environments	Count	Percent
Primary care/outpatient	124	40.00%
Acute care/inpatient	84	27.10%
Not described practice environment	61	19.70%
Clinic/outpatient	59	19.00%
Community/outpatient	54	17.40%
Clinic/inpatient	48	15.50%
Other practice environment	39	12.60%

 TABLE 4
 Practice Environments Represented in Literature Review

Table 5 describes the domains of practice included in the literature review. Chronic pain management was the most common domain of practice. Additional domains of practice included acute pain management, substance use disorders, and other practice domains.

 TABLE 5
 Domains of Practice in Literature Review

Domains of Practice	Count	Percent
Chronic pain management	205	66.10%
Acute pain management	110	35.50%
Substance use disorders	71	22.90%
Other practice domain	16	5.20%

Table 6 summarizes the types of data sources included in the literature review. Data sources used to identify or describe PPGs were predominantly descriptive self-reports. Other data sources included medical record and other. Categories were not mutually exclusive; therefore, one article could include multiple types of data sources.

 TABLE 6
 Data Sources Used to Identify or Describe PPGs in the Literature

Data Sources	Count	Percent
Descriptive, self-report	195	62.90%
Medical record	121	39.00%
Other data source used to identify gap	36	11.60%
Data source not described	7	2.30%

As seen in *Table 7*, the predominant patient population referred to in the articles reviewed was adult. Other patient populations included "across the lifespan" and pediatric.

TABLE 7	Patient Populations Referred to in Literature Review Articles
---------	---

Patient Populations	Count	Percent
Adult	231	74.50%
Patient population not described	43	13.90%
Across the lifespan	23	7.40%
Pediatric	17	5.50%

Table 8 summarizes PPGs by type or stage in the care process. The articles in this review most commonly reflected PPGs associated with prescribing or tapering opioids. Additional types or stages included monitoring, screening/assessment, non-pharmacological treatment, identification/diagnosis, prescribing non-opioids, referral, and other. Categories were not mutually exclusive; therefore, one article could include multiple types or stages in the care processes.

 TABLE 8
 PPGs by Type or Stage in the Care Process Included in the Literature Review

Type or Stage in Care Process	Count	Percent
Treatment: Prescribing/tapering	287	92.60%
Monitoring	30	9.70%
Other type or stage in care process	28	9.00%
Screening/assessment	25	8.10%
Treatment: Non-pharmacological	23	7.40%
Identification/diagnosis	13	4.20%
Treatment: Prescribing non-opioids	10	3.20%
Referral	8	2.60%

The majority of articles cited gaps in clinical knowledge attitudes and biases, and/or the use of (failure to use/lack of available) evidence-informed tools and resources as the root causes for the identified PPGs (see *Table 9*). Communication with patients/families, constraints in the practice setting, and/or communication with other members of the health care team were also cited as PPGs. Categories were not mutually exclusive; therefore, one article could include multiple types of PPGs. Coders also captured qualitative data into two additional categories that reflected (1) health care professionals and patients/families; and (2) the environment where care is delivered.

PPG Gap Categories	Count	Percent
Clinical knowledge; not aware of what the best practice(s) is/are	124	40.30%
Attitudes and biases	93	30.20%
Use of evidence-informed tools and resources	79	25.60%
Other gaps	79	25.60%
Communication with patients/families	40	13.00%
Constraints in practice setting	37	12.00%
Communication with other members of the care team	19	6.20%

TABLE 9 Professional Practice Gap by Category in Literature Review

A summary of the qualitative data is presented below.

Qualitative

Qualitative data captured from the articles in this review contribute to a deeper understanding of PPGs among the five represented health professions. The Workgroup co-leads extrapolated qualitative data from the articles reviewed and entered those data into the coding matrix under two major categories: (1) PPGs related to health care professionals and patients/families, and (2) PPGs related to the environment where care is delivered. The Workgroup co-leads then, by consensus, grouped the data based on the description of the PPG and organized the data thematically in order to facilitate a logical presentation for the reader. The qualitative data are presented in the two major categories below, and each category contains several themes (see *Table 10*).

Category 1: Professional practice gaps related to health care professionals and patients/families

Health Care Professionals Theme 1: Education and Training

PPGs attributed to the education and training of health care professionals can be further categorized into gaps related to competency, perceived lack of evidence, and lack of access to existing evidence. Gaps related to the competency of health care professionals included descriptions such as: lack confidence and/or training to prescribe opioids and/or to use a multi-modal approach to control pain (Andrilla, Coulthard, and Patterson, 2018; Carey et al., 2018; Choo et al., 2016; Jamison et al., 2016; Jamison et al., 2014; Keller et al., 2012; Lum et al., 2011; Phelan et al., 2009; Regunath et al., 2016; Samuels et al., 2016); problems converting between different opioids (McCalmont et al., 2018); inconsistent medical education related to addiction assessment and ability to identify drug diversion (McCauley et al., 2019); and lack of experience in prescribing opioids (Barry et al., 2010; Khalid et al., 2015; Samuels et al., 2016).

Health Care Professionals Theme 2: Guidelines

Guidelines were another area of PPGs that reflected a lack of competence in the clinical setting. Articles cited unawareness of an evidence-based guideline or lack of application of guidelines by health care professionals as key gaps (Goesling et al., 2018; Mafi et al., 2015; McCalmont et al., 2018; McCann et al., 2018; Mehta et al., 2010; Morse et al., 2011; Starrels et al., 2011). Specifically, articles described health care professionals who reported a willingness to perform opioid harm reduction interventions, but did not provide these services to their patients (Samuels et al., 2016); who reported that they had implemented evidence-based guidelines, but rates of drug screening and specialty referral remained low (Chen et al., 2016); and those who chose to use a clinical impression or personal preference for prescribing opioids despite the available evidence-based guideline (Irvine et al., 2014; Park et al., 2019).

Health Care Professionals Theme 3: Lack of Evidence, Tools, or Resources

Health care professionals reported a lack of high-quality evidence for prescribing opioids or co-prescribing sedatives and opioids, and tools that were not user-friendly (Franklin et al., 2013; Gaither et al., 2016; Huang and Kuelbs, 2018; Kircher et al., 2014; Kraus et al., 2015; Larochelle et al., 2015; Leverence et al., 2011; Linnaus et al., 2019; Morse et al., 2011). Health care professionals also reported not knowing risk mitigation strategies for prescribing opioids, including how to screen patients for SUDs, how to provide patient education, and types of prescription drug diversion programs that were available as resources (McCarthy et al., 2016; Reid et al., 2010).

Health Care Professionals Theme 4: Attitudes or Biases

A number of articles described negative attitudes or biases held by health care professionals toward patients. Findings indicated health care professionals may exhibit negative attitudes and biases toward patients who have chronic pain and depression, who have illicit benzodiazepine use, who use Medicaid insurance to pay for an office visit, and who have an opioid-using spouse. (Hirsh et al., 2014; Knudsen et al., 2018).

Health care professionals also expressed concern about prescribing opioids due to the potential for addiction and side effects (Leong et al., 2010; Lum et al., 2011); fear of causing harm to the patient (Jamison et al., 2016; Leong et al., 2010; Linnaus et al., 2019; Lum et al., 2011; Macerollo et al., 2014; Schuman-Olivier et al., 2013); concern of opioid misuse by family members or caregivers (Spitz et al., 2011); and acknowledging patients' concerns with the stigma of medications for OUD (i.e., methadone) (Shah and Diwan, 2010). Some health care professionals reported that the patient or family was reluctant to try an opioid to control pain (Spitz et al., 2011).

Health Care Professionals Theme 5: Lack of Interprofessional Collaboration, Interest, and Trust

Health care professionals reported a lack of interprofessional collaboration in the care of patients with SUDs or chronic pain (Mehta et al., 2010). There was also a reported lack of interest from some health care professionals for prescribing opioids (Barry et al., 2010). Finally, lack of trust was a theme

in some articles with health care professionals describing challenges in trusting the patient's description of pain and the subjectivity of pain scales, sometimes manifested as the health care professional not documenting the pain score in the medical record (Brown et al., 2015; Calcaterra et al., 2016; Mehta et al., 2010; Regunath et al., 2016).

Health Care Professionals Theme 6: Differences in Prescribing Practices

Differences in prescribing practices between groups was also a common theme in the literature reviewed. Different practices can be categorized into two general areas: provider type and type of pain. In the literature review, differences in prescribing practices were found between physicians and APRNs (Franklin et al., 2013; McCalmont et al., 2018; Muench et al., 2019); physicians and physician assistants (Ganem et al., 2015); primary care physicians and pain specialists (McCarberg et al., 2013); resident physicians and attending physicians (Khalid et al., 2015); and junior and senior resident physicians (Linnaus et al., 2019). The root cause of the differences in prescribing patterns was not well understood.

There were differences noted in prescribing practices for patients who had different types of pain. Specifically, there were differences in prescribing practices between patients who had acute versus chronic pain (Larochelle et al., 2015), and between patients who had unclassified pain versus a known pain source (e.g., fibromyalgia vs. broken bone) (Romanelli et al., 2017). There were also differences between patients who experienced breakthrough pain (BTP). For example, patients reported lower BTP in the community setting as compared to the pain clinic setting, and patients reported more episodes of BTP for non-cancer pain as compared to cancer-related pain (Portenoy et al., 2010).

Patients and Families Theme 1: Patient Demographics

There were significant differences in prescribing practices that reflected patient demographic variables, including age (Grasso et al., 2017; Monitto et al., 2017; Okunseri et al., 2015; Reid et al., 2010; Shah, Hayes, and Martin, 2017); gender (Chen et al., 2011; Grasso et al., 2016; Manchikanti et al., 2013; Monitto et al., 2017; Murphy, Phillips, and Rafie, 2016; Oliva et al., 2015; Ringwalt et al., 2014; Romanelli et al., 2017; Shah, Hayes, and Martin, 2017); race (Chen et al., 2011; Grasso et al., 2016; Moskowitz et al., 2011; Okunseri et al., 2015; Rasu and Knell, 2018; Ringwalt et al., 2014; Ringwalt et al., 2015; Romanelli et al., 2017); socioeconomic status (Platts-Mills et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2017); geographic location (area of United States; rural vs non-rural) (McDonald, Carlson, and Izrael, 2012; Rasu and Knell, 2018; Shah, Hayes, and Martin, 2017); and payor type (private insurance, public insurance or no insurance) (Okunseri et al., 2015; Rasu and Knell, 2018; Romanelli et al., 2017; Shah, Hayes, and Martin, 2017). There were also differences in prescribing practices between patient populations (e.g. geriatric versus orthopedic; surgery versus medical) and between civilian and active duty military patients (Ganem et al., 2015; Mehta et al., 2010). There were differences in prescribing practices for patients with past medical histories that included chronic pain and/or SUDs as compared to patients who did not have similar past medical histories, and between patients who had comorbidities of mental illness as compared to those who did not (Grasso et al., 2016; Grasso et al., 2017; Nugent et al., 2017; Rasu and Knell, 2018).

Patients and Families Theme 2: Patient-Reported Differences

Patient-reported differences in how their pain was treated were also prevalent in the literature. Patients reported gaps that included undertreatment of their pain (McCauley et al., 2014) and using the emergency department for pain management (McCauley et al., 2014). Patients reported not being provided treatment options for substance dependence (McCauley et al., 2014); general lack of access to therapy services for pain and SUDs (Nugent et al., 2017; Penney et al., 2017); and lack of a structured process for tapering dosages (Penney et al., 2017). Patients reported that physicians spent insufficient time educating them on pain management (McCauley et al., 2014); presenting them with alternative pain management options (Phelan et al., 2009); or discussing the risks of opioid dependence (Phelan et al., 2009). Patients also reported not being included in decision-making processes around their own pain management (Penm et al., 2019).

Category 2: Professional practice gaps related to the environment where care is delivered

Care Environment Theme 1: Challenges for Prescribers due to Organizational Policies

Articles also described challenges in relation to patient or organizational goals. For example, a hospital may implement a strict policy on prescribing opioids. An overly strict policy might conflict with the needs or goals of the prescribing health care provider, depending on the population of patients cared for by the provider. Finally, providers may have concerns that their income and employment would be impacted by low patient satisfaction scores if they did not prescribe opioids requested by patients or if patients' pain was not well controlled (Henry et al., 2018; Penm et al., 2019).

Care Environment Theme 2: Burdens on Health Care Professionals

Insufficient time and resources in the practice setting were commonly cited as contributing to PPGs. Authors described providers struggling with competing tasks (Barry et al., 2010; Behar et al., 2017; Bergman et al., 2013; Harle et al., 2015; Hawkins et al., 2017; Huhn and Dunn, 2017; Kohlbeck et al., 2018; Kraus et al., 2015; McCann et al., 2018); high administrative burden (Kahler et al., 2017; Shugarman et al., 2010); excessive cognitive load (Burgess et al., 2014); and institutional pressure to reduce hospital re-admissions and discharges (Calcaterra et al., 2016) as negatively impacting their ability to manage patients with SUDs or OUDs. Providers also cited fear of litigation, in particular fear of the medicolegal consequences related to opioid diversion and fraud as significant concerns (Andraka-Christou and Capone, 2018; Calcaterra et al., 2016).

System-related constraints that contributed to PPGs were also common. These constraints can be categorized into five overarching areas: insurance coverage, mandatory continuing education, lack of referral resources, lack of institutional guidelines, and issues with data interoperability.

Care Environment Theme 3: Insurance Coverage

Descriptions of constraints related to health insurance coverage included whether the patient was covered by an insurance policy or not, and if covered, what specific treatment was covered under the policy. Articles cited low reimbursement rates and limited or no insurance coverage for mental health services and addiction counselors as constraints (Andraka-Christou and Capone, 2018; Barry et al., 2010; Behar et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2019; Huhn and Dunn, 2017).

Care Environment Theme 4: Mandatory Continuing Education

Regulatory restrictions were cited as a constraint that contributed to PPGs. Descriptions of these types of constraints included concern that physicians would not be willing to comply with the mandatory continuing education requirements for prescribers of extended-release and long-acting opioid medication under the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) Risk Mitigation and Evaluation Strategies (REMS) requirements, which would decrease the number of physicians eligible to prescribe opioids controlled by REMS requirements (Slevin and Ashburn, 2011). Another constraint cited was requirements related to buprenorphine waivers (Rosenblatt et al., 2015; Stein et al., 2015). However, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) loosened buprenorphine waiver requirements in April 2021, allowing eligible medical professionals to treat up to 30 patients with buprenorphine without completing the federal certification process (HHS, 2021). Articles also described lack of planning at the state level to address adequate numbers of providers who could prescribe controlled substances to meet population health needs as a constraint in the practice setting (Sera et al., 2017).

Care Environment Theme 5: Lack of Referral Resources

Lack of available referral resources across multiple health care settings was cited as contributing to PPGs. Articles described insufficient numbers of mental health services practitioners, addiction counselors, and pain management specialists as constraining health care practitioners ability to care for patients with OUD or other SUDs (Andrews et al., 2013; Andrilla, Coulthard, and Patterson, 2018; Barry et al., 2010; Leverence et al., 2011; Morse et al., 2011; Wiznia et al., 2017). Articles also recognized that lack of available referral resources were particularly challenging for rural providers of care (McCalmont et al., 2018; McCann et al., 2018).

Care Environment Theme 6: Lack of Institutional Guidelines

Lack of institutional guidelines or resources were described by a number of articles as contributing to PPGs. They described lack of standardization in opioid prescribing within organizations (Huang and Kuelbs, 2018; Raneses et al., 2019; Regunath et al., 2016; Ringwalt et al., 2014; Schwartz et al., 2018); how lack of institutional standardization manifested in practice variations, such as more liberal opioid prescribing practices in the emergency department as compared to other departments in the institution; prescribing practices that were medical or surgical specialty dependent; and institutions that had a "prescribing culture" (Gernant, Bastien, and Lai, 2015; Gugelmann et al., 2013; Irvine et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2017; Raneses et al., 2019).

Care Environment Theme 7: Data Interoperability

Data interoperability, or lack of, was identified as a health care system constraint that contributed to PPGs. Articles cited the large volume of clinical notes that were not accessed by providers due to time constraints and questioning the efficacy of electronic health record order sets as challenges related to data use (Kahler et al., 2017; Luk et al., 2016). In addition, lack of integration between prescription drug monitoring programs and the electronic health record, especially across state lines, was identified as being particularly problematic (Perrone, DeRoos, and Nelson, 2012).

Additionally, patient-related constraints in the practice setting was another source that contributed to PPGs.

Care Environment Theme 8: Patients

Constraints in the practice setting that were patient-related included logistics issues (e.g., patient cannot make the medical appointment), and lack of opportunity for a provider-patient relationship in some settings (e.g., the emergency department) (Chambers et al., 2016; McCauley et al., 2014; Nugent et al., 2017).

Table 10 provides a summary of the PPG categories and corresponding themes identified above.

PPG Category	Themes			
PPGs related to health professionals and pa- tients/families	 Education and training Guidelines Lack of evidence, tools, or resources Attitudes and biases Lack of interprofessional collaboration, interest, and trust Differences in prescribing practices Patient demographics Patient-reported differences 			
PPGs related to the environment of care delivery	 Challenges due to organizational policies Burdens on health care professionals Insurance coverage Mandatory continuing education Lack of referral resources Lack of institutional guidelines Data interoperability Patients 			

TABLE 10 | Summary of PPG Themes by Category

Validation Results

Validation surveys were also conducted for three weeks, from mid-September to early October 2020. The objective was to confirm the findings of the literature review and to identify any potential areas that were not captured in the published, peer-reviewed literature. The target audiences included practicing clinicians and organizations with national data on clinical practices and outcomes.

The surveys were distributed through the Collaborative's email lists, using a convenience sampling method. A total of 44 respondents completed the surveys, of which 24 were clinicians and 20 were individuals responding on behalf of their organizations. The surveys included statements about clinical practice that pulled from the quantitative and qualitative data from the literature review. Both clinicians and organizations indicated how reflective the statements were of their experience and findings, respectively. The clinician survey, in particular, asked respondents to indicate if the statements were reflective of their own practice and/or the practices of their colleagues. In addition, the clinician survey included an opportunity to enter professional practice gaps that were missing from the literature review. Respondents from the clinician survey reported PPGs across the following categories: systems (86.00%), treatments and resources (54.00%), attitudes and biases (49.00%), practice variation of undetermined origin (48.40%), and those pertaining to health care professionals (41.60%). These results varied across each category when individual clinicians described statements reflective of their peers. Respondents from the organization survey reported PPGs across the following categories: systems (88.20%), practice variation of undetermined origin (83.50%), health care professionals (70.30%), attitudes and biases (62.90%), and treatment and resources (62.60%). Notably, results from the clinician and organization surveys both described systems as the greatest contributor to PPGs. Additionally, results from the organization survey were higher values across each category of PPGs when compared to the results from the clinician survey.

Given the discrepancy in responses between statements that were reflective of individual clinician practice compared to the practices of colleagues and organizational findings, the survey data may be indicative of response bias. In addition, the small number of respondents and the non-random sampling method are significant limitations of the validation survey results. While the number of respondents to the validation survey was very low, the data are included for transparency and for the opportunity for researchers to consider replicating in the future.

Concurrently with the literature review and validation survey, the Workgroup conducted an analysis of health care professional requirements related to opioid use and SUD across accrediting, certifying, licensing, and regulatory bodies of the five identified health professions. Chapter 4 describes the process and outcomes used to collect, analyze, and aggregate those results.

CHAPTER 4 EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENTS SURVEY (STUDY 2)

BACKGROUND

Regulatory agencies and organizations, whether legislative (e.g., state licensing boards) or involved in professional self-regulation (e.g., accreditation and certification) can play a supportive and facilitating role in addressing PPGs in OUD/SUDs and pain management practices. There is currently a myriad of regulatory agencies across the multiple health professions. Additionally, a lack of or discordant regulatory standards and practices may also serve as barriers to addressing the opioid crisis. The purpose of this evaluation was to gain an initial understanding of the regulatory landscape with regards to educational requirements and standards using a web-based survey approach. The goal of this survey was not to be comprehensive, but rather to develop an initial taxonomy of themes and practices among a heterogeneous group of regulators to guide subsequent work and initiatives of the collaborative. The high-level results provide the reader an overview of the multifaceted and fragmented health professions regulatory systems and the complexities that ensue from the design of the current systems. This overview, when integrated with the literature review, can begin to link the PPGs with specific components and activities of the regulatory systems.

OBJECTIVES AND METHODS

Survey Design and Strategy

Members of the Health Professional Education and Training Workgroup developed an online survey primarily to obtain a high-level scan of regulatory policies and requirements for a) acute and chronic pain management and b) substance use disorder (see *Appendix C*). There is a lack of consistent distinction between OUD and SUDs across requirements, standards, and policies. Thus, in order to comprehensively capture data, the survey questions focused on SUDs. The survey, conducted between August

2019 and February 2020, specifically targeted the Collaborative's regulatory member organizations in accreditation, certification, and licensure. The identities of survey respondents were anonymized.

A two-stage process was used to create the survey sample. First, members of the Collaborative were asked to complete the survey on behalf of their organization as a convenience sample. Second, members of the Collaborative were asked, using a partial snowball technique, to recommend other related organizations to complete the survey. Briefly, a snowball sampling technique allows one participant to recommend another participant sequentially to help ensure a broader pool (Patton, 1990). Snowball sampling is particularly suited to assessing the landscape of a current state of affairs. A single individual completed the survey on behalf of their organization. The specific health professions sampled included: 1) nursing (including advanced practice nurses); 2) medicine (allopathic and osteopathic physicians); 3) dentistry (including professionals with DDS and DMD degrees, dental assistants, and hygienists; 4) pharmacy (including pharmacists and pharmacy technicians); and 5) physician assistants. If a regulatory organization was responsible for other professions, the organization could list these professions.

Policy Review

In addition to answering the survey questions, survey respondents could provide their policies, standards, or requirements for health care professionals (students, residents, fellows, or practicing health care professionals), learners, and trainees in two domains—acute and chronic pain management and SUDs. This was performed to complement the survey and provide more detail and examples around specific policies that provided additional context to the quantitative survey results. Twenty-two of the respondents provided their policies on treating acute and chronic pain, and 19 provided their policies on treating patients with SUDs. This information provided some additional insights into the nature of the activities and policies of the responding organizations (see *Appendix D*).

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion in the analysis required that the policy be from a regulatory agency, state licensing board, or accreditor, and be a patient- or provider-related outcome. Policy was defined as a legislative or administrative action, such as a law or regulation that directly targeted opioid use. For example, naloxone access laws are a legislative action in that they intend to affect naloxone access by increasing who has access to prescribe, dispense, and possess naloxone. Prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMPs) are also included because they are often established through a formal governmental or regulatory action.

This analysis is restricted to the policies provided by the survey respondents and does not consider any organizational laws, state policies, or federal laws that were not provided by participants. Human resource policies, insurance policies, editorial pieces, and peer-reviewed studies were excluded from this review.

Data Extraction

Walt and Gilson's policy triangle framework was the basis for this analysis as policy themes were characterized into content, context, actors, and process (Walt and Gilson, 1994). This framework was originally proposed in 1994 and was designed to help the health policy field extend its focus beyond just the content of policy to include the actors, context, and processes of the policy. The framework places the actor at the center of the triadic and interdependent relationship between content, process and context. This framework enables the analysis of the content of the policy; the actors involved in the decision making; the process by which the policy was started, articulated, and communicated; and the contextual factors that influenced the policy. This framework can be used retrospectively, which allows researchers to understand the full context of the policy-making process.

To synthesize the findings, each extraction sheet (i.e., structured abstraction tool) was read and coded using analysis techniques from primary qualitative studies. The extraction summaries were loaded into the software program NVivo in the form of individual documents. Each document was then read on a line-by-line basis, and a code was assigned to chunks of text in line with primary qualitative data analysis methods. Following the coding of the documents, the data within each code were reviewed for consistency by a researcher.

DATA ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS

The initial stages of survey analysis (conducted by Lauren Poulin and Eric Holmboe, both working on behalf of the Collaborative) encompassed a thematic analysis of the survey data involving an iterative, interwoven process of data acquaintance, data reduction, data presentation, and summarizing. Miles and Huberman's approach was chosen for guiding the initial stages of analysis because their analytic techniques are recommended for putting collected data in case studies in order before detailed analysis (Miles and Huberman, 1994; Yin, 2014). In essence, each policy was treated as a case study. Through each round of review and subsequent coding, differences and similarities in policies were tracked and concepts were linked into main themes. Key themes were reviewed as they developed and additional searches through the text were conducted using related keywords to see if the context changed by the regulatory agency. For example, as continuing medical education (CME) requirements were analyzed, the use of CME with professional development (competency-based medical education, continuing nursing education, continuing professional development, etc.) and role titles (e.g., provider, educator, professional, facilitator, provider, coordinator, physician) were traced. Similarly, the concepts "organization," "system," and "environment" were searched back to see how they were used over time, for example, in the context of policies for trainees versus licensed providers.

After reading through the policies, the following themes emerged from the supplemental literature review on policies and requirements within the regulatory organizations and agencies (see *Table 11*):

TABLE 11 | Policy Themes Identified in the Literature Review

Policy	Requirement
Drug supply management policies	Drug supply management policies outline steps to build, electronic systems to identify and trace certain prescription drugs as they are distributed in the United States. These policies include supply chain laws, regulations on drug processing, dispensing, and the public and private regulation of opioids (Dowell, Haegerich, and Chou, 2016).
Policies addressing patient behavior	These policies include provider education and resources on treating patients with a history of opioid or alcohol use, using other resources to guide patient treatment decisions, guidelines for addressing stigma, patient family and caregiver education, transitions of care, safeguarding against diversion, collaborating with communities, using data to inform policies and interventions, and advocacy and policy (AAFP, 2012).
Policies addressing patient health	These policies and guidelines directly address patient health. They include treat- ment options for OUDs, non-opioid pain treatment options, supporting medica- tions for opioid use disorder (MOUD) treatment, strategies to decrease opioid prescribing, dosage adjustment strategies, and using more conservative prescrib- ing practices (SAMHSA and Office of the US Surgeon General, 2016; Hah, 2018).
Continuing medical education requirements	State continuing medical education requirements for pain management or con- trolled substances mandate that health care professionals (e.g., doctors, nurses, dentists, etc.) receive training in opioid prescribing, addiction, or related topics (Davis and Carr, 2016).
Pain management clinics	Pain management clinic policies regulate facilities that primarily manage and treat chronic pain by imposing operational, personnel, inspection, and other requirements on clinics (Andraka-Christou et al., 2018).
Opioid prescribing guidelines	Opioid prescribing guidelines provide recommendations to providers on opioid prescribing practices. Guidelines vary but typically include opioid selection, dosage, duration, titration, and discontinuation; screening tools; written treatment agreements; and urine drug testing (Dowell, Haegerich, and Chou, 2016).
Doctor shopping laws	Doctor shopping refers to a patient obtaining controlled substances from multiple health care prescribers without the providers' knowledge of the other prescriptions (Sansone and Sansone, 2012).
PDMPs	A prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) is an electronic database that tracks controlled-substance prescriptions dispensed in a state. PDMPs can be used as a clinical tool to help identify patients who may be at risk for adverse consequences associated with high-risk prescription opioid receipt (CDC, 2021a).
Naloxone access	Naloxone is an opioid antagonist designed to reverse opioid overdose rapidly. Naloxone access laws are designed to increase access to naloxone among those in a position to administer the medication in the event of an overdose (Davis and Carr, 2015).
Opioid addiction treatment	This category includes policies that influence access to treatments for opioid addiction, such as MOUD and residential treatment guidelines (Livingston et al., 2021; Stewart et al., 2019).

- drug supply management policies,
- policies addressing patient behaviors (e.g., use of multiple providers),
- policies addressing patient health (e.g., treating patients with a prior history of opioid use, treatment visits),
- continuing medical education requirements,
- rules related to pain management clinics,
- opioid prescribing guidelines,
- doctor shopping laws,
- PDMPs,
- naloxone access laws, and
- policies affecting opioid addiction treatment.

States with an authorizing statute but no active PDMP were coded as not having a PDMP.

RESULTS

Respondents

A total of 66 individuals responded on behalf of their organizations. For four organizations, two individuals responded concomitantly, leaving a total of 62 unique organizations responding to the survey. Duplicates for these four surveys were deleted for the quantitative analysis after ensuring the responses were concordant; however, comments from both respondents were retained for qualitative review.

Table 12 provides an overview of the types of responding organizations. Respondents could choose more than one category.

NOTE: Please see *Appendix E* for more information on each type of organization.

The "Other" category includes four membership organizations, three testing organizations, and one local governmental organization. Most of the accrediting and certifying bodies worked predominantly at the national level while all licensing bodies were state level.

Category	National	State	Other	Total
Accrediting Bodies	18	1	0	19
Certifying Bodies	12	2	0	14
Licensing Bodies	0	23	0	23
Regulatory Bodies	1	21	2	24
Other	6	1	1	8

TABLE 12 Respondents by Type of Organization

Health Professional Role	National	State	Total
Registered nurse	6	20	26
Advanced practice registered nurse	10	20	30
Allopathic physician	8	2	10
Osteopathic physician	8	2	10
Pharmacist	4	3	7
Pharmacist technician	3	2	5
Physician assistant	5	2	7
Dentist (DDS degree)	4	9	13
Dentist (DMD degree)	4	9	13
Dental hygienist	3	10	13
Dental assistant	1	5	6

TABLE 13 Health Care Professional Type by Jurisdiction

Health Professions

Table 13 provides a breakdown of the health professions included by national or state-level jurisdiction.

National organizations were well represented in the survey. For example, all the accreditors involved in physician education responded to the survey. The data from state-level organizations are a subset of all health professions licensing bodies in the United States. Of note is the large proportion of non-physician organizations, representative of the overall U.S. health care system. *Table 13* also stands in contrast to the literature review on practice gaps where the bulk of the studies focused on physicians, highlighting the need to broaden the focus of future studies of clinical practice in pain management and substance use disorder.

Organizational Focus

The focus of the responding organizations spanned the spectrum from the individual practitioner to institutional entity (e.g., university or professional school, health care delivery institution, continuing education provider). Despite the sampling strategy, the survey did capture relevant categories of organizational focus among regulators. *Table 14* provides the breakdown of the organizational focuses among respondents. Respondents could choose more than one focus area.

TABLE 14 | Organizational Focuses

Focus	Number of organizations (%)
Individual provider	45 (73%)
Program (e.g., undergraduate, graduate, post-graduate)	32 (52%)
Organization (e.g., university, school, health system)	12 (19%)
Educational activity	16 (26%)

Requirements

The two main questions from the survey focused on pain management and SUD, and read as follows:

- 1. Does your organization currently have requirements/standards for health care professionals (students, residents, fellows, or practicing health care professionals) that address acute and chronic pain management?
- 2. Does your organization currently have requirements for health care professionals (students, residents, or practicing health care professionals) that address SUDs?

Table 15 provides the overall proportion of organizations with requirements in these two domains who responded to the survey.

TABLE 15 Requirements by Focus Area

Focus Area	Yes	No or Unsure
Acute and chronic pain management	29 (47%)	33 (53%)
Substance use disorder	19 (31%)	43 (69%)
Organization (e.g., university, school, health system)	12 (19%)	
Educational activity	16 (26%)	

In both domains, the majority of organizations surveyed did not have requirements. The requirements vary depending on the role of the organization in the overall heterogeneous system that includes licensing, certification, and accreditation, and whether the organization is a private entity versus a public regulatory agency. The requirements ranged from including specific questions on examinations, educational activity requirements (e.g., CME credits), and standards around the teaching of the domains and mandating specific amounts of continuing education in both of the domains. Specifically, when requirements existed, certifiers were likely to include questions on examinations, accreditors to specify mandates around the need for curriculum in the domain, and licensing entities to mandate some form of continuing education.

Acute and Chronic Pain Management

Even though 53 percent of organizations questioned self-reported that they did not have standards to address acute and chronic pain management, all 50 states have standards (laws, policies, regulations, and/or guidelines) for medical professionals around controlled substances. (Davis; Federation of State Medical Boards Pain Management Policies Board-by-Board Overview) Twenty nine respondents that noted they do not have standards to address acute and chronic pain management are state-affiliated licensing or certifying boards across nursing, pharmacy, and allied dental health. While these organizations may not have any standards directly set in place that does not mean they do not have to adhere to the policies of the states. Twenty-three states and the District of Columbia (DC) have requirements, either in policy, regulations, or board guidelines for medical practitioners to obtain a certain number of continuing education hours in one or more of the following areas: prescribing controlled substances, pain management, and identifying SUDs. Twenty-seven states do not have these policies in place and leave it up to the state health professions licensing board while some other states mandate the training by statute. Again, organizations that do not have policies in place for the medical populations that they govern does not mean that there are no policies in force. Of note, the survey was distributed in 2019 while the state policy analysis was performed in 2020. It is possible some changes had occurred at the state level after the respondents completed the survey or that the respondents were simply unaware of their state policies. While we cannot make definitive conclusions, a reasonable hypothesis emanating from these findings may be the need for better education within regulatory organizations regarding their evolving policies.

State laws regulating pain management clinics may impose supervision or oversight requirements over providers. A July 2019 article from JAMA pointed out that at least six states with high opioid use rates also have substantial work restrictions that restrict NPs from prescribing medications to treat OUDs (Spetz et al., 2019).

The Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act of 2016 (Pub L No. 114-198), while not explicitly mentioned by the participants in the survey, addresses professional standards for health care professionals in pain management, the use of electronic databases, and continuing education for medical professionals around pain and chronic pain management.

Table 16 provides an overview of the number of health professions organizations and specific stage of training or practice that have requirements in place for treating acute and chronic pain.

Substance Use Disorder (SUD)

Organizations with requirements for treating SUDs appeared to use common program requirements (e.g., accreditation), rules on prescribing practices, and general guidelines for recognizing and working with people who have SUD. Of those who do have standards around SUD, five organizations target students, four target residents and fellows, seven target practicing health care professionals regarding continuous professional development and/or education, three target credentialing of practicing providers, and finally, seven involve licensure.

Many accreditation organizations do not have these requirements because they refer to state guidelines.

There also appeared to be some confusion as to whether the survey was asking about substance use for professionals or substance use for patients. For example, the New Hampshire Office of Professional Licensure and Certification responded to the following in the open response area: "The New Hampshire Health Professionals Program is a program available to all NH licensed physicians, physician assistants, dentists, pharmacists, and veterinarians who are experiencing difficulties with: depression, anxiety or other mental health issues alcohol, drugs, or other substances of abuse professional burnout or workrelated conflict stress related to a bad outcome or malpractice claim marital or family life matters. "

Other organizations, such as the National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants (NCCPA) and the Florida Board of Nursing, made similar comments.

	Training Level and Practice				
Type of Health Professional		Resident	Fellow	Expectations of Practicing Health Care Professionals	
	Student			Participation in CME/CPD	Required for Licensure
Allopathic physician	1	4	4	3	2
Osteopathic physician	1	4	3	3	3
Registered nurse	1	1		5	10
APRN	2	1	1	6	10
Pharmacist				2	2
Pharmacist technician				2	2
Physician assistant				2	2
Dentist (DDS)	2	2	1	4	6
Dentist (DMD)	2	2	1	4	6
Dental hygienist	2	2	1	4	6
Dental assistant	1	1		2	3

TABLE 16 | Organizations' Number of Requirements for Treating Acute and Chronic Pain by Type ofHealth Professional and Stage of Training

Policy Review

Table 17 depicts the total policies that were submitted by the organizations that participated in this survey—21 were policies addressing chronic pain management and 20 were policies addressing SUDs. Only one policy document was not considered in the final review because it was a policy specifically for providers who possess a SUD. A complete table of policies is included in *Appendix E*.

Table 18 provides a sum of each area of policy provided by survey participants. Policies could touch on multiple areas of SUDS, chronic pain management, and education for providers.

The following sections provide an overall summary of the evidence evaluating each area of policy. As is detailed later in this report, these policies have different outcomes and vary greatly from organization to organization. All the policies provided shared at least one characteristic with another policy in this review and there were significant overlaps in policies that address patient behavior and health. Many of these policies provided are meant to be used in combination with other policies. Professional society guidelines, while generally not legally enforceable, do educate their members on state statutes by working with state medical boards. All the policies provided by those who completed the survey referenced other policies from both the federal and state level. Due to the nature of this analysis, those referenced policies were not included.

Characteristic	Number of Policies
Total policies	41
Chronic pain management	21
Substance use disorders	20
Policies addressing patient behavior	36
Policies addressing patient health	41
Continuing medical education requirements	38
Doctor shopping laws	9
Drug supply management policies	29
Naloxone access laws	3
Opioid prescribing guidelines	22
PDMPs	10
Policies affecting opioid addiction treatment	5

TABLE 17 Count of Policies by Category

Focus of Law	Accrediting	Certifying	Licensing	Regulatory	Number of Policies
Naloxone access laws	1	1	0	1	3
Policies affecting opioid addiction treatment	1	0	3	1	5
Doctor shopping laws	5	0	3	1	9
PDMPs	0	0	8	2	10
Substance use disorders	5	3	2	10	20
Chronic pain management	3	1	8	9	21
Opioid prescribing guidelines	1	0	12	9	22
Drug supply management policies	3	2	14	10	29
Policies addressing patient behavior	12	4	14	6	36
Continuing medical education requirements	11	4	13	10	38
Policies addressing patient health	12	4	14	11	41

 TABLE 18
 Focus and Type of Policy by Organization Category

Policies Addressing Patient Behavior

Several health care regulatory organizations have implemented risk reduction initiatives aimed at addressing patient behavior. The policies identified by survey respondents on patient behavior varied depending on whether the policies addressed drug use, misuse, dependence, initiation, or health care use. Policies also varied between use of prescription drugs as directed and prescription drug misuse or abuse. Four state licensing entities have statutes that specifically outline how to provide treatment for patients who have OUD related to prescription drugs.

Every state licensing board and regulatory agency that replied to the survey asks providers to consult PDMPs to help determine and monitor patient behavior before prescribing opioid analgesics. All states also have requirements for counseling patients on opioid use before writing a prescription. The State of Louisiana is the only state that responded to the survey that asks clinicians working in emergency departments to consult with PDMPs before prescribing opioid analgesics to admitted patients. All the accrediting bodies who responded to the survey have guidelines around provider education when treating patients with a history of SUD (e.g., opioids and alcohol). The Board of Dental Examiners of Alabama and Commission of Dental Accreditation had further requirements for providers who are actively treating patients who have SUDs or family histories of addiction.

Five of the policies submitted and reviewed were written to address patient health outcomes, such as health care professionals providing non-opioid options during their consultations, transition of care guidelines, or guidelines for talking to patient families or caregivers about opioid use. Other behavioral policies include Good Samaritan Overdose Prevention statutes, data use policies for providers, and guidelines for community health clinics. Good Samaritan drug overdose laws "provide immunity from arrest, charge, or prosecution for drug possession or paraphernalia when individuals who are experiencing or witnessing an overdose summon emergency services."

Policies Addressing Patient Health

The policies addressing patient health delineate governmental administrations and privately operated organizations, such as accreditors. In the current literature, state policy seems to focus on addressing proper prescription of opioids while national accreditors have written policies that address more general patient concerns. For example, while a state's regulatory authority may have a particular interest in reducing addiction and mortality caused by overdose or prescription opioid use, a national accreditor may have an interest in developing policies around reducing addiction and mortality caused by both prescription and illicit opioid use.

Regardless of the organization, there are four similarities in policies that are worth noting:

- 1. the facilitation and development of community health programs;
- the encouragement of providers to use combination drugs (e.g., prescribing buprenorphine and naloxone to decrease possible misuse of opioids) for the management of OUD, increasing Medicaid recipient access to non-opioid treatment, reducing negative side effects of prescriptions (including those related to inappropriate opioid/benzodiazepine prescribing), and reducing disparities in utilization;
- 3. the use of referral programs; and
- 4. the use of alternative pain management methods for acute and chronic pain management.

Continuing Medical Education Requirements

All but three organizations that responded to the survey had guidelines for provider training in pain management and/or controlled substance prescribing as a condition of obtaining or renewing their medical license or to specialize in pain management. However, CME requirements differ between organizations and their areas of jurisdiction. Accrediting bodies only provided content guidelines for CME. These same accrediting bodies either created their own best practices before the release of the CDC guidelines for opioid prescriptions in 2016, or subsequently responded to the release of the guidelines by incorporating foundational components addressing key decisions encountered during clinical pain management (Dowell, Haegerich, and Chou, 2016).

All state-level licensing bodies have CME requirements for pain management or controlled substances that mandate providers receive postgraduate training in opioid prescribing, addiction, and/or related topics. Only the state of Vermont, the state of Florida, and the Alaska State Board of Nursing had requirements for all their prescribers, regardless of training, to obtain periodic CME/CE on topics such as pain management, controlled substance prescribing, or SUDs. However, these requirements represent a small fraction of the total required CME. For example, the Alaska State Board of Nursing has a minimum requirement of 30 hours of continuing education (CE) with only 2 hours in opioids, pain management, and OUD. The state of Florida has a two-hour mandatory training requirement (e.g., online educational module, other continuous professional development) for authorized prescribers in Florida, including physicians (MD and DO), dentists, podiatrists, and optometrists. Physician assistants and APRNs are excluded from this requirement due to the fact they must take a 3-hour mandatory course on safe and effective prescribing of opioids to maintain licensure.

Similar CME requirements apply to providers who are licensed to prescribe or dispense controlled substances, including providers working in Michigan, Utah, and Vermont. There are variations in these requirements as well. For example, Vermont requires Drug Enforcement Administration registered physicians who prescribe controlled substances receive 2 hours of CME training every 2 years on prescribing controlled substances and 1 hour of CME on hospice pain management or palliative care. The Florida and Texas State Boards of Nursing require providers who practice in pain management clinics or with certain populations to obtain CE in pain management or controlled substance prescribing. Finally, all the state licensing and certifying bodies who responded to the survey impose CME requirements based on a provider's licensure type, practice setting, or patient characteristics. Two states (Florida and Oklahoma) have requirements specific to osteopathic physicians. *Appendix C* provides the CME requirements by state for physicians and *Appendix D* provides the requirements for nursing.

Doctor Shopping Laws

Anti-doctor shopping policies among those who responded to the survey were extremely limited in scope and scale. Only two organizations provided policies that mention doctor shopping laws of the nine respondents who mentioned the existence of doctor shopping policies. All mentioned legal provisions that include licensing penalties or criminal sanctions for the following: patients seeking opioids from multiple providers, patients having their prescriptions filled at more than one pharmacy, patient collusion, and non-reporting by patients regarding their doctor shopping. All nine policies included information regarding the use of PDMPs to track patients receiving prescriptions from five or more providers.

Drug Supply Management Policies

All 29 policies provided by those who completed the survey on drug supply management were state statutes that were supported through the Drug Supply Chain Security Act (DSCSA) and Medicaid state

laws and policies. These policies include regulatory actions (e.g., medication plan protocols) and state statutes that affect private and/or public regulation of opioids. State law fluctuates around rates of prescribing opioids and states have different laws around the prescribing of pharmaceuticals. The policies provided in this survey mostly covered patient safety, drug compounding, drug supply chain security, and laws governing drug transactions in pharmacies.

All of the policies provided by survey respondents had components that limit opioid prescriptions by restricting the quantity and/or dosage or by imposing prior authorization requirements. Ten state licensing boards directly require prescribers to use a PDMP program before prescribing opioids to patients. For example, Michigan's DSCSA state statute asks prescribers and pharmacists to consult a PDMP before prescribing or dispensing opioids to patients.

Naloxone Access Laws

All three policies submitted from licensing boards around overdose education and naloxone distribution were geared toward primary care providers and pharmacists and all were passed in 2018 or 2019. The naloxone laws submitted include the elimination of legal and financial barriers for patient access to naloxone (i.e., creating wider insurance coverage), Good Samaritan laws, and educational programs for providers to learn about naloxone distribution and overdose treatment. All three policies allowed for the distribution of naloxone by a pharmacist over the counter to a patient without a prescription and all three policies were operating in states that permit over-the-counter sales of syringes.

Opioid Prescribing Guidelines

There was significant variation in the policies evaluated around opioid prescribing guidelines. All policies submitted included treatment guidelines for acute pain management, management of pain in the context of terminal illness or at the end of life, and the management of chronic pain not due to a malignancy. State and professional organizations have issued clinical guidelines for the use of opioids (initiating, monitoring, and dosing) in each of these categories. The issuance of these guidelines is accompanied by patient education, community outreach, and CME to foster implementation.

PDMPs

Seven state licensing boards had requirements for the use of PDMPs. Because states regulate the practice of medicine and the licensure of physicians within their borders, each state had different requirements for practitioners. These programs are all administered by law enforcement agencies in conjunction with the state licensing boards. Prescribers, including nursing assistants, residents, and nurse practitioners all have access to PDMP data for their patients. All seven states are permitted to share their PDMP data with other state PDMPs and with authorized users in other states. All state licensing boards had weekly reporting requirements for licensed prescribers. Alaska, Delaware, Florida, and Utah have state statutes mandating mental health and substance use professionals to submit patient information to a PDMP database. Only one state—Oklahoma—had real-time PDMP reporting as of April 2017.

Policies Affecting Opioid Addiction Treatment

Due to the limited number and variation in the policies evaluated, systematic evaluation of the similarities and differences in policies around opioid addiction treatment is not possible. All five policies submitted by those who completed the survey referenced the CDC Guidelines for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain, but they seemed to have different interpretations of those guidelines. Delaware, Florida, and Louisiana all had policies and procedures that outlined guidelines for OUD. The State of Alaska referenced the use of Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes) to increase provider training and build treatment capacity for SUDs in rural areas and for patients who do not have direct access to traditional treatment programs. The program connects health care providers in rural areas with specialists at a central hub via teleconferencing technology to provide support in patients' care management (UAACHD, n.d.).

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION

COLLECTIVE INSIGHTS FROM THE LITERATURE REVIEW

To advance education and training approaches to address the opioid crisis, the Workgroup reviewed 10 years of published, peer-reviewed literature categorizing the nature and magnitude of PPGs and the results of a survey of more than 60 organizations that comprise the regulatory, certifying, and accreditation oversight of the continuum of education and practice for the medicine, nursing, physician assistant, dentistry, and pharmacy professions. The literature review of PPGs elucidated both a quantitative and qualitative understanding of barriers that separate current practices from optimal practices, summarized in *Table 19*. Addressing these specific gaps can help to improve practice and patient, family, and system outcomes.

Health Care Providers

Health care providers as described in the literature review are struggling. They are managing multiple and competing priorities, complicated by high patient volumes and acuity. Many lack access to userfriendly tools and resources at the point of care and struggle to identify and/or implement evidencebased guidelines to support patient-care decisions. They lack sufficient numbers of interprofessional team members to effectively manage patients with OUD and SUDs. They need access to data that can be easily shared and accessed across multiple platforms. They need consistent policies that are uniformly implemented and reinforced. They also need to feel safe when managing patients, and not fear that their jobs are threatened when managing patients' pain. Health care providers also need to develop effective communication strategies with other members of the health care team, and with patients and their families.

Individuals	Teams	Institution or Practice Setting
 Challenges related to screening and assessment Challenges related to identifica- tion/diagnosis Challenges related to prescribing/ tapering opioids Lack of knowledge, experience, or strategies for prescribing non- opioids Lack of knowledge, experience, or strategies for prescribing non- pharmacological approaches (e.g., physical therapy, counseling, etc.) Differences in prescribing prac- tices by patient age, gender, race, socioeconomic status, geographic location, patient population, co- morbidities, payor type Difference in prescribing practices by provider type and type of pain Inability to navigate or effectively use practice resources Difficulty monitoring across prac- tices Availability of referral for pain management and SUD care Negative attitudes toward patients and families Fear of causing harm or added stigma for patients and families Lack of effective communication strategies for providers and pa- tients Patient-reported undertreatment of pain, insufficient time with health care provider, lack of shared decision making Fear of litigation related to opioid diversion and fraud 	 Negative attitudes toward and by interprofessional teams Lack of interprofessional collaboration Lack of interest in prescribing opioids among members of team Lack of team trust of pain patients Lack of effective communication strategies for health care teams 	 Conflicting organizational goals and provider/patient goals Concern about impact of negative assessments (surveys) from patients of organization Insufficient resources (time, guidelines, etc.) Administrative burden in providing non-opioid care and tracking Presence of insurance and/or reimbursement barriers (e.g., mental health services, addiction counselors) Regulatory restrictions, including mandatory continuing education, such as in risk evaluation and mitigation strategies (REMS) and buprenorphine waiver training Data interoperability for Electronic Health Records (EHR), PDMP Lack of access to appropriate care or referral for pain management and SUD at institution, particularly for rural care Lack of institutional guidelines or standardized practices for opioid prescribing

TABLE 19 Professional Practice Gaps Identified from Peer-Reviewed Literature

Attitudes and Biases

The review of the literature revealed a number of concerns related to attitudes and biases that appear to negatively impact patient outcomes or the patient experience. Articles reported that gaps in practice were associated with patients who had comorbid conditions that included chronic pain, SUD, addiction, mental illness, and depression. In addition, health care providers were concerned about the social stigma associated with prescribing methadone and the fear of causing harm to patients and/or their families by prescribing opioids. Lack of trust was a theme in some articles, which was related particularly to the subjectivity of pain and pain scales.

It is important to note that reviewed articles did not report race in relation to attitudes or biases held by health care providers, nor was there evidence that health care providers self-identify their own attitudes or biases in relation to race. Yet, there is abundant evidence that there are reported differences in treatment of patients as it relates to race (Santoro and Santoro, 2018; Singhal, Tien, and Hsia, 2016). This is a critical area of research and investigation, as it is well known and supported by the literature that self-reported pain from BIPOC patients is often taken less seriously than the self-reported pain of White patients (Meghani, Byun, and Gallagher, 2012).

Treatment and Resources

The literature review found that health care providers struggle more often with treating patients with chronic pain compared to patients with acute pain. They were also more comfortable treating pain with a known origin, such as a broken bone, compared to pain from a difficult-to-identify source. Pain was more often treated in the outpatient setting than the inpatient setting. Health care providers expressed a need for education, tools, and resources to support efficacious prescribing practices, particularly in the areas of tapering doses, converting different types of opioids (e.g., from short-acting to long-acting and vice versa, converting from opioids of different potency), and efficacious use of non-opioid strategies concurrently with or in lieu of opioids.

Practice Variation of Undetermined Origin

Differences in prescribing practices between groups were prevalent in the literature. There were differences in prescribing practices among members of different professions (physician, APRN, PA); between different specialties within a profession (primary care physician versus pain specialist physician); and between levels of experience within a profession (early career versus late career). These differences may reflect experience levels with specific types of pain treatment modalities or how health care professionals are trained and socialized within a profession. There was significant practice variation that reflected patient demographic variables (age, gender, race, socioeconomic status, geographic location, and insurance type) that were not attributed to a specific cause. While some practice varia-

tion may be reasonable—for example, treating a 25-year-old with a broken bone versus an 80-year old with a broken bone would likely require different strategies—differences in prescribing for race or socioeconomic status raise concerns. Overall, although SUDs were included as a domain of practice, the literature on related PPGs was limited when compared to pain management. In addition, data regarding practice variation, or lack thereof, in dentistry was limited in this review of the literature and should be further investigated.

System Issues

The literature review identified a number of issues at the system level that negatively impacted the ability of health care providers to effectively treat patients' pain. Insurance reimbursement issues were cited as one significant barrier, including lack of insurance and insurance coverage that did not cover recommended services. Health care providers also identified that inadequate numbers of health care professionals in critical areas, such as mental health, addiction or specialty pain management, resulted in failure to meet patients' needs and/or inability to receive these critical services.

Health care providers described practice variations within organizations and across professions that reflected a lack of standardization in treating patients' pain and cited this as a contributing factor to gaps in care. Finally, system-level issues included social determinants of health and their negative impact on patients' ability to access treatment. Discriminatory policies impact social, political, and economic systems and perpetuate issues such as a lack of transportation to medical appointments or limited money to buy medications, ultimately hindering a patient's ability to access or pay for needed services.

VARIATION IN REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS TO ADDRESS PAIN MANAGEMENT AND SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER

The data from the brief survey of regulatory agencies and organizations provided some insights, summarized in *Table 20* into the current state of policies and standards. The majority of respondents to the regulatory survey reported not having any standards in place for both pain management and SUDs (see *Table 15*). While a separate review of state licensing policies found all states have some policies regarding the treatment of pain, there was substantial variability in policy and professional requirements. There is also substantial variability across regulatory organizations involved in accreditation, certification, and licensing both acute and chronic pain management and SUDs.

Of note, licensing in the U.S. is a legislative regulatory activity mostly under control of the states. This differs from the professional self-regulatory activities of accreditation and certification entities where standards and policies are mostly under control of the profession and remain the same across state lines. Additionally, there are myriad challenges in obtaining timely and accurate data about regulatory activities. This results in layers of fragmentation that can impede development and adoption of new policies and practices.

Acknowledging and addressing this fragmentation in the educational systems across the continuum could help to advance policy change in pain management and SUDs, but requires each entity's willingness to recognize this challenge, especially across professions.

TABLE 20 | Summary of Requirements and Policies of Regulatory Agencies, Certifying Boards, and Accrediting Organizations

Type or Stage in	System			
Care Process	Private	Public		
Identification / diagnosis	 Low priority given to pain control education for providers Educational requirements focus on treating pain rather than preventing it Guidelines are not always based in best practice Insufficient training requirements and resources for learners Lack of standardization in professional organizations Minimal pain education in education requirements and guidance on appropriate pain treatment approaches for practitioners 	 Practice restrictions for non-clinicians Limited number of evidence informed policies Guidelines can be insufficient to establish a standard of care Unsatisfactory policies to address pain management Policies can include stigmatizing language Lack of "expert" input Inadequate understanding of clinical practice and practitioner training 		
Treatment: Prescribing / tapering opioids	 Differences in prescribing policies across regulatory agencies Insufficient policies to address standardized opioid prescription practices Educational policies do not include mitigation strategies for prescrib- ing Lack of institutional guidelines or resources Internal barriers such as opioid training Restrictive prescription privileges 	 Prescribing policies are often "one size fits all" Drug manufacturers are increasingly required to develop educational materials and initiatives to train practitioners (Becker and Fiellin, 2012) Requirements related to buprenorphine waivers Absence of planning at state level to address adequate prescribers Overly restrictive policies Policies contribute to institutional and personal biases 		

TABLE 20 | Summary of Requirements and Policies of Regulatory Agencies, Certifying Boards, and Accrediting Organizations (continued)

Type or Stage		System
in Care Process	Private	Public
Treatment: Prescribing non- opioid medications	 Lack of educational policy re- garding the clinical indication and effective use of non-opioid medications One-size-fits-all approach Variability in policies Failure to recognize options outside of area of regulation 	 Practice restrictions, such as regulations that permit nurses to administer injections only intramuscularly Guidelines shown to have actively harmed patients Prior authorization serves as a barrier Policies are often 'fail first'
Treatment: Prescribing non- pharmacological treatment	 Guidelines do not lead to ad- equate training of providers performing interventional pro- cedures Restricted pre-clinical and clini- cal educational opportunities Policies favor urban health care settings 	 Conflicts in policy Policy is specifically targeted toward opioid usage Inadequate financial support Available treatments are not equally promoted Policies support clinical treatment
Monitoring opi- oid use	 Difficulty implementing PDMPs into prescriber education and workflow Absence of standardized training policies Most training comes from state licensing organizations Data collection systems differ Availability of databases to learners 	 PDMP use varies greatly across the United States Variability in states' health information technologies and PDMP designs Prescribers and dispensers are subject to state-specific reporting requirements Limited interoperability between state PDMP and Electronic Health Records (EHR) platforms Providers may not have access to PDMPS depending on state access requirements Many monitoring policies are sugges- tions not explicit law
Referral for care of SUDs	 Small number of specialty groups Lack of standardization of refer- ral procedures Insufficient resources available Strategies are underdeveloped for making outpatient referrals 	 Insurance constraints Inadequate number of specialized providers Lack of referral programs and resources Low reimbursement rates Policies are focused on restricting provider referral

TABLE 20 | Summary of Requirements and Policies of Regulatory Agencies, Certifying Boards, andAccrediting Organizations (continued)

Type or Stage	System			
in Care Process	Private	Public		
Other	 Inconsistent medical education requirements to addiction as- sessment and ability to identify SUDs Inadequate education for pro- viders regarding safe medica- tion and disposal methods Policies are viewed as the stan- dard of patient care CE differs between organiza- tions Regulatory focus is on a par- ticular stage of education and profession 	 Federal and state provided education programs and resources are underuti- lized or limited Policy is not always informed by clinical evidence or data Funding is limited for education and training Policy can be slow to enact Cumbersome regulatory requirements Competing policies Government supply chain restrictions Conflicting policy goals Provider education is not focus of most policies 		

LIMITATIONS

Limitations to this review include evaluating PPGs solely based on the published, peer-reviewed literature, which could reflect publication biases; studies that relied solely on self-reported data; and data that may reflect response biases, such as a tendency to attribute blame to a system issue rather than to oneself. A further limitation may stem from the retrospective nature of the literature review, amplifying more abundant dated perspectives over more recent studies.

The predominance of studies focused on physicians and nurses in this literature review highlights the limitations of this study to gain greater insight to other professions'—and interprofessional—practice gaps. Focusing only on five identified professions (medicine, nursing, physician assistant, pharmacy, and dentistry), excludes the practice perspectives of several key types of professionals (e.g., psychologists, social workers, and peer coaches) actively engaged in current approaches to addressing pain management and SUDs.

The educational requirements survey and policy review results used a combination of convenience (e.g., those organizations participating in the collaborative) and snowball (e.g. other organizations recommended by the initial participants in the survey) sampling techniques and may not be fully reflec-

tive of the overall landscape of regulatory requirements and policies. Only information submitted by respondents was included in this analysis.

Additionally, the validation survey for PPGs was conducted through a combination of convenience and snowball sampling. The validation survey should be repeated and expanded to incorporate a larger sample size to improve the representativeness and validity across the survey results.

CHAPTER 6 KEY PRIORITIES

Health care professionals, health professions educators, and policymakers share a common, elusive challenge—effecting change amidst the complexity of the opioid continuing crisis. The authors of this manuscript have observed this complexity in the highly variable educational needs that underlie individual, team, and system gaps between current and optimal care for pain management and SUDs. Our study of education-related regulatory requirements also reveals a complex and fragmented environment of governmental and professional self-regulation. Our analyses may add perspective, but these are not novel insights. Particularly as we emerge from a global pandemic, the Quadruple Aim—the right care at the right time at the right cost, supportive of the well-being of the health care workforce—remains elusive for the opioid crisis. This statement does not diminish those widespread and substantial efforts that are successfully addressing aspects of the crisis, including: legislative funding for behavioral health, telemedicine for medications for opioid use disorder (MOUD), eliminating the X-waiver requirements, and ongoing advocacy about coverage/payment for non-opioid management of chronic pain. Rather, the question before health care providers and educators is how the insights of this publication can be used to identify specific, global, and comprehensive strategies that build upon the efforts of others and provide helpful guidance to the community of regulators, educators, and those with lived experience who seek to close the gaps in care that elude us.

The PPGs described throughout this Special Publication highlight underlying educational needs across the spectrum of practice and are situational to setting, clinical practice, and patient population. Although these gaps have been well-articulated in numerous publications (Blevins, Rawat, and Stein, 2018; Muzyk et al., 2019), this publication endeavors to prioritize cross-cutting areas of concern for individuals and interprofessional teams that include addressing bias/attitudes toward treating pain and addiction (i.e., stigma); effective communication, motivational interviewing, and shared decision making with patients and families; and a critical need to address interprofessional collaborative practice for pain management and early detection and treatment of substance misuse and dependency.

Requirements of governmental (licensing) and professional self-regulatory bodies are generally consistent with identified PPGs as found in the literature review described earlier in this Special Publi-

cation, but understandably cannot address the varied and complex needs of individual patients and families, their clinicians, and teams. Even when aligned, these requirements cannot consistently provide sufficient depth or resolution to define competencies tied to patient acuity for individual health care professionals—let alone interprofessional teams—across practice settings.

The continuum of health professions education in the U.S. is a patchwork reflective of the historically siloed development of each profession and between specialties. The survey detailed earlier found that less than half of the responding professional accrediting, and certifying organizations have specific requirements regarding training for and competency in pain management and SUDs. This finding is, in part, due to the heterogeneity in the scope and targeting of those requirements to individual professionals (e.g., specialty certifying boards), requirements for undergraduate and graduate training programs, or institutional and practice-based expectations (e.g., post-graduate continuing education accreditors). Irrespective of these differences, educational systems and their stakeholders are exerting significant effort and resources to both prepare students and trainees, and support professionals in practice to respond to the opioid crisis (Brady, McCauley, and Back, 2016). Despite these efforts, the combined complexity of each facet of this "system"—practice, regulation, education—makes optimization a challenging task.

From this analysis, we have identified **five key priorities** as actionable steps to make substantive and lasting progress to address the opioid crisis. Harmonization is a common theme through these priorities: seeking to navigate substantial complexity by identifying unwarranted (or unproductive) variation, finding opportunities to innovate around shared goals, and working methodically to reduce redundancy and burden. A summary of the key priorities and actionable strategies to catalyze change are summarized in *Table 21*.

Each key priority addresses opportunities for leadership for many stakeholders that can affect change; they underscore that solutions will be found through interprofessional collaboration that includes patients with lived experience perspectives. The key priorities are not ranked in order of importance or urgency, for they are overlapping and supportive individually and collectively. Embracing both complexity and humility, they place continuous learning at the individual, team, institution, and system levels at the center of the way forward. The authors of this manuscript believe these key priorities may also provide opportunities to marshal analogous strategies and actions in a broader context to address other complex public health challenges.

KEY PRIORITIES

1. Establish Minimum Core Competencies in Pain Management and Substance Use Disorders for all Health Care Professionals, and Support Tracking of Health Care Professionals' Competence

Interprofessional, cross-continuum core competencies for pain management and SUDs must be developed. To embrace the complexity of the PPGs described in the literature, competencies should

be defined. *Minimum* core competencies should address skills necessary for effective interprofessional collaboration and continuous learning and improvement. These would potentially include screening, brief-intervention and referral to treatment (SBIRT); teaming; shared decision making; and addressing stigma and communication. The competencies should describe the knowledge, skills, behaviors/performance, and attitudinal expectations across health professions and be disseminated to educational systems and their stakeholders for collaborative implementation using best educational methods. This priority area is not intended to detract from existing or emerging evidence-based, interprofessional competencies for pain management and SUDs (Bratberg, 2018; Fishman et al., 2013). Rather, a set of *minimum* core competencies is intended to ensure flexibility reflective of scope-of-practice and setting-specific needs. It is the hope of the Education and Training Workgroup that this action will also reveal critical PPGs across the health education continuum for faculty to address. Minimum competencies are a first step in re-calibrating the U.S. health care workforce toward adaptive interprofessional practice, better preparing practitioners for future complex public health crises, and may, as well, provide insight to those characteristics to seek holistically in future pre-professional admissions.

Continuing education accreditors, regulators, and educational leaders across the continuum should collaborate to enable national tracking of currently practicing health care professionals' achievement of competencies for pain management and SUDs appropriate to profession, scope of practice, and setting. Evolving toward regulatory frameworks that value accountable interprofessional teams and can track competencies will facilitate approaches to assemble effective functional interprofessional teams with greater sensitivity to setting and patient population.

The current timeline between the identification of PPGs and their dissemination in the published literature is long. Educators need a more nimble and immediate system for identifying and sharing gaps that enables them to quickly deploy relevant educational programs to meet community needs.

The authors of this manuscript suggest that national continuing education accreditors maintain a community discussion website where educational providers can convene and share issues they are seeing in their communities. In particular, this would foster connections with community organizations and agencies that can identify more timely population- and setting-specific priority gaps and have them addressed with appropriate curricula and interventions across the continuum.

To advance the work of educators, journal editors should collaborate with educational leaders across the health professions education continuum to foster greater research and scholarship for identifying and disseminating PPGs. Acknowledging the limitations of the search strategies for this literature review (detailed below), the authors of this manuscript are concerned at the paucity of published information regarding practice gaps of other health care professionals beyond medicine and nursing. Accessibility of needs and gaps data could be improved by modifying medical subject headings and taxonomies to make it easier for educators and other stakeholders to more readily explore practice gaps for pain management and SUDs. Furthermore, a framework for evaluating and tracking implementation of competencies is needed. These approaches, taken together, should center on identifying gaps in, and prioritizing care for, those populations and settings most endangered by the opioid crisis and the COVID-19 pandemic (Blanco, 2020; Indian Health Service, n.d.).

2. Align Accreditors' Expectations for Interprofessional Collaboration in Education for Pain Management and Substance Use Disorders

To resolve unwarranted variation, accreditors should collectively and collaboratively work across health professions and the educational continuum to examine current standards, policies, curricula, and guidelines for pain management and SUDs. To create a more supportive learning environment for practicing health care professionals, there is a need to convene national health professional accreditors in continuing education to ensure that:

- interprofessional curricula are developed in alignment with competency expectations;
- practical and effective education module(s) are developed for required learning, testing, and implementation in daily practice;
- expectations are shared with educators across the health professions;
- educational activities and resources are available and listed in a central repository for health care professionals, indexed by competency/gaps;
- a data monitoring system is developed for tracking engagement and completions and is maintained among relevant stakeholders;
- educational activities are inspected/audited to ensure compliance with accreditation requirements on a periodic basis; and
- educational activities and resources are evidence-based/informed and are not influenced or biased by industry.

Accrediting organizations can leverage existing collaborations, such as the Interprofessional Education Collaborative (www.ipecollaborative.org) for undergraduate education, and the National Collaborative for Improving the Clinical Learning Environment (www.ncicle.org) and Joint Accreditation for Interprofessional Continuing Education (www.jointaccreditation.org) for post-graduate continuing education. Data system approaches can build upon the existing collaboration between health professions continuing education accreditors supporting data collection for health care professionals' participation in accredited CE across health professions (e.g., FDA REMS and CME for American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) Continuing Certification) (ACCME, n.d.-a; ACCME, n.d.-b; FDA, 2018). The education requirements survey detailed in this publication found some organizations have incorporated standards and guidelines around pain management and SUDs. The accreditation community can play an important role by building on these early efforts to strengthen and sustain interprofessional collaboration.

3. Foster Interprofessional Collaboration among Licensing and Certifying Bodies to Optimize Regulatory Approaches and Outcomes

Interprofessional care is essential in helping to manage both pain management and SUDs in patients. Licensing and certifying bodies should ensure that they recognize activities that meet the shared curricular, competency, and interprofessional expectations. They should harmonize the regulatory environment for their stakeholders by recognizing interprofessional continuing education (JAICE, 2017).

State licensing authorities for health care professionals involved in pain management and SUDs should convene a national task force to study existing variations in state-level regulations and seek opportunities to harmonize policies. An example effort was the creation and evolution of the Tri-Regulator Collaborative, which was originally led by and composed of representatives from the Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB), along with the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy, and the National Council of State Boards of Nursing. The Tri-Regulator Collaborative was created in 2011 to promote an interprofessional approach to patient care and regulation between the three organizations.

Building on the model of the Tri-Regulator Collaborative, in November 2020, the National Academy of Medicine and FSMB co-hosted the first summit of the nation's state and territorial licensing boards for medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and dentistry, and expanded the reach to allied professions that represent the state and territorial boards of psychology, physical therapy, occupational therapy, and social work. Many of these licensing boards have taken innovative steps over the last several years through statutes and guidelines for prescribers to address the opioid crisis. Participants detailed their learnings from ongoing initiatives during the summit, including successes and lessons learned, opportunities for improvement, and areas for alignment across organizational efforts. The summit underscored the need for continued collaboration, leading the boards of medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and dentistry to formally join forces and establish the Opioid Regulatory Collaborative (ORC) in 2021. The ORC shares resources and strategies to reduce opioid use disorder and aims to enhance regulatory alignment across professions, to focus ongoing initiatives and ultimately curb the addiction crisis. These types of models provide a structure for catalyzing interprofessional collaboration and harmonization efforts.

Overall, there is a need to establish requirements where there are none and harmonize existing state licensing policies. This will address fragmentation and encourage the development and/or adoption of regulation and requirements that are supportive of clinician needs and patient safety. Licensing bodies should seek to address unwarranted variation across the following policy categories, where possible:

- Policies addressing patient behavior
- Policies addressing patient health
- Continuing medical education requirements
- Pain management clinics
- Opioid prescribing guidelines
- Doctor shopping laws
- PDMPs and tracking controlled substances

- Naloxone access
- Opioid addiction treatment

Through collaboration, the authors of this manuscript encourage licensing bodies to pursue opportunities to evolve or reframe regulatory accountability for individual professions and interprofessional teams. Opportunities for harmonization may include:

- Harmonizing statutory requirements (e.g., Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) *Meritbased Incentive Payment System*—or MIPS—requirements, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) *Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) Waiver Training*, FDA *REMS Requirements*) to optimize health professional engagement in clinical improvement while reducing the burden of redundant or overlapping expectations. Example: an internist's completion of a quality improvement project to reduce opioid prescribing in her practice can be recognized to simultaneously meet expectations for her state licensing requirement for opioid REMS CME, MIPS *Improvement Activity* participation, and medical specialty board continuing certification.
- Promoting data interoperability between PDMPs
- Standardizing across boards for education, penalties, and violations
- Establishing standards for expected office-based policies and practices

Certifying bodies across the professions should advance interprofessional practice in pain management and SUDs by supporting programmatic activities in assessment, and where appropriate, curricula. Additionally, appropriate quality measures should be used and/or adapted to reinforce practice standards for interprofessional teams in pain management and SUD care. These standards and assessments should be appropriately targeted for the professional roles overseen by the certification entity, but it is also critical that collaborative dialogue occurs intra- and interprofessionally.

It is time to catalyze a healthy dialogue around scope of practice issues to better address OUD and SUDs. While most certification organizations focus on a particular stage and profession of the educational continuum, there is an opportunity for different professional certifying organizations to collaborate and advance the opportunity to develop appropriately skilled health care professionals in pain management and SUDs. Additionally, as a part of the priority to safeguard the public, their oversight should include providing educational remediation that supports education and training for health care professionals across professions.

BOX 1 | A Call for Learning Leadership

Greater investment and regulatory harmonization will advance the impact of accredited CE. However, learning leadership in health care organizations and health systems is required to promote and sustain transformational change for the opioid crisis. To meet the complexity of training needs, health care leaders must reframe workforce development in terms of an organizational culture of continuous learning and improvement. To facilitate this evolution, the role of an institutional or system-based **Chief Learning Officer (CLO)** needs to adapt.

In 2013, the Institute of Medicine (now the National Academy of Medicine) introduced the imperative for the creation of a learning health system (Smith et al., 2013). The data explored in this manuscript point to a series of team- and system-based gaps, listed below, that impair organizational management of SUDs and pain management; the underlying causes for many of these gaps are addressed as core tenets of a learning health system.

- Mobilizing computable biomedical knowledge (Richesson et al., 2020);
- Data interoperability, such as Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) framework (Health Level Seven International, 2019);
- Evidence-based clinical decision support; and
- Cycles of continuous improvement at macro-, meso-, and micro-levels of systems of care.

Resourcing learning—literally, creating time and space for employees to engage in team-based improvement—is an essential part of building a learning health system. Its absence is apparent in the data explored in this manuscript. Professional silos do not allow for the interprofessional teaming that is necessary to address the complex and adaptive needs of patients and their families. Without a leader-led learning culture, psychological safety and stigma are impediments not only for patients, but also for health care professionals who struggle to navigate clinical decision making for which they are inadequately trained or supported.

The facilitating conditions for a learning health system have been well-described (Whicher, 2017). Learning leaders should recognize the opportunity to integrate accredited CE into a broader systembased workforce perspective that draws upon teaming and implementation science while removing silos between clinicians and non-clinician health professionals. To advance this goal, the authors of this manuscript believe the following priorities are urgently needed.

- Health care C-Suite executives, CE leaders, improvement professionals, and health care data informaticians should collaborate to develop a business case for learning health system transformation that includes interprofessional CE as an integral part of a learning culture.
- Faculty development across health professions should foster interprofessional collaboration, expertise in improvement science, and intra-/inter-organizational learning.
- Communities-of-practice for health care learning leaders and stakeholders must be developed and sustained to promote real-time exchange and collaborative learning.
- Quality metrics should be tied to continuous learning and improvement.
- Public and private entities should provide funding to foster innovation and translation/ dissemination of effective learning leadership approaches.

4. Unleash the Capacity for Continuing Education to Meet Health Professions Learners Where They Are Through Investment and Leadership

The survey of educational requirements on which this manuscript is partially based demonstrates that regulatory bodies uniformly see participation in accredited continuing education (i.e., CE, CME) as the predominant educational delivery method for health care professionals learning to improve approaches to pain management and SUDs. Continuing education accreditors for the health professions—such as the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education, the Accreditation Council for Pharmacy Education, the American Nurses Credentialing Center, the American Academy of Physician Assistants, the American Dental Association's Continuing Education Recognition Program, and the Joint Accreditation for Interprofessional Continuing Education—oversee a large and diverse community of more than 4,000 health care organizations, or accredited CE providers, that deliver education predominantly as a service or benefit to their members or health professional staff. These providers—which include health systems and hospitals; medical education companies; medical schools, universities, and academic health centers; professional organizations and societies; and state and local health departments—routinely interface with physicians, nurses, physician assistants, pharmacists, and dentists across all 50 states. For this national network of education providers, those accredited organizations that operate in regional and/or local settings are uniquely positioned to address the specific practicebased needs of their health professional learners due to their proximity to the care setting and population. However, their capacity to assist in closing professional practice gaps is severely limited by a lack of resources and investment. Most professionals have very limited or no allowance and paid time for CE in this competency. Federal and/or state investment in this area in forms of grant or tax deduction is essential because the cost to society of not investing in CE is much higher than the necessary investment in this critical endeavor.

Importantly, mandatory continuing education requirements from regulatory bodies have the unintended consequence of reducing motivation and limiting effective practice change (NASEM, 2018). Mandates that limit educational flexibility and the local needs of communities are counterproductive. Instead, by adhering to accreditation requirements that are grounded in improvement science and adult learning best practices, CE providers can flexibly design the activities and the relevant assessment to individualize education that effectively creates and sustains behavior change (ACCME, 2021). To better address the complex and varied gaps in pain and substance use practice, regulators and certifying bodies should transition requirements away from knowledge acquisition and focus, instead, on setting expectations that health professions learners demonstrate changes in competence and performance as a result of their engagement with accredited CE. Accredited CE providers should be given the latitude and resources to apply innovative and creative approaches that facilitate application to practice setting, interprofessional learning and collaboration, and needed engagement of persons with lived experience in the development and delivery of CE activities. To effectively change educational outcomes, public and private entities should pursue strategies to increase support of accredited CE providers. These approaches can include:

- Encouraging health system leaders to provide financial support and staff resources to fully leverage interprofessional CE as an organizational asset for workforce learning and change management to close gaps in addiction and pain management care (see *Box 1*);
- Funding of professional development opportunities for CE providers and their educational teams (e.g., leaders, administrators, faculty) to measurably improve capacity to meet local health care professional workforce needs;
- Provide grant funds to foster innovative approaches for CE related to interprofessional collaboration, identifying PPGs, enhancing pedagogical/instructional methods, improved assessment and outcome measurement;
- Funding to spur research and scholarship to study and disseminate evidence-informed findings of effective educational practices that achieve key outcomes related to SUDs and pain management;
- Funding and collaboration to engage patients, families, and the public as planners and teachers in accredited CE for SUDs and pain management;
- Connecting interprofessional competencies to nationally recognized quality metrics;
- Funding for community-based interprofessional (and multi-sector) collaboratives that bring together health care and non-health care stakeholders (e.g., law enforcement, criminal justice, community-based faith organizations, social services) around continuous learning to improve coordination of prevention, screening, care, and long-term recovery for those with SUDs; and
- Incorporating accredited CE as a tactic to address federally funded practice improvement initiatives (see *Key Priority #5* below).

5. Collaborate to Harmonize Practice Improvement Initiatives

In response to the immense challenges to care delivery during COVID-19, federal agencies and regulators have moved quickly to adopt innovative approaches to help address patients' needs. Exemplars include the SAMHSA, the DEA, and HHS piloting eHealth and telehealth interventions for MOUD and SUD care (Samuels et al., 2020; Brandt, 2020).- State licensing authorities have also responded by reducing or temporarily waiving licensure requirements and facilitating telehealth approaches (FSMB, n.d.-a).

To address the opioid crisis, these regulatory bodies have implemented numerous practice improvement initiatives for health care professionals in a range of practice settings—from small offices to large, integrated health systems. Historically, the CMS has funded initiatives such as the Hospital Improvement and Innovation Network—a "learning collaborative" of CMS-contracted health care organizations working to reduce patient harm for several priority areas, including harm reduction related to opioid overprescribing. Contract Quality Improvement Networks and Quality Improvement Organizations receive funding from CMS to provide technical assistance through regional hubs. Similarly, SAMHSA supports a regional network of Addiction Technology Transfer Centers that provide education and training for behavioral health and primary care clinicians (Addiction Technology Transfer Center Network, n.d.). A number of these programs have grown substantially with support from the 2018 Substance Use Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities Act (H.R.6, 2017-2018).

Collectively, these statutory programs use a range of approaches from addressing opioid overprescribing through monitoring of prescription claims data to consulting to practices seeking to become accredited and certified Opioid Treatment Programs (CMS, n.d.; SAMHSA, n.d.). These initiatives include statutory requirements that limit broader partnership and flexibility in implementation of education and training. To the degree that the disruption of COVID-19 has created avenues for innovation, the authors of this manuscript encourage regulatory agencies, such as CMS and SAMHSA, to explore collaboration with health professions education accreditors to expand reach and impact for statutory initiatives (Sinsky and Linzer, 2020). There is a need to convene federal agencies together with CE accreditors to identify opportunities for harmonization and elaboration of practice improvement through approaches such as the following.

- Mainstreaming MOUD training with interprofessional continuing education (IPCE), allowing any accredited CE provider to deliver MOUD training that meets appropriately defined competencies.
- Ensuring that participation in practice improvement initiatives is synonymous with accredited CE (and awards CE/IPCE credit) to harmonize and simplify engagement by various health care professionals across states, specialties, and disciplines.
- Providing funding, as well as a conducive environment, for the development of competency-based educational modules on pain management and SUDs for all relevant professions in health care, criminal justice, and regulatory bodies.
- Developing mechanisms to measure, monitor, and modify the processes and quality of educating the workforce and implementing changes in practice.

CONCLUSIONS

These collective strategies share a common goal: to meet the complex challenges of the treating pain and substance use by optimizing the environment for continuous learning and improvement. Systembased engagement is required from all of the different stakeholders whose mission is to support health professional practice and the health of the public. The opioid crisis is our immediate focus for this work, but we recognize that the tenets of these approaches—interprofessional learning, harmonization and alignment, recognizing complex and individualized needs—can and should be applied to other complex and intractable health imperatives.

The authors are grateful for the opportunity to continue a truly interprofessional approach to tackle these issues across the education continuum. With due effort and support, these approaches will amplify effective practices while harmonizing and improving the environment for health care professionals to best serve the needs of their patients and communities.

TABLE 21	Taking Action	on the Key Priorities -	- Who Can Affect Change and How?
-----------------	---------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------

Key Priority	Who	How
1. Establish Minimum Core	National Academy of Medicine Action Collaborative Members	Develop, disseminate, and implement a core set of competencies for pain management and SUD care across all health professions to address practice gaps
Competencies for all Health Care Professionals in Pain Management and Substance Use	Regulatory bodies, CE accreditors	Enable national tracking of health professionals' achievement of competencies for pain management and SUDs appropriate to profession, scope of practice, and setting
Disorders, and Support Tracking of Health Care Professionals' Competence	CE accreditors	Foster collaboration among CE providers to address population- and setting-specific practice gaps and share effective educational practices, such as through the development of a community discussion website
competence	Health sciences journal editors	Streamline editorial processes in health care journals to facilitate and accelerate identification and dissemination of priority practice gaps
2. Align Accreditors' Expectations for	Health professions education accreditors, Certifying bodies	Harmonize educational standards, requirements, policies, and curricula for SUD and pain management
Interprofessional Collaboration in Education for Pain Management and Substance Use Disorder	CE accreditors	List high-quality, independent CE in a central repository for health professionals, indexed by competency/gaps
	CE accreditors, regulatory bodies	Develop a data monitoring system, maintained among relevant stakeholders, for tracking engagement and completions
3. Foster Interprofessional Collaboration Among Licensing and Certifying Bodies to Optimize Regulatory Approaches and Outcomes	FSMB, Regulatory bodies	Recognize completion of education that meets core competencies, including interprofessional CE
	State/territory licensing boards	Harmonize policies and requirements across states
	Certifying bodies	Advance assessment and curricula, and quality measures for teams, as opportunities for intra- and interprofessional collaboration

4. Unleash the Capacity for Continuing Education to Meet Health Professions	Federal and state funding agencies, C-Suite Leaders	Invest in the professional development of CE staff (i.e., educators, administrators) and ensure time/resources for health professionals to engage in continuous learning
	Regulatory and certifying bodies	Evolve mandatory CE requirements to recognize education that addresses local PPGs with flexible and innovative methods
Learners Where They Are Through Investment and	C-Suite leaders, Health care governance	Evolve learning leadership in support of learning health systems
Leadership	Public and private funding agencies, CE accreditors	Fund innovation, research, and dissemination of educational practices that are effective in closing PPGs and improving outcomes
5. Collaborate to Harmonize Practice Improvement Initiatives	Federal agencies (e.g., SAMHSA, CMS, CDC, Office of Nation Drug Control Policy), Council of Medical Specialty Societies, Quality leaders (e.g., National Quality Forum (NQF), Joint Commission), CE accreditors, Accredited CE providers	Integrate CE and institutional continuous learning and improvement more effectively with statutory practice improvement initiatives

APPENDIX A SEARCH STRATEGY

SEARCH PLAN

Databases

The authors of this manuscript relied on the following resources for peer-reviewed articles:

- Embase
- MEDLINE
- PubMed
- Scopus

The authors of this manuscript relied on internet searches to identify government reports (i.e., consensus reports and white papers.)

Database Results - Inclusion/Exclusion Pre-Selection Criteria

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Publication Year: 2009-2019	Publication Year: before 2009
Language: English	Not available in English
Peer-reviewed articles: Yes Grey literature: Government reports (i.e. consen- sus reports; white papers)	Publications not indicated in the inclusion criteria
Geographic region: U.S.	Not U.S.

SEARCH TERMS

Objective

Identify and highlight existing professional practice gaps for health care professionals that currently exist in relation to acute and chronic pain management and substance use disorders.

Preliminary Terms

A. Terms provided by staff B. Terms suggested by RC Staff		oy RC Staff
A1. Identified health professions	MeSH Terms	Other Terms
medicine	physicians medicine	doctors
physician assistant pas	physician assistants	
nursing nurse registered nurse advanced practice nursing nurse practitioner aprns advanced practitioner aprn	nurses nursing advanced practice nursing	
Dentistry dental care dental hygienist dental assistant	dentists dentistry dental assistants dental hygienists dental care	
pharmacy	pharmacists pharmacy	

A2. Treatment			
pain management	B2.1	pain manage- ment palliative medi- cine analgesics palliative care	pain medicine
	B2.2	analgesics, opi- oid	opioid analgesics

A3. Condition			
	B3.1	substance-related disorders opioid-related disorders	narcotic abuse narcotic addiction narcotic dependence opiate abuse opiate addiction opiate dependence opioid abuse opioid addiction opioid dependence
acute pain	B3.2	acute pain	
chronic pain	B3.3	chronic pain	

A4. Competencies			
Professional education Education gaps	B4.1	delivery of health care culturally competent care delivery of health care, integrated practice patterns, dentists' practice patterns, nurses' practice patterns, physicians' professional practice gaps quality assurance, health care quality of health care clinical competence standard of care	attitude clinical competence practice patterns prescribing behavior process assessment professional practice gaps safe prescribing
acute pain	B4.2	competency-based education education, professional	education gaps competency educa- tion professional educa- tion

A5. Collaboration with patients and families			
Partnerships with patients Partnerships with families Patient engagement Family engagement	professional-patient relations dentist-patient relations nurse-patient relations physician-patient relations	collaboration with families collaboration with patients family engagement partnerships with families partnerships with patients patient engagement	

A6. Patient outcomes		
procedure outcome	treatment outcome	clinical effectiveness clinical efficacy patient-relevant out- come patient outcomes procedure outcome rehabilitation out- come treatment effective- ness treatment efficacy

EndNote Groups

EndNote Group	Target	Query	Strategy
Group 1	Competencies	#1	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid- related disorders
		#2	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid analgesics)
		#3	Competencies
		#4	(#1 OR #2) AND #3
Group 2	Patient Outcomes	#1	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid- related disorders
		#2	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid analgesics)
		#3	collaboration
		#4	(#1 OR #2) AND #3
Group 3	Collaboration	#1	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid- related disorders
		#2	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid analgesics)
		#3	collaboration
		#4	(#1 OR #2) AND #3
Group 4	Competency-based education	#1	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid-related disorders
		#2	identified health professions AND (acute pain OR chronic pain OR pain management) AND (opioid analgesics)
		#3	competency-based education
		#4	(#1 OR #2) AND #3

APPENDIX B SEARCH STRATEGY

EMBASE DATABASE SEARCH

Group 1: Competencies

Database: Embase Classic+Embase 1947 to 2019 June 04 Date of Search: 06/05/2019 Filters: (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press)) Results before deduplication (Physicians): 88 Results before deduplication (Physician Assistants): 3 Results before deduplication (Nurses): 26 Results before deduplication (APRNs): 10 Results before deduplication (Pharmacists): 24 Results before deduplication (Dentists): 11

No.	Query
1	exp *analgesic agent/ or exp *analgesia/ or exp*palliative therapy/ or ("palliative medicine" or analge- sics or "pain management" or "palliative care" or "palliative medicine" or "pain medicine").kw.
2	exp *pain/ or exp *chronic pain/ or ("acute pain" or "chronic pain").kw.
3	exp *narcotic analgesic agent/ or exp *opiate/ or "opioid analgesics".kw.
4	exp *opiate addiction/ or ("opioid-related disorders" or "narcotic abuse" or "narcotic addiction" or "narcotic dependence" or "opiate abuse" or "opiate addiction" or "opiate dependence" or "opioid abuse" or "opioid addiction" or "opioid dependence").kw.
5	exp *competence/ or exp *clinical competence/ or exp *cultural competence/ or exp *nursing competence/ or exp *professional competence/ or exp *professional practice/ or exp *practice, medical/ or exp *health personnel attitude/ or exp *dental assistant attitude/ or exp *nurse attitude/ or exp *pharmacist attitude/ or exp *physician assistant attitude/ or exp *physician attitude/ or exp *prescription/ or exp *health care quality/ or exp *health care delivery/ or exp *practice gap/ or ("competence" or "competencies" or "competency" or "clinical competence" or "practice patterns" or "prescribing behavior" or "process assessment" or "professional practice gaps" or "safe prescribing").kw.
6	exp *physician/ or exp*medicine/ or (physician or doctor).kw.
7	exp *physician assistant/ or physician assistants.kw.

8	exp *nurse/ or exp *nursing/ or registered nurse.kw.
9	exp *advanced practice nursing/ or exp *nurse practitioner/ or ("advanced practice nursing" or "nurse practi- tioner" or "advanced practitioner nurse" or "advanced practice registered nurse" or "aprn").kw.
10	exp *pharmacist/ or exp *pharmacy/ or pharmacist.kw.
11	exp *dentist/ or exp *dentistry/ or exp *dental hygienist/ or exp *dental care/ or exp *dental assistant/ or ("dentist" or "dental hygienist" or "dental care" or "dental assistant" or "dental hygienist").kw.
12	1 or 2
13	3 and 12
14	4 and 12
15	13 or 14
16	5 and 15
17	6 and 16
18	limit 17 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))
19	7 and 16
20	limit 19 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))
21	8 and 16
22	limit 21 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))
23	9 and 16
24	limit 23 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))
25	10 and 16
26	limit 25 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))
27	11 and 16
28	limit 27 to (english and yr="2009 -Current" and (article or article in press))

MEDLINE DATABASE SEARCH

Group 1: Competencies

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and

Daily 1946 to June 04, 2019

Date of Search: 06/06/2019

Filters: (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)

Results before deduplication (Physicians): 88

Results before deduplication (Physician Assistants): 1

Results before deduplication (Nurses): 28

Results before deduplication (APRNs): 9

Results before deduplication (Pharmacists): 9

Results before deduplication (Dentists): 9

No.	Query		
1	exp *analgesics/ or exp *pain management/ or exp*palliative care/ or exp*palliative medicine/ or ("palliative medicine" or analgesics or "pain management" or "palliative care" or "pain medicine").kw.		
2	exp *acute pain/ or exp *pain/ or exp *chronic pain/ or ("acute pain" or "chronic pain").kw.		
3	exp *analgesics, opioid/ or "opioid analgesics".kw.		
4	exp *opioid-related disorders/ or ("opioid-related disorders" or "narcotic abuse" or "narcotic addiction" or "narcotic dependence" or "opiate abuse" or "opiate addiction" or "opiate dependence" or "opioid abuse" or "opioid addiction" or "opioid dependence").kw.		
5	exp *clinical competence/ or exp *cultural competency/ or exp *professional competence/ or exp *profes- sional practice/ or exp *practice patterns, physicians'/ or exp *practice patterns, nurses'/ or exp *practice patterns, dentists'/ or exp *attitude of health personnel/ or exp *drug prescriptions/ or exp *quality of health care/ or exp *delivery of health care/ or exp *professional practice gaps/ or ("competence" or "competencies" or "competency" or "clinical competence" or "medical practice" or "nursing competence" or "practice patterns" or "prescribing behavior" or "process assessment" or "professional practice gaps" or "safe prescribing").kw.		
6	exp *physicians/ or exp*medicine/ or (physician or doctor).kw.		
7	exp *physician assistants/ or physician assistants.kw.		
8	exp *nurses/ or exp *nursing/ or registered nurse.kw.		
9	exp *advanced practice nursing/ or exp *nurse practitioners/ or ("advanced practice nursing" or "nurse prac- titioner" or "advanced practitioner nurse" or "advanced practice registered nurse" or "aprn").kw.		
10	exp *dentists/ or exp *dentistry/ or exp *dental hygienists/ or exp *dental care/ or exp *dental assistants/ or ("dentist" or "dental hygienist" or "dental care" or "dental assistant" or "dental hygienist").kw.		

11	exp *dentists/ or exp *dentistry/ or exp *dental hygienists/ or exp *dental care/ or exp *dental assistants/ or ("dentist" or "dental hygienist" or "dental care" or "dental assistant" or "dental hygienist").kw.
12	1 or 2
13	3 and 12
14	4 and 12
15	13 or 14
16	5 and 15
17	6 and 16
18	limit 17 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)
19	7 and 16
20	limit 19 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)
21	8 and 16
22	limit 21 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)
23	9 and 16
24	limit 23 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)
25	10 and 16
26	limit 25 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)
27	11 and 16
28	limit 27 to (yr="2009 -Current" and english and journal article)

PUBMED DATABASE SEARCH

Group 1: Competencies

Database: PubMed Date of Search: 06/05/2019 Filters: ("last 10 years"[PDat] AND English[lang])

Results before deduplication: 73

(((("physicians"[mh:noexp] OR "medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "physician"[ot] OR "doctor"[ot]) AND ("analgesics" [mh:noexp] OR "pain management" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative care" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp]OR"analgesics"[ot]OR"pain management"[ot]OR"palliative care"[ot]OR"palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot] OR "opioid abuse"[ot] OR "opioid addiction"[ot] OR "opioid dependence"[ot])) OR ((("physicians"[mh:noexp] OR "medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "physician"[ot] OR "doctor"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics"[ot] OR "pain management"[ot] OR "palliative care" [ot] OR "palliative medicine" [ot] OR "pain medicine" [ot] OR "acute pain" [mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot]))) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competencies"[ot] OR "competency"[ot] OR "clinical competence"[ot] OR "practice patterns"[ot] OR "prescribing behavior"[ot] OR "process assessment"[ot] OR "professional practice gaps" [ot] OR "safe prescribing" [ot])

Date of Search: 06/05/2019

Results before deduplication: 7

(((("physician assistants"[mh:noexp] OR "physician assistants"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics"[ot] OR "pain management"[ot] OR "palliative care"[ot] OR "palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic

addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot] OR "opioid abuse"[ot] OR "opioid addiction"[ot] OR "opioid dependence"[ot])) OR ((("physician assistants"[mh:noexp] OR "physician assistants"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics"[ot] OR "pain management"[ot] OR "palliative care"[ot] OR "palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot]))) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists""[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competencies"[ot] OR "competency"[ot] OR "clinical competence"[ot] OR "practice patterns, [ot] OR "prescribing behavior"[ot] OR "process assessment"[ot] OR "professional practice gaps"[ot] OR "safe prescribing behavior"[ot]

Date of Search: 06/05/2019

Results before deduplication: 16

(((("nurses"[mh:noexp] OR "nursing"[mh:noexp] OR "registered nurse"[ot]) AND ("analgesics" [mh:noexp] OR "pain management" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative care" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp]OR"analgesics"[ot]OR"pain management"[ot]OR"palliative care"[ot]OR"palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot] OR "opioid abuse"[ot] OR "opioid addiction"[ot] OR "opioid dependence"[ot])) OR ((("nurses"[mh:noexp] OR "nursing"[mh:noexp] OR "registered nurse"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine" [mh:noexp] OR "analgesics" [ot] OR "pain management" [ot] OR "palliative care"[ot] OR "palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot])) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competencies"[ot] OR "competency"[ot] OR "clinical competence"[ot] OR "practice patterns"[ot] OR "prescribing behavior"[ot] OR "process assessment"[ot] OR "professional practice gaps"[ot] OR "safe prescribing"[ot])

Date of Search: 06/05/2019

Results before deduplication: 3

(((("advanced practice nursing"[mh:noexp] OR "advanced practice nursing"[ot] OR "nurse practitioner"[ot]OR"advancedpracticeregisterednurse"[ot]OR"aprn"[ot])AND("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative care" [mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine" [mh:noexp] OR "analgesics" [ot] OR "pain management" [ot] OR "palliative care" [ot] OR "palliative medicine" [ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot]OR"opioidabuse"[ot]OR"opioidaddiction"[ot]OR"opioiddependence"[ot]))OR((("advanced practice nursing"[mh:noexp] OR "advanced practice nursing"[ot] OR "nurse practitioner"[ot] OR "advanced practice registered nurse"[ot] OR "aprn"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics" [ot] OR "pain management" [ot] OR "palliative care" [ot] OR "palliative medicine" [ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot]))) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competencies"[ot] OR "competency"[ot] OR "clinical competence"[ot] OR "practice patterns"[ot] OR "prescribing behavior"[ot] OR "process assessment" [ot] OR "professional practice gaps" [ot] OR "safe prescribing" [ot])

Date of Search: 06/05/2019

Results before deduplication: 28

(((("pharmacists"[mh:noexp] OR "pharmacy"[mh:noexp] OR "pharmacist"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp]OR"analgesics"[ot]OR"pain management"[ot]OR"palliative care"[ot]OR"palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot] OR "opioid abuse"[ot] OR "opioid addiction"[ot] OR "opioid dependence"[ot])) OR ((("pharmacists"[mh:noexp] OR "pharmacy"[mh:noexp] OR "pharmacist"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine" [mh:noexp] OR "analgesics" [ot] OR "pain management" [ot] OR "palliative care"[ot] OR "palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot]))) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "practice gaps"[ot] OR "professional practice gaps"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "practice"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "practice"[ot] O

Date of Search: 06/05/2019

Results before deduplication: 6

(((("dentists"[mh:noexp] OR "dentistry"[mh:noexp] OR "dental hygienists"[mh:noexp] OR "dental care"[mh:noexp] OR "dental assistants"[mh:noexp] OR "dentist"[ot] OR "dental hygienist"[ot] OR "dental care"[ot] OR "dental assistant"[ot] OR "dental hygienist"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics" [ot] OR "pain management" [ot] OR "palliative care" [ot] OR "palliative medicine" [ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("opioid-related disorders"[mh:noexp] OR "narcotic abuse"[ot] OR "narcotic addiction"[ot] OR "narcotic dependence"[ot] OR "opiate abuse"[ot] OR "opiate addiction"[ot] OR "opiate dependence"[ot] OR "opioid abuse"[ot] OR "opioid addiction"[ot] OR "opioid dependence"[ot])) OR ((("dentists"[mh:noexp] OR "dentistry"[mh:noexp] OR "dental hygienists"[mh:noexp] OR "dental care"[mh:noexp] OR "dental assistants"[mh:noexp] OR "dentist"[ot] OR "dental hygienist"[ot] OR "dental care"[ot] OR "dental assistant"[ot] OR "dental hygienist"[ot]) AND ("analgesics"[mh:noexp] OR "pain management"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative care"[mh:noexp] OR "palliative medicine"[mh:noexp] OR "analgesics"[ot] OR "pain management"[ot] OR "palliative care"[ot] OR "palliative medicine"[ot] OR "pain medicine"[ot] OR "acute pain"[mh:noexp] OR "chronic pain"[mh:noexp] OR "acute pain"[ot] OR "chronic pain"[ot])) AND ("analgesics, opioid"[mh:noexp] OR "opioid analgesics"[ot]))) AND ("clinical competence"[mh:exp] OR "culturally competent care"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care, integrated"[mh:exp] OR "delivery of health care"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, dentists"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, nurses'"[mh:exp] OR "practice patterns, physicians'"[mh:exp] OR "professional practice gaps"[mh:exp] OR "quality assurance, health care"[mh:exp] OR "quality of health care"[mh:exp] OR "standard of care"[mh:exp] OR "attitude"[ot] OR "competence"[ot] OR "competencies"[ot] OR "competency"[ot] OR "clinical competence"[ot] OR "practice patterns"[ot] OR "prescribing behavior"[ot] OR "process assessment" [ot] OR "professional practice gaps" [ot] OR "safe prescribing" [ot])

SCOPUS DATABASE SEARCH

Group 1: Competencies

Date of Search: 06/06/2019 Filters: (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE , "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE , "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 381

(((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain")) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence"))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists'" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "medical practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "physician assistant attitude" OR "physician attitude" OR "prescription" OR "health care delivery" OR "practice gap"))) AND (KEY ("physicians" OR "medicine" OR "physician" OR "doctor")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 11

(((((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence"))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "practice gap") AND (KEY ("physician assistants" OR "physician assistant") AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 47

((((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine") AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain")) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence"))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists'" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "medical practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "physician assistant attitude" OR "physician attitude" OR "prescription" OR "health care delivery" OR "practice gap"))) AND (KEY ("nurses" OR "nursing" OR "registered nurse")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 15

((((KEY("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative

care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine") AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence")))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists'" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "medical practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "physician assistant attitude" OR "physician attitude" OR "prescription" OR "health care delivery" OR "practice gap"))) AND (KEY ("advanced practice nursing" OR "advanced practice nursing" OR "nurse practitioner" OR "advanced practitioner nurse" OR "advanced practice registered nurse" OR "aprn")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 68

((((KEY("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain")) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence"))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists'" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "medical practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "physician assistant attitude" OR "physician attitude" OR "prescription" OR "health care delivery" OR "practice gap"))) AND (KEY ("pharmacists" OR "pharmacy" OR "pharmacist")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

Results before deduplication: 3

(((KEY("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain"))) AND (KEY ("opioid analgesics" OR "narcotic analgesic agent" OR "opiate"))) OR (((KEY ("analgesics" OR "analgesia" OR "palliative therapy" OR "pain management" OR "palliative care" OR "palliative medicine" OR "pain medicine")) AND (KEY ("acute pain" OR "chronic pain")) AND (KEY ("opiate addiction" OR "opioid-related disorders" OR "narcotic abuse" OR "narcotic addiction" OR "narcotic dependence" OR "opiate abuse" OR "opiate addiction" OR "opiate dependence" OR "opioid abuse" OR "opioid addiction" OR "opioid dependence"))) AND (KEY ("clinical competence" OR "culturally competent care" OR "delivery of health care, integrated" OR "delivery of health care" OR "practice patterns, dentists'" OR "practice patterns, nurses'" OR "practice patterns, physicians'" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "quality assurance, health care" OR "quality of health care" OR "standard of care" OR "attitude" OR "competence" OR "competencies" OR "competency" OR "clinical competence" OR "practice patterns" OR "prescribing behavior" OR "process assessment" OR "professional practice gaps" OR "safe prescribing" OR "cultural competence" OR "nursing competence" OR "professional competence" OR "professional practice" OR "medical practice" OR "health personnel attitude" OR "dental assistant attitude" OR "nurse attitude" OR "pharmacist attitude" OR "physician assistant attitude" OR "physician attitude" OR "prescription" OR "health care delivery" OR "practice gap"))) AND (KEY ("dentists" OR "dentistry" OR "dental hygienists" OR "dental care" OR "dental assistants" OR "dentist" OR "dental hygienist" OR "dental care" OR "dental assistant" OR "dental hygienist")) AND (LIMIT-TO (DOCTYPE, "ar")) AND (LIMIT-TO (LANGUAGE, "English")

) AND (PUBYEAR AFT 2008)

APPENDIX C CODING MATRIX FOR ARTICLES ON PRACTICE GAPS

BASIC IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

At the start of the coding survey, volunteers will enter their name in the **"reviewer name"** field, and will then enter the **unique number assigned to the article** they are coding in the field provided (this is in lieu of entering the author names, article title, journal name, etc.).

INCLUSION CRITERIA

Review the article's abstract and apply the following inclusion criteria. All inclusion criteria must be met for the article to be included in the literature review. If all inclusion criteria are met, please review the article in full and code according to the variables provided in the form. In cases where answers cannot be derived clearly from the abstract, refer to the full article.

Criteria for inclusion are:

- Article was published between 2009-2019?
- Article is research?
- Sample population is in US or US territories?
- Describes performance of practicing clinicians? ("practicing clinicians" includes residents/fellows but does NOT include undergraduate students)
- Describes professional practice gap(s)?
- Article is QI?

Item	Variable	Response Options	Comment
1	Type of research study	 Quantitative Qualitative Mixed methods 	
2	Study size	1. Numeric value	
3	Professions and specialties included in study (all that apply)	 Physician (unspecified) Specialty if applicable: Medicine – MD Specialty if applicable: Medicine – DO	
4	Practice environment (all that apply)	 Acute care/inpatient Primary care/outpatient Community/outpatient Clinic/inpatient Clinic/outpatient Other (free text) Not described 	
5	Content in article describes domain of practice (all that apply)	 Acute pain management Chronic pain management Substance use disorders Other (free text) 	

6	Data source used to identify gap (all that apply)	 Descriptive, self-report Medical record Other (free text) Not described
7	Patient population (all that apply)	 Adult Pediatric Across the life span Not described
8	Type or stage in care process	 Screening/assessment Identification/diagnosis Treatment: Prescribing/Tapering Opioids Treatment: Prescribing Non-Opioids Treatment: Nonpharmacological Monitoring Referral Other (free text)
9	Describes gaps related to (all that apply)	 Clinical knowledge - weren't aware of what the best practice(s) is/are Communication With patients/families Communication With other members of the care team With other members of the care team Attitudes and biases Use of evidence-informed tools and resources Constraints in practice setting

Definitions (https://medical-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/) (with validation from working group members)

1. Screening/assessment

Screening: Strategy used to look for as-yet-unrecognized conditions or risk markers in individuals without signs or symptoms

Assessment: Evaluation of a patient using selected skills of history-taking; physical examination, laboratory, imaging, and social evaluation, to achieve a specific goal.

2. Identification/diagnosis

Identification: Defining or ascertaining something.

Diagnosis: Determining the nature of a cause of a disease.

3. Treatment: Prescribing/Tapering Opioids

Prescribing: Prescribing opioids for the treatment of acute or chronic pain, or substance use disorders

Tapering: Process of tapering opioids for patients with acute or chronic pain, or substance use disorders

4. Treatment: Prescribing Non-Opioids

Prescribing non-opioid medications for the treatment of acute or chronic pain, or substance use disorders

5. Treatment: Nonpharmacological

Prescribing nonpharmacological treatments for patients with acute or chronic pain, or substance use disorders (massage, acupuncture, counseling, biofeedback, exercise)

6. Monitoring

On-going measurement over a period of time

7. Referral

Arrangement for services by another care provider or agency.

APPENDIX D SURVEY

Goal: Analyze current accreditation, certification, licensing, and regulatory requirements for health professions education that address acute and chronic pain management and substance use disorders. Compare and contrast across the education continuum and across the health professions.

Definitions:

- *Accreditation* refers to the process by which a voluntary, non-governmental agency or organization appraises and grants accredited status to institutions and/or programs or services which meet predetermined structure, process, and outcome criteria.
- *Certification* refers to the process by which a non-governmental agency or association certifies that an individual licensed to practice a profession has met certain predetermined standards specified by that profession for specialty practice.
- *Continuing professional development/continuing education (CPD/CE)* refers to the process of ongoing, lifelong learning to maintain competence, licensure, and/or certification.
- *Graduate* refers to the period in the student role after receiving an undergraduate degree through conferral of a graduate degree, e.g., MSN, DNP, MPH, MSc, PhD, PharmD, JD, MD, DO, DDS, and DMD. Such programs may also lead to eligibility for licensure and certification.
- *Licensing* refers to the formal recognition by a regulatory agency or body that a person has passed all the qualifications to practice that profession in that state.
- *Post-graduate* refers to the period of time for post-graduate or residency/fellowship training, depending on the specific health care profession. Such programs may also lead to eligibility for licensure and certification.
- *Regulation* refers to the process by which an entity ensures that individuals entering (or remaining in) the health workforce have obtained and maintained the core competencies, knowledge, and skills, required for safe practice within their profession that is substantially free of commercial bias.
- *Undergraduate* refers to the period of time in the student role between graduation from secondary education through conferral of an undergraduate degree, such as a baccalaureate degree.

Strategy: Disseminate surveys to collect data from accrediting, certifying, licensing, and regulatory bodies across the health professions.

The <u>Action Collaborative on Countering the U.S. Opioid Epidemic</u>, convened by the National Academy of Medicine, is evaluating requirements established by accrediting, certifying, licensing, and regulatory bodies for health care professionals that address acute and chronic pain management and substance use disorders. The Action Collaborative will use these data to compare and contrast requirements across

the education continuum and across different health professions. The findings from this survey will be used to inform the NAM Action Collaborative and will only be reported in aggregate.

The time to complete this survey is estimated at 15 - 20 minutes.

1. We may need to contact you for clarification of responses.

If you agree, please provide the following:

Name: Email: Phone: Position Title:

- 2. What is the name of your organization?
- 3. Please indicate your organization type (select all that apply):
 - Accrediting Body
 - Certifying Body
 - Licensing Body
 - Regulatory Body
 - Other: Write-In:

4. What level of oversight does your organization have?

- National
- State
- Other:

5. What is the focus of your organization's accreditation/certification/licensure/regulation (select all that apply)?

- Individual person (clinician, practitioner, provider)
- Activity (educational activity or similar)
- Program (undergraduate, graduate, residency, or similar)
- Organization (university, CE provider, or similar)
- Other Write-In:

For questions 6-11, please select what profession your organization accredits/certifies/licenses/regulates and note any specialties that may apply in the given space (check all that apply).

6. Medicine

- MD
- DO

7. Nursing

- Registered Nurse (RN)
- Advanced Practice Registered Nurse

8. Pharmacy

- Pharmacist
- Pharmacist Technician

9. Physician Assistant

• Physician Assistant

10. Dentistry

- DDS
- DMD
- Dental Hygienist
- Dental Assistant

11. Other, such as behavioral health. Please describe.

12. Which element(s) of the education continuum do your organization's expectations or requirements primarily influence or impact (check all that apply)?

- Undergraduate (student)
- Graduate (student)
- Post-graduate (residency/fellowship)
- Practice (practicing health care professionals) CPD/CE

- Practice (practicing health care professionals) credentialing of clinical privileges
- Practice (practicing health care professionals) licensure

13. Does your organization currently have requirements/standards for health care professionals (students, residents, fellows, or practicing health care professionals) that address acute and chronic pain management?

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

14. Does your organization currently have requirements for health care professionals (students, residents, or practicing health care professionals) that address substance use disorders?

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

15. Is there anything else you would like to share regarding this subject?

APPENDIX E ORGANIZATIONS PROVIDING LINKS TO REQUIREMENTS

Board of Dental	http://www.dentalboard.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Rule-2.23_FINAL.pdf
Examiners of Alabama	
	and
	http://www.alabamaadministrativecode.state.al.us/docs/den/index.html
Utah Division of	https://le.utah.gov/xcode/Title58/Chapter37/58-37-S6.5.html?v=C58-
Professional Licensing	37-S6.5_2018050820180508
North Dakota Board	https://www.nddentalboard.org/laws-and-rules/index.asp#register
of Dental Examiners	
	Go to: "Prescribers Please Read - New Laws for using the Prescription Drug Monitor-
	ing Program."
American Osteopathic	https://osteopathic.org/graduate-medical-educators/postdoctoral-training-stan-
Association	dards/
Accreditation Council	https://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Require-
for Graduate Medical	ments
Education	
	and
	https://www.acgme.org/Portals/0/PFAssets/ProgramRequirements/CPRResiden-
	cy2019.pdf
Commission on Dental	CODA's Accreditation Standards for each discipline are found at https://www.ada.
Accreditation	org/en/coda/current-accreditation-standards
National Board of	https://www.nbome.org/docs/Flipbooks/FOMCD/index.html#p=1
Osteopathic Medical	
Examiners	https://www.nbome.org/exams-assessments/comlex-usa/master-blueprint/

	,		
American Board of	The links point to the specialty and subspecialty sites, from where additional links		
Medical Specialties	provide more detail regarding requirements and examination content (most rele-		
	vant is the content covered in the certifying examinations, which will be similar in		
	continuing certification exams - more specificity regarding educational program re-		
	quirements will be found in the analogous ACGME program requirements and stan-		
	dards): https://www.abpmr.org/ http://www.theaba.org/PDFs/Pain-Medicine/		
	PM-Exam-Blueprint		
	https://www.abim.org/~/media/ABIM Public/Files/pdf/exam-blueprints/certifi-		
	cation/hospice-palliative-medicine.pdf		
	https://www.theabpm.org/become-certified/subspecialties/addiction-medicine/		
	https://www.abpn.com/become-certified/taking-a-subspecialty-exam/addiction-		
	psychiatry/		
National Board of	For initial certification, you would need to request this information from the Council		
Certification and	on Accreditation of Nurse Anesthesia Educational Programs (COA).		
Recertification for			
Nurse Anesthetists	For sub-specialty certification see: https://www.nbcrna.com/exams/nspm		
Florida Board of	https://floridasnursing.gov/renewals/advanced-practice-registered-nurse/		
Nursing			
	http://www.floridahealth.gov/programs-and-services/non-opioid-pain-manage-		
	ment/_documents/alternatives-facts-8.5x11-eng.pdf		
Vermont Office	Please click on "Notice of Required Continuing Education Regarding Controlled Sub-		
of Professional	stances." at this link: https://www.sec.state.vt.us/professional-regulation/list-of-		
Regulation/Board of	professions/nursing/advanced-practice-aprns.aspx		
Nursing			
State of Michigan,	Pain CE: http://www.legislature.mi.gov/(S(ieaasfejb4r3xcs1hmz4on1d))/mileg.as		
Department of	px?page=getObject&objectName=mcl-333-16204		
Licensing and			
Regulatory Affairs,	Opioid Training for licensure and renewal- Pharmacy Controlled Substances Rule		
Bureau of Professional	338.3135 https://dtmb.state.mi.us/ARS_Public/AdminCode/AdminCode		
Licensing			
Wyoming State Board	https://drive.google.com/file/d/1teMJT8lAtlnbV6bItaGBKi28ElKGTEO3/view		
of Nursing			
	https://drive.google.com/file/d/1_mmsC2fOSt9WdIEParcVLfCycBZKaJ_e/view		

Massachusetts Board	www.mass.gov/dph/dentalboard (website for the MA Board of Registration		
of Registration in	in Dentistry)		
Dentistry			
Alaska State Board of	https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/cbpl/ProfessionalLicensing/Boardof-		
Nursing	Nursing/NursingStatutesandRegulations.aspx		
Oklahoma Board of	59 O.S. Section 567.4a(3)(b) which can be accessed at http://www.oscn.net/appli-		
Nursing	cations/oscn/DeliverDocument.asp?CiteID=95854		
Texas Board of	https://www.bon.texas.gov/rr_current/222-8.asp		
Nursing			
	https://www.bon.texas.gov/rr_current/228-1.asp		
	https://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/readtac\$ext.TacPage?sl=R&app=9&p_dir=&p_		
	rloc=&p_tloc=&p_ploc=&pg=1&p_tac=&ti=22&pt=11&ch=228&rl=2		
	https://www.bon.texas.gov/practice_guidelines.asp#RG_Prescribe		
Commission on	https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/42/CCNE/PDF/CCNE-Entry-to-Practice-		
Collegiate Nursing	Residency-Standards-2015.pdf		
Organization			
	https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/42/Publications/BaccEssentials08.pdf		
	https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/42/Publications/MastersEssentials11.pdf		
	https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/42/Publications/DNPEssentials.pdf		
National Commission	https://www.nccpa.net/Code-of-conduct		
on Certification of			
Physician Assistants			

APPENDIX F STATE CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION (CME) REQUIREMENTS FOR PRESCRIBERS

This is a revised version of the State Requirements for Pain Management CME by the *New England Journal of Medicine* and the Board-by-Board Overview of Continuing Medical Education by the Federation of State Medical Boards last updated on July 1, 2020 (FSMB, 2021; NEJM Knowledge+, n.d.). Hawaii, Idaho, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota do not have specific CME requirements for prescribers and are not included in the following table. These documents are not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law and are not to be relied on as authoritative.

Alabama	2 hours every 2 years	Ala. Admin. Code r.
	Alabama Controlled Substance Certificate holders must com-	540+x+14.02
	plete 2 Category 1 hours every 2 years in the area of con-	
	trolled substance prescribing practices, recognizing signs of	
	the abuse or misuse of controlled substances, or controlled	
	substance prescribing for chronic pain.	
Alabama	4 hours every 2 years	Ala. Admin. Code r.
(Physician Assis-	Licensees who hold a Qualified Alabama Controlled Sub-	540+x+14.02
tant, PA)	stances Certificate are required 4 hours of AMA PRA Category	
	<i>1 Credit</i> [™] in advanced pharmacology and prescribing trends	
	relating to controlled substances every two years.	
Alaska	2 hours every 2 years	Alaska Admin. Code tit.
	Each licensee who holds a Drug Enforcement Administration	12, § 40.200.
	(DEA) number, must take at least 2 hours (American Medi-	
	cal Association [AMA] Category 1 or American Osteopathic	
	Association [AOA] Category 1 or 2) in pain management and	
	opioid use and addiction.	
Alaska	2 hours every 2 years	Alaska Statute
(PA)	Holders of a valid federal DEA registration number are re-	§8-36-070(a)
	quired 2 hours in pain management and opioid misuse and	
	abuse.	
Arizona	3 hours every 2 years	Ariz. Admin. Code R4-
(Medicine/	Health care professionals with a valid DEA registration num-	22-207
Osteoapthy, MD/	ber must complete 3 hours of opioid-related substance use	
D0)	disorder or addiction-related CME each renewal cycle.	

Arizona (PA)	3 hours every cycle Effective April 26, 2018, licensees who are authorized to prescribe Schedule II controlled substances and hold a valid DEA number or a licensee who is authorized to dispense con- trolled substances shall complete a minimum of 3 hours of CE related to opioids, substance abuse/use disorder, or ad- diction every renewal.	
Arkansas (PA)	1 hours every year; plus one-time requirements Beginning with 2019 renewals, all licensees are required to complete 1 hour on the prescribing of opioids and benzodiaz- epines. PAs authorized to prescribe Schedule II medications must complete a one-time requirement of 5 hours in the area of pain management. All licensed prescribers must complete a one-time requirement of 3 hours in prescribing education, including Board Regulations and Laws, record keeping, and maintaining safe, professional boundaries within the first 2 years of licensure.	Ark. Code R. 060.00.001 Reg. No. 17
California (MD/DO)	 12 hours or units, one-time Physicians (except pathologists and radiologists) must take 12 units on pain-management and the appropriate care and treatment of the terminally ill. OR, physicians may complete 12 credit hours in the subjects of treatment and management of opiate-dependent patients, including 8 hours of training in buprenorphine treatment or other similar medicinal treatment for opioid use disorders. General internists and family physicians who have over 25% of the patient population at least 65 years of age are required to complete at least 20 percent of their mandatory CME in 	§ Sec. 2190.5 Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § Sec. 2190.6
California (PA)	geriatric medicine.6 hours, one-timeLicensees authorized to prescribe controlled substances have a one-time requirement of 6 hours of controlled substance education that includes 3 hours specific to Schedule II sub- stances. Effective January 1, 2019, controlled substance edu- cation must include the risks of addiction associated with the use of Schedule II controlled substances.	16 Cal. Admin. Code §1635

Colorado (PA)	1 hour every 6 years Effective March 30, 2020, all physicians and physician assistants are required 2 hours of training to demonstrate competency in preventing substance abuse and/or to demonstrate competency in treating patients with substance use disorders, every renewal. Training must cover or be related to the following topics: the best practices for opioid prescribing, according to the most recent version of the Division's Guidelines for the Safe Prescribing and Dispensing of Opioids; the recognition of substance use disorders; the referral of patients with substance use disorders for treatment; and the use of the electronic prescription drug monitoring program created in Colo. Rev. Stat. 12-280-4	Colo. Rev. Stat. 12- 280-4
Connecticut	1 hour every 6 years Physicians must take 1 contact hour of training or education on the topic of risk management, including, but not limited to, prescribing controlled substances and pain management.	Conn. Gen. Stat. § 20- l0(b)
Connecticut (PA)	1 hour every 2 years Maintain certification through the National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants and complete 1 hour of prescribing controlled substances and pain management ev- ery two years.	Conn. Gen. Stat. § 20- 10(b)
Delaware (MD/DO/PA)	2 hours, every 2 years Practitioners with prescriptive authority are required to com- plete 2 hours of continuing education in the area of controlled substance prescribing practices, treatment of chronic pain, or other topics relating to controlled substances, and 1 hour on Delaware Law pertaining to the prescribing and distribution of controlled substances within the first year of registration.	24 Del. Admin. Code Uniform Controlled Substances Act Regu- lations 3.1.3.
Washington, DC	1 course every 2 years Physicians, PAs, and Nurses must complete 1 course in the subject of pharmacology.	D.C. Mun. Regs. tit.17, § 4614 D.C. Official Code § 31205.10
Florida (MD/DO)	2 hours every 2 years Each person registered with the DEA and authorized to pre- scribe controlled substances must complete 2 hours of AMA Category 1 or AOA Category 1A on prescribing controlled substances.	Fla. Admin. Code. Ann. R. 64B15-13.001

Florida (PA)	10 hours every 2 years PAs registered with the DEA and authorized to prescribe con- trolled substances must complete 10 hours in the specialty area of the supervising physician, 3 of which must be on the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substance medi- cations.	Fla. Stat. Ann. 456.0301
Georgia	3 hours Each licensee with a DEA registration and who prescribescontrolled substances must complete 3 hours of Category 1CME on responsible opioid prescribing.	Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 360-1501
Georgia (PA)	3 hours every 2 yearsLicensees who are authorized to issue prescription drugs are required a minimum of 3 hours in practice specific pharma- ceuticals (according to prescription order privileges of the supervising physician) every renewal cycle.	Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 360-1501
Illinois	 3 hours every 3 years Beginning in 2020, physicians must complete 3 CME hours on safe opioid prescribing practices. CME taken by physicians as a requirement for licensure in another state, or for purposes of board certification application or renewal, count toward this new requirement. 	720 Ill. Controlled Sub- stances Act 570/315.5
Illinois (PA)	3 hours every 2 years Licensees who prescribe controlled substances must com- plete 3 hours of safe opioid prescribing practices every two years. Licensees with Schedule II controlled substances pre- scriptive authority are required 10 hours of pharmacology every two years.	720 Ill. Controlled Substances Act 570/315.5
Indiana	2 hours every 2 years Physicians must complete 2 hours of CME on the topic of opioid prescribing and opioid abuse.	Ind. Code 35-48-3-3.5
Indiana (PA)	2 hours every 2 years Effective July 1, 2019, all practitioners registered to dispense controlled substances must have completed 2 hours of con- tinuing education during the previous two years addressing the topics of opioid prescribing and opioid abuse.	
Iowa	2 hours, every 5 years Physicians must complete 2 hours of Category 1 training for chronic pain management.	Iowa Admin. Code r. 653-11.4(1)

Iowa	2 hours, every 2 years	Iowa Admin. Code r.
(PA)	Licensees who have prescribed opioids during the pre- vious licensing period are required to complete 2 hours regarding the Centers for Disease Control and Preven- tion's (CDC's) Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain every two years.	653-11.4(272C)
Kentucky	60 hours every 3 years 30 must be in Category 1; One-time domestic violence course for primary care physicians; A minimum of 2 hours must be acquired once every 10 years in HIV/AIDS education; For each three (3) year continuing education cycle beginning on January 1, 2015, at least 4.5 hours of approved continuing education hours relating to the use of Kentucky All Schedule Prescription Electronic Reporting, pain management, addiction disorders, or a combination of two (2) or more of those subjects for licensees who are authorized to prescribe or dispense controlled substances within the Commonwealth.	
Louisiana	 3 hours one-time only All licensees with a Controlled Dangerous Substance (CDS) license must complete a one-time, 3 our CME course on drug diversion training, best prescribing prac- tices of controlled substances, and appropriate treat- ment for addiction. 	La. Admin. Code tit. 46, pt. XLV, § 435
Louisiana (PA)	3 hours one-time only Practitioners with a CDS license are required at least 3 hours of Board-approved continuing education on the best practices for the prescribing of CDS, drug diversion training, appropriate treatment for addiction, and the treatment of chronic pain.	La. Admin. Code tit. 46, pt. XLV, § 435
Maine (MD/DO)	3 hours every 2 years All licensees must complete 3 hours of AMA category 1 CME on opioid prescribing every 2 years.	Maine Admin Law §1726
Maine (PA)	3 hours every 2 years Licensees with prescriptive authority are required 3 hours on the prescribing of opioid medication every re- newal.	Maine Legislative Document 1660
Maryland	1 hours every 2 years Physicians must complete 1 Category 1 CME hour on opioid prescribing.	Code Of Md. Regs. 10.40.02.03(B)

Maryland	2 hours one-time only	Code Of Md. Regs.
(PA)	PAs applying for a new or renewal registration to dispense or prescribe controlled dangerous substances from the Office of Controlled Substances Administration must complete a one-time requirement of 2 hours on the prescribing or dispensing of controlled dangerous substances.	10.40.02.03(B)
Massachusetts	3 credit hours each cycle Licensees must complete 3 credits on opioids and pain management.	Mass. General Law, Chapter 94C, Section 18
Massachusetts (PA)	Every renewal cycle Licensees authorized to prescribe controlled substances must complete continuing education relative to: effec- tive pain management, the risks of abuse and addiction associated with opioid medications, the identification of patients at risk for substance abuse, counseling patients about the side effects, addictive nature and proper stor- age and disposal of prescription medications, appro- priate prescription quantities with an increased risk of abuse, and opioid antagonists and overdose prevention treatments.	Mass. General Law, Chapter 94C, Section 18
Michigan	3 hours every 3 years	Mich. Board of Regis-
(MD/DO)	Physicians must complete 3 hours of Category 1 CME in the area of pain and symptom management	Ũ
Michigan	One-time training	Mich. Admin. Code R.
(PA)	Beginning September 1, 2019, a PA seeking a controlled substance license, or who is licensed to prescribe or dis- pense controlled substances, must complete a one-time training in opioids and controlled substance awareness prior to being issued a controlled substance license.	338.23712382

Minnesota (MD/DO/PA)	2 hours All health care licensees who have authority to prescribe controlled substances must obtain 2 hours of continu- ing education credits between Jan. 1, 2020 and Dec. 31, 2022 that include content on best practices in prescrib- ing opioids and controlled substances and non-pharma- cological and implantable device alternatives for treat- ment of pain and ongoing pain.	Minn. Stat. § 214.12
Mississippi	5 hours Those with active DEA certificates must complete 5 Category 1 hours on the subject of prescribing medications with an emphasis on controlled substances.	Miss. Code Rules 50 013 001
Mississippi (PA)	 10 hours every 2 years PAs authorized to prescribe controlled substances must show proof of completing 10 hours related to the prescribing of medications with an emphasis on controlled substances each renewal. 	Miss. Code Rules 50 013 001
Nebraska	 3 hours every 2 years Physicians who prescribe controlled substances must complete at least 3 hours of CME every 2 years regarding prescribing opioids. One half hour of these 3 hours must cover Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs). 	§016
Nebraska (PA)	3 hours every 2 years Beginning with renewals on or after October 1, 2018, licensees who prescribe controlled substances must complete at least 3 hours on prescribing opiates, which may include, but is not limited to, education regarding prescribing and administering opiates, the risks and in- dicators regarding development of addiction to opiates, and emergency opiate situations. One half-hour of the 3 hours must cover the PDMP described in sections 71- 2454 to 71-2456 of the Nebraska Revised Statutes.	§ 016
Nevada (MD)	4 hours every 2 yearsNev. Rev. Stat.Physicians must complete 2 Category 1 hours in medical630.253; Nev. Aethics, pain management, or addiction care, 2 CategoryCode ch. 630, s.1 hours in misuse and abuse of controlled substances,154, 155prescribing opioids, or addiction.154, 155	
Nevada (DO)	2 hours every odd year renewal Osteopathic physicians must complete 2 hours in ethics, pain management, or addiction care.	Nev. Rev. Stat. 630.253; Nev. Admin. Code ch. 630, s. 153, 154, 155

Navada	2 hours output odd woon not start	New Deve Stat	
Nevada (PA)	2 hours every odd year renewal PAs must complete 2 hours in ethics, pain management, and/or addiction care every renewal.		
New Hampshire	3 hours every 2 years Physicians who hold a DEA license number must complete 3 hours of continuing education or pass an online examination, in the area of pain management and addiction disorder or a combination, as a condition for initial licensure and license renewal as part of their 2-year renewal cycle.		
New Hampshire (PA)	3 hours each year Licensees who hold a DEA license number are required to complete 3 hours of continuing education or pass an online examination, in the area of pain management and addiction disorder or a combination, as a condition for initial licensure and license renewal.	N.H. Rev. Stat. § 126- A:97	
New Jersey	1 hour every 2 years Physicians must complete 1 Category 1 credit hour in topics concerning prescription opioid drugs, including responsible prescribing practices, alternatives to opi- oids for managing and treating pain, and the risks and signs of opioid abuse, addiction, and diversion.	13:35-6.15.	
New Jersey (PA)	1 hour every 2 years Licensees authorized to prescribe controlled substanc- es are required 1 hour in topics related to responsible opioid prescribing, alternatives to opioids for manag- ing and treating pain, and the risks and signs of opioid abuse, addiction, and diversion.	N.J. Stat. Ann. § 45:9- 7.1; N.J. Admin. Code 13:35-6.15	
New Mexico (MD)	5 hours every 3 years New and current physician licensees who hold a federal DEA registration and a New Mexico controlled substanc- es registration must complete 5 CME hours in the phar- macology of controlled substances, addiction and diver- sion, regulations for prescribing controlled substances, or pain management.		
New Mexico (PA)	3 hours every 2 years Licensees who hold a federal DEA registration and a New Mexico controlled substances registration must com- plete 3 CME hours in the pharmacology of controlled substances, addiction and diversion, regulations for pre- scribing controlled substances, or pain management.	N.M. Code R.§ 16.10.14.11	

New Mexico (Osteopathic Phy- sician Assistant, OPA)	6 hours every 3 years Licensees who hold a federal DEA registration and a li- cense to prescribe opioids are required to complete 6 hours of non-cancer pain management education each triennial renewal cycle.	N.M. Code R. § 16. 17.4.11	
New York (MD/DO/PA)	3 hours every 3 years Licensees authorized to prescribe controlled substances must complete at least 3 hours of training in pain man- agement, palliative care, and addiction every three years.	N.Y. Pub Health Law §3309-A	
North Carolina			
North Carolina (PA)	2 hours every 2 years Licensees authorized to prescribe controlled substances are required 2 hours in controlled substances every re- newal.	N.C. Admin. Code tit. 21, r. 32R.0101	
Ohio	20 hours every 2 years Physician owner/operators of pain management clin- ics must complete 20 hours of Category 1 CME in pain medicine every 2 years, to include one or more courses addressing the potential for addiction.	Ohio Admin. Code § 4731-29-01	
Ohio (PA)	12 hours every 2 years PAs authorized to prescribe must complete 12 hours in pharmacology pertaining to medicine/medications from an accredited institution recognized by the state medical board every two years.	Ohio Rev. Code § 4730.49	
Oklahoma (MD)	1 hour every 3 years Licensees must complete at least 1 hour of education in pain management OR 1 hour of education in opioid use or addiction in each renewal cycle unless the licensee has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Board that the licensee does not currently hold a valid federal DEA registration number.	Okla. Admin. Code § 435:10-1 5-1	
Oklahoma (DO)	1 hour, every 2 years Osteopathic physicians must earn 1 hour on prescribing, dispensing, and administering controlled substances.	Okla. Admin. Code § 510:10-3-8	

Oklahoma	1 hour, every 2 years	Okla. Admin. Code §
(PA)	PAs must earn 1 hour of Category 1 CME on the topic of substance abuse.	435:10-1 5-1
Oregon	6 hours one time Licensees must complete a 1-hour course on pain man- agement and a minimum of 6 CME credit hours in the subject of pain management and/or the treatment of terminally ill and dying patients. Exceptions include li- censees holding Lapsed, Limited, Telemedicine, Telera- diology, or Telemonitoring licenses.	Ore. Admin. R § 847- 008-0070
Oregon (PA)	6 hours one time There is a one-time requirement of 6 hours in pain man- agement and/or treatment of the terminally ill and dy- ing patients. An additional 1 hour must be specific to Or- egon provided by the Pain Management Commission of the Department of Human Services.	Ore. Admin. R § 847- 008-0075(1)
Pennsylvania (MD/DO)	4 hours, once, for initial licensure; 2 hours, every 2 years Within 12 months of initial licensure, licensees must take 2 hours of CME on pain management or identification of addiction, as well as 2 hours on practices of prescribing or dispensing opioids. Subsequent license renewals require 2 hours of CME on pain management, identification of addiction, or prescribing practices.	Pa. Code tit. 49, § 16.19
Pennsylvania (PA/OPA)	nsylvania 2 hours, every 2 years	
Rhode Island (MD/DO/ PA)	8 hours one-time Effective January 2, 2020, licensees who prescribe Schedule II opioids have a one-time requirement of 8 hours of Category I CME in any or all of the following topics: The appropriate prescribing of opioids for pain; Pharmacology; Adverse events; Potential for depen- dence; Tolerance; Substance use disorder; and Alterna- tives to opioids for pain management.	216-20-20 R.I. Code Regs. §4.4
South Carolina2 hours every 2 yearsLicensees must complete at least 2 hours of Category 1 credits related to approved procedures for prescribing and monitoring schedules II-IV controlled substances.		S.C. Code § 40-47-40; S.C. Code Regs. 81-95

South Carolina (PA)	4 hours every 2 years	S.C. Code § 40-47-965
	All licensees who are authorized to prescribe controlled substances are required 4 hours of controlled substance education every renewal.	
Tennessee (MD)	2 hours every 2 years Licensees must complete 2 hours on controlled sub- stance prescribing, including instruction in the Depart- ment's treatment guidelines on opioids, benzodiazepine, barbiturates, and carisoprodol and may include topics such as addiction, risk management tolls, and other top- ics approved by the Board. Providers of intractable pain treatment must have specialized CME in pain manage- ment.	Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 0880-0219; Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 0880-0214
Tennessee (DO)	2 hours every 2 years At least 2 credit hours must be a course(s) designated to address prescribing practices.	Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 1050-0212
Tennessee (PA)	2 hours every 2 years All licensees are required 2 hours of prescribing con- trolled substances which must include instruction in the Tenn. Chronic Pain Guidelines.	Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 0880-0219; Tenn. Comp. R. & Regs. 0880-0214
Texas	2 hours every 2 years Licensees must complete 2 AMA Category 1 or AOA Category 1A hours on medical ethics and/or professional responsibility, including, but not limited to, risk management, domestic abuse, or child abuse. Licensees practicing in a pain management clinic must complete 10 hours of CME annually in the area of pain management.	Tex. Occupations Code §§ 156.051 through 156.057; Tex. Admin. Code tit. 22, § 166.2
Texas (PA)	2 hours every 2 years Beginning with 2021 renewals and annually thereafter, licensees practicing direct patient care must complete 2 hours of Category 1 credit covering safe and effective pain management related to the prescription of opioids and controlled substances. Additionally, licensees au- thorized to prescribe or dispense opioids shall annually attend at least 1 hour covering best practices and topics related to pain management and treatment options.	Tex. Occupations Code § 157.0513(a)
Utah (MD/DO)	3.5 hours Controlled substance prescribers must complete at least 3.5 hours of continuing education in 1 or more con- trolled substance prescribing classes.	Utah Admin. Code r. 156-67-304

Utah (PA)	 4 hours every 2 years All controlled substance prescribers must complete 4 hours in controlled substance prescribing every renewal, .5 of which must be completed through an online tutorial and test, as described by the Board in section 58-37f-402.* The remaining 3.5 hours may be completed through an AMA PRA Category 1 Credit[™] course that meets Board requirements. *The online tutorial and test may only be offered by the Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing. Access the training here: https://dopl.utah.gov/csd/index.html. 		
Vermont (MD)	3 hours every 2 years Licensees must earn 1 hour on hospice, palliative care, or pain management services. Additionally, each licensee who holds a DEA registration number must earn at least 2 CME hours on the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substances and pain management.	1400	
Vermont (PA)	2 hours every 2 years Beginning with 2018 renewals, all licensees who pre- scribe controlled substances must show evidence of 2 hours related to the safe and effective prescribing of controlled substances.	Vt. Rules of the Board of Medical Practice § 28.3.3	
Virginia	2 hours every 2 years Licensees must earn 2 hours in pain management, prop- er prescribing of controlled substances and the diagno- sis and management of addiction.	Va. Code § 54.1- 2912.1	
Virginia (PA)	2 hours every 2 years Effective July 1, 2017, prescribers are required 2 hours in topics related to pain management, responsible pre- scribing of covered/controlled substances, and diagno- sis and management of addiction every renewal.	Va. Code § 54.1- 2912.1	
Washington (MD/DO/PA)1-hour, one-time requirement Effective January 1, 2019, any physician licensed to pre- scribe opioids must complete a 1-hour CE requirement regarding best practices in the prescribing of opioids or the opioid prescribing rules of the Washington Adminis- trative Code.Wa. Administrative 919-875.			

West Virginia (MD/DO/PA/OPA)	3 hours, every 2 year renewal cycle Physicians who have prescribed, administered, or dispensed any controlled substance pursuant to a West Virginia license in the two-year license cycle preceding renewal, are required to complete 3-hours of Board-approved CME in drug diversion training and best practice prescribing of controlled substances training during each reporting period.	§ 30-1-7a; W. Va. Code R. § 24-1-15.2.g.	
Wisconsin	2 hours every 2 years Licensees must complete 2 hours of Category 1 hours on the opioid prescribing guidelines issued by the Board.	Wis. Admin. Code MED § 13.02.	
Wyoming (MD/DO/PA)3 hours every 2 years Licensees who have prescriptive authority must complete 3 hours of continuing education related to the responsible prescribing of controlled substance or treatment of substance abuse disorders every 2 years.		Wy. Stat. § 33-21-129	

APPENDIX G STATE CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR NURSING

This list comes from AAACEUs and is a list of all continuing education (CE) requirements for registered nurses (RNs) and licensed practical nurses (LPNs) by state (AAACEUs, n.d.).

State	CE Requirements for RNs	CE Requirements for LPNs	Special	State
			Requirements	Governing
			and Notes	Agency
Alabama	Twenty-four contact hours ev-	Twenty-four contact hours	Four contact	<u>Alabama</u>
	ery 2 years for RNs. Twelve con-	every 2 years for LPNs. Twelve	hours of Alabama	<u>Board of</u>
	tact hours allowed through inde-	contact hours allowed through	Board- provided	Nursing
	pendent study.	independent study.	CE related to	
			Board functions,	
			the Nurse Practice	
			Act, regulations,	
			professional con-	
			duct, and account-	
			ability is required	
			for the first li-	
			cense renewal. RN	
			licenses are valid	
			from January 1 of	
			each odd-num-	
			bered year and	
			expires December	
			31 of each even-	
			numbered year.	
Alaska	RNs: Two of the following three	LPNs: Two of the following		<u>Alaska</u>
	are required for license renewal:	three are required for license		Board of
	30 contact hours, OR 30 hours	renewal: 30 contact hours, OR		Nursing
	professional nursing activities,			<u>Dept. of</u>
	OR 320 hours nursing employ-	activities, OR 320 hours nursing		<u>Commer-</u>
	ment.	employment.		<u>cial and</u>
				Economical
				<u>Develop-</u>
				<u>ment Divi-</u>
				<u>sion of Oc-</u>
				<u>cupational</u>
				Licensing

Arizona	CE not required.	CE not required.		Arizona State Board of Nursing
Arkansas	RNs: 15 contact hours every two years, or certification or recerti- fication during the renewal peri- od by a national certifying body, or completion of a recognized academic course in nursing or a related field.	LPNs: 15 contact hours every two years, or certification or re- certification during the renewal period by a national certifying body, or completion of a recog- nized academic course in nurs- ing or a related field.		<u>Arkansas</u> <u>State Board</u> of Nursing
California	All RNs in the State of California who wish to maintain an active license are required to complete 30 hours of CE for license re- newal.	LPNs must complete 30 contact hours of CE every two years in order to renew their license with an active status		State of California Board of Registered Nursing
Colorado	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Colorado</u> <u>Board of</u> <u>Nursing</u>
Connecti- cut	CE not required.	CE not required.		Connecti- cut Board of Exam- iners for Nursing Division of Health Systems Regulation
Delaware	RNs are required to complete 30 contact hours every two years.	LPNs are required to complete 24 contact hours every two years.	Three of the 30 hours must be in the area of sub- stance abuse.	Delaware Board of Nursing

	r			
District of	RNs are required 24 contact	LPNs must complete 18 hours	Three contact	<u>District of</u>
Columbia	hours every two years, three of	of CE. Compliance Options: (1)	hours on HIV/	<u>Columbia</u>
	which must be in HIV/AIDS and	Contact Hour Option: Provide	AIDS is required,	<u>Board of</u>
	are required to complete two	Course Completion Certificates;	beginning with	Nursing
	hours of instruction in cultural	(2) Academic Option: Provide	the 2016 renewal.	
	competency focusing on patients	transcript that indicates com-		
	who identify as LGBTQ.	pletion of an undergraduate or		
		graduate course in nursing or		
		relevant to the practice of nurs-		
		ing; (3) Teaching Option: Pro-		
		vide acceptance letter/email as		
		evidence of having developed		
		or taught a CE course or edu-		
		cational offering approved by		
		the Board or a Board-approved		
		accrediting body; (4) Author or		
		Editor Option: Provide accep-		
		tance letter from publishers,		
		as evidence that you are an au-		
		thored or edited a book, chap-		
		ter or published peer reviewed		
		periodical.		

Florida	All Florida-licensed RNs are now	All Florida-licensed LPNs are	Click here to view	Florida
	in a 24-month renewal cycle		AAACEUs courses	Board of
	and must complete 24 hours of		that were de-	Nursing
	appropriate CE during each re-	hours of appropriate CE during	signed specifically	
	newal period. One contact hour	each renewal period. One con-	for Florida.	
	is required for each calendar	tact hour is required for each		
	month of the licensure cycle, in-	calendar month of the licensure		
	cluding two hours on prevention	cycle, including two hours on		
	of medical errors. HIV/AIDS is	prevention of medical errors.		
	now a one-time, one-hour CE re-	HIV/AIDS is now a one-time,		
	quirement to be completed prior	one-hour CE requirement to		
	to the first renewal. Domestic	be completed prior to the first		
	Violence CE is now a two-hour	renewal. Domestic Violence CE		
	requirement every third renew-	is now a two-hour requirement		
	al. There is a new two-hour CE	every third renewal. For exam-		
	course requirement for Recog-	ple, if you renew your license		
	nizing Impairment in the Work-	on January 31, 2007, you are		
	place that becomes effective Au-	required to complete the Do-		
	gust 1, 2017, then every other	mestic Violence CE before the		
	biennium thereafter. Registered	January 31, 2011 renewal.		
	Nursing Group 1 will be the first			
	group required to have the new			
	CE for the renewal period end-			
	ing April 30, 2018.			
Georgia	Thirty hours every two years	Thirty hours every two years	See state website	<u>Georgia</u>
			for additional	<u>Board of</u>
			renewal options	Nursing
Hawaii	Beginning July 1, 2017, for every	Beginning July 1, 2017, for	For more options,	<u>Hawaii</u>
	two-year renewal period, RNs	every two-year renewal period,	see the Hawaii	<u>Board of</u>
	must complete one of the fol-	LPNs must complete one of the	Board of Nursing	<u>Nursing</u>
	lowing: 30 contact hours of CE,	following: 30 contact hours	Professional and	<u>Profes-</u>
	completion of a Board-approved	-	Vocational Licens-	<u>sional and</u>
	refresher course, completion	approved refresher course,	ing	<u>Vocational</u>
	of a minimum of two semester	completion of a minimum of		Licensing
	credits of post-licensure aca-	two semester credits of post-		<u>Division</u>
	demic education related to nurs-	licensure academic education		
	ing practice from an accredited			
	nursing program.	an accredited nursing program.		

Idaho	New Continuing Competency	New Continuing Competency	<u>Idaho</u>
	Requirements (effective with	Requirements (effective with	Board of
	the 2019 renewal); in order to	the 2018 renewal); in order to	Nursing
	renew, a licensee shall complete	renew, a licensee shall complete	
	or comply with at least two of	or comply with at least two (2)	
	any of the learning activities	of any of the learning activities	
	listed below within the two-year	listed in the RN requirements	
	renewal period. a. Practice: i.	within the two (2) year renewal	
	Current nursing specialty certifi-	period.	
	cation as defined in Section 402;		
	ii. 100 hours of practice or simu-		
	lation practice b. Education, CE,		
	E-learning, and In-service: i. 15		
	contact hours of continuing edu-		
	cation; ii. Completion of a mini-		
	mum of one (1) semester credit		
	hour of post-licensure academic		
	education; iii. Completion of		
	a Board-recognized refresher		
	course; See additional options		
	on Idaho Board of Nursing web-		
	site		
Illinois	RNs are required to complete 20	LPNs are required to complete	<u>Illinois De-</u>
	contact hours every two years.	20 contact hours every two	<u>partment</u>
		years.	<u>of Profes-</u>
			<u>sional</u>
			<u>Regulation</u>
Indiana	CE not required.	CE not required.	<u>Indiana</u>
			<u>State Board</u>
			<u>of Nurs-</u>
			<u>ing Health</u>
			Professions
			<u>Bureau</u>

Iowa	RNs and LPNs: For renewal of a	RNs and LPNs: For renewal of a	For renewal of a	<u>Iowa Board</u>
	three-year license, the require-	three-year license, the require-	license that has	of Nursing
	ment is 36 contact hours. For re-	ment is 36 contact hours. For	been issued for	
	newal of a license that has been	renewal of a license that has	less than three	
	issued for less than three years,	been issued for less than three	years, the require-	
	the requirement is 24 contact	years, the requirement is 24	ment is 24 contact	
	hours. For reactivation from an	contact hours. For reactiva-	hours or 2.4 CEUs.	
	inactive status, the requirement	tion from an inactive status,		
	is 12 contact hours that are not	the requirement is 12 contact		
	more than 12 months old at the	hours that are not more than		
	time the credit is submitted for	12 months old at the time the		
	reactivation. For renewal of a	credit is submitted for reactiva-		
	license that has been issued for	tion. For renewal of a license		
	less than three years, the re-	that has been issued for less		
	quirement is 24 contact hours or	than three years, the require-		
	2.4 CE Units. It is also required	ment is 24 contact hours or 2.4		
	that RNs and LPNs who regu-	CE Units. It is also required that		
	larly examine, attend, counsel,	RNs and LPNs who regularly ex-		
	or treat dependent adults or	amine, attend, counsel, or treat		
	children in Iowa complete train-	dependent adults or children in		
	ing related to the identification	Iowa complete training related		
	and reporting of child/depen-	to the identification and report-		
	dent adult abuse. The licensee	ing of child/dependent adult		
	is required to complete at least	abuse. The licensee is required		
	two hours of training every five	to complete at least two hours		
	years.	of training every five years.		
Kansas	RNs are required to complete 30	LPNs are required to complete		<u>Kansas</u>
	contact hours every two years.	30 contact hours every two		<u>State Board</u>
	There is no maximum on the	years. There is no maximum		of Nursing
	number of independent study	on the number of independent		
	hours that can be obtained.	study hours that can be ob-		
		tained.		
Maine	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Maine State</u>
				<u>Board of</u>
				Nursing
Maryland	No CE required, but an approved	CE not required.		Maryland
	refresher course is needed.			Board of
				Nursing

Massa-	RNs are required to complete 15	LPNs are required to complete		Massachu-
chusetts	contact hours every two years.	15 contact hours every two		<u>setts Board</u>
		years.		of Regis-
				<u>tration in</u>
				<u>Nursing</u>
				<u>Division</u>
				of Profes-
				<u>sional</u>
				<u>Licensure</u>
Kentucky	RNs must have proof of earn-	LPNs must have proof of earn-	Click here to view	<u>Kentucky</u>
	ing 14 approved contact hours	ing 14 approved contact hours	our courses that	Board of
	or one of the other competency	or one of the other competency	were designed	Nursing
	options stated by the Kentucky	options stated by the Kentucky	specifically for	
	Board of Nursing (see website	Board of Nursing (see website	Kentucky.	
	link). Other required courses:	link)		
	Course- Pediatric Abusive Head		Additional Re-	
	Trauma, also known as "Shaken		quirements - see	
	Baby Syndrome." 1.5 hours. This		State Website	
	is a one-time CE requirement			
	covering the recognition and			
	prevention of pediatric abusive			
	head trauma. Nurses licensed			
	as of July 15, 2010 have until			
	December 31, 2013 to complete			
	the course. Nurses licensed after			
	that date have three years from			
	the date of licensure to complete			
	the course. Course- HIV/AIDs.			
	All nurses are required to earn			
	two contact hours of approved			
	HIV/AIDS CE within the appro-			
	priate 10-year period.			

Louisiana	RNs and LPNs: The annual con-	RNs and LPNs: The annual con-	For RNs with	Louisiana
	tinuing education requirement	tinuing education requirement	employer-verified	State Board
	are based on employment: five	are based on employment: five	nursing practice	of Nursing
	contact hours (full-time nurs-	contact hours (full-time nurs-	of 1,600 hours	_
	ing practice), 10 contact hours	ing practice), 10 contact hours	or more for the	
	(part-time nursing practice), or	(part-time nursing practice), or	current year (10	
	15 contact hours (not employed	15 contact hours (not employed	full months at 40	
	or worked less than 160 hours).	or worked less than 160 hours).	hours per week),	
			a minimum of five	
			contact hours of	
			nursing CEs are	
			required each	
			year to renew. For	
			an RN whose em-	
			ployer- verified	
			nursing practice	
			for the year was	
			159 hours or less	
			- or - the nurse	
			who was either	
			unemployed or	
			self-employed for	
			the current year,	
			a minimum of 15	
			contact hours is	
			required. For RNs	
			whose employer-	
			verified nursing	
			practice was be-	
			tween 160 hours	
			to 1,599 hours	
			for the current	
			calendar year, the	
			RN will need a	
			minimum of 10 contact hours to	
			qualify for annual	
			renewal.	

341.1.1			D 1 1 11	NC: 1 ·
Michigan	All Michigan licensed nurses		Beginning with	<u>Michigan</u>
	must complete 25 hours of	requirements as RNs.	the 2017 renewal	<u>Depart-</u>
	Board- approved CE, with at		cycle, all licensees	<u>ment of</u>
	least one hour in pain and symp-		must complete a	<u>Commu-</u>
	tom management, within the		one-time train-	<u>nity Health</u>
	two years immediately preced-		ing in identifying	<u>Bureau</u>
	ing the expiration date of their		victims of human	<u>of Health</u>
	license.		trafficking. This	Professions
			requirement must	<u>Michigan</u>
			be completed	<u>Board of</u>
			prior to the 2019	<u>Nursing</u>
			renewal cycle.	
			Require one hour	
			in pain and symp-	
			tom management	
Minne-	RNs must complete 24 contact	LPNs must complete 12 contact		<u>Minnesota</u>
sota	hours every two years.	hours every two years.		<u>Board of</u>
				Nursing
Missis-	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Mississippi</u>
sippi				<u>Board of</u>
				<u>Nursing</u>
Missouri	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Missouri</u>
				<u>State Board</u>
				of Nursing
Montana	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Montana</u>
				<u>State Board</u>
				of Nursing
Nevada	For relicensure, RNs and LPNs	For relicensure, RNs and LPNs	One-time manda-	<u>Nevada</u>
	must have completed 30 hours	must have completed 30 hours	tory Bio-Terror-	<u>State Board</u>
	of nursing-related continuing	of nursing-related continuing	ism course of four	of Nursing
	education in the previous 24	education in the previous 24	hours	
	months and must have complet-	months and must have complet-		
	ed the state-required bioterror-	ed the state-required bioterror-		
	ism course.	ism course.		
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•

Nebraska	Renewal requirements for RNs and LPNs: Must be 20 contact hours within the last renewal period. Must be related to the practice of nursing. At least 10 of the 20 hours must be formally	and LPNs: Must be 20 contact hours within the last renewal period. Must be related to the practice of nursing. At least	No more than 4 hours are CPR or BLS classes	Nebraska Depart- ment of Health and Human
	of the 20 hours must be formally peer reviewed* and approved CE. Up to four hours may be car- diopulmonary resuscitation or basic life support courses. *Courses approved by another board of nursing are considered "Peer Reviewed." All AAACEUs courses are approved by the California Florida, and Delaware Boards of Nursing. See approvals and accredita- tions: https://www.aaaceus. com/certifications.asp	approved continuing education.		Services Regulation and Licen- sure Cre- dentialing Division Nursing Support Section
New Hamp- shire	RNs and LPNs: 30 contact hours in two years immediately pre- ceding license application, in- cluding workshops, conferenc- es, lectures or other education offerings designed to enhance nursing knowledge, judgment, and skills. There is no limit to the number of contact hours that can be completed through independent study.	and skills. There is no limit to the number of contact hours		<u>New</u> <u>Hampshire</u> <u>Board of</u> <u>Nursing</u>
New Jersey	CE requirements for New Jer- sey: 30 contact hours every two years for RNs	CE requirements for New Jer- sey: 30 contact hours every two years for LPNs	Mandatory one- hour tissue organ donation course required	<u>New Jersey</u> <u>Board of</u> <u>Nursing</u>
New Mexico	RNs are required to complete 30 hours of approved CE within the 24 months immediately preced- ing expiration of license.	LPNs are required to complete 30 hours of approved CE within the 24 months immediately preceding expiration of license.		<u>New Mexi-</u> co Board of Nursing

New York	RNs are required to complete	LPNs are required to complete	Two-hour child	<u>Division</u>
	three contact hours infection	three contact hours infection	abuse course,	<u>of Profes-</u>
	control every four years; two	control every four years; Pro-	three-hour infec-	<u>sional</u>
	contact hours child abuse (one-	gram must be from an approved	tious control for	Licensing_
	time requirement for initial li-	provider.	health care pro-	<u>Services</u>
	cense); Programs must be from		fessionals	<u>NY State</u>
	an approved provider.			Education
				<u>Depart-</u>
				<u>ment Nurse</u>

North	RNs: For reinstatement or reli-	I PNs: For reinstatement or ro	<u>North</u>
Carolina	censure, a plans for continued	licensure, a plans for continued	<u>Carolina</u>
Calollia	competence and completion of	competence and completion of	
	one of the following is required.	one of the following is required.	Board of
	- National certification or re-cer-	- National certification or	<u>Nursing</u>
	tification by a national creden-	re-certification by a national	
	tialing body recognized by the	credentialing body recognized	
	Board	by the Board	
	- 30 contact hours of CE	- 30 contact hours of CE	
	- Completion of a Board ap-	- Completion of a Board ap-	
	proved refresher course	proved refresher course	
	- Completion of a minimum of	-	
	two semester hours of post-li-	two semester hours of post-	
	censure academic education re-	licensure academic education	
	lated to nursing practice	related to nursing practice	
	- 15 contact hours of CE and	- 15 contact hours of CE and	
	completion of a nursing proj-	completion of a nursing proj-	
	ect as principal investigator or	ect as principal investigator	
	co-investigator to include state-	or co-investigator to include	
	ment of problem, project objec-	statement of problem, project	
	tives, methods, date of comple-	objectives, methods, date of	
	tion, and summary of findings	completion, and summary of	
	- 15 contact hours of CE and au-	findings	
	thoring or co-authoring a nurs-	- 15 contact hours of CE and	
	ing related article, paper, book,	authoring or co-authoring a	
	or book chapter	nursing related article, paper,	
	- 15 contact hours of CE and	book, or book chapter	
	developing and conducting a	- 15 contact hours of CE and	
	nursing continuing education	developing and conducting a	
	presentation or presentations	nursing continuing education	
	totaling a minimum of five con-	presentation or presentations	
	tact hours, including program	totaling a minimum of five con-	
	brochure or course syllabi, ob-	tact hours, including program	
	jectives, date and location of	brochure or course syllabi,	
	presentation, and approximate	objectives, date and location of	
	number of attendees	presentation, and approximate	
	- 15 contact hours of continued	number of attendees	
	education and 640 hours of ac-	- 15 contact hours of continued	
	tive practice within previous	education and 640 hours of	
	two years	active practice within previous	
		two years	
	<u> </u>		

North	For DN license veneural the	For LDN licence yenerged the	See additional	North Da-
	For RN license renewal, the			
Dakota	nurse must meet the continued	nurse must meet the contin-	competency cycle	kota Board
	competence requirements. In-	ued competence requirements.	options on state	<u>of Nursing</u>
	cluded in this requirement is the	Included in this requirement	website	
	completion of 12 contact hours	is the completion of 12 contact		
	of continuing education. All CE	hours of continuing education.		
	required for license renewal	All CE required for license re-		
	may be obtained online.	newal may be obtained online.		
Ohio	RN relicensure requirement: 24	LPN relicensure requirement:	Click here to view	<u>Ohio Board</u>
	contact hours every two years.	24 contact hours every two	AAACEUs courses	<u>of Nursing</u>
	At least one contact hour must	years. At least one contact hour	that were de-	
	be related to Chapters 4723,	must be related to Chapters	signed specifically	
	1-23 of the Ohio Nurse Practice	4723, 1-23 of the Ohio Nurse	for Ohio.	
	Code and Rules.	Practice Code and Rules.		
			At least one con-	
			tact hour must be	
			related to Chap-	
			ters 4723, 1-23	
			of the Ohio Nurse	
			Practice Code;	
			First time renew-	
			als exempt from	
			CE	
Oklahoma	Beginning 08/27/2015, RNs and	Same as RNs		<u>Oklahoma</u>
	LPNs must complete one of the			<u>Board of</u>
	following options within every			Nursing
	two-year renewal period. 1) ver-			
	ify 520 hours of employment a			
	year, or 2) complete 24 hours of			
	CE, or 3) verify current certifica-			
	tion in a nursing specialty area,			
	or 4) complete a board approved			
	refresher course, or 5) complete			
	six academic semester credits			
	hours of coursework at the cur-			
	rent level of licensure or higher.			

Oregon	RNs: One-time requirement for	LPNs: One-time requirement	Only CE Require-	Oregon
oregon	seven hours of pain manage-	for seven hours of pain man-	ment: Seven	<u>State Board</u>
	ment-related CE. One hour must	agement-related CE. One hour	hours of Pain	of Nursing
	be a course provided by the Ore-	must be a course provided by	Management	
	gon Pain Management Commis-	the Oregon Pain Management	CE. One of the	
	sion. The remaining six hours	Commission. The remaining	hours must be a	
	can be your choice of pain man-	six hours can be your choice of	one-hour course	
	agement topics. Once this re-	pain management topics. Once	provided by the	
	quirement is fulfilled, there are	this requirement is fulfilled,	Oregon Pain Man-	
	no additional CE requirements	there are no additional CE re-	agement Commis-	
	for renewal.	quirements for renewal.	sion.	
Pennsyl-	Thirty contact hours every two	Beginning in 2014, LPNs must	RNs renew either	Pennsylva-
vania	years for RNs. Beginning in 2014	complete two hours of ap-	April 30 or Octo-	<u>nia State</u>
	RNs must complete 2 hours of	proved child abuse and recogni-	ber 30, odd and	Board of
	approved child abuse and recog-	tion and report training every	even years	Nursing
	nition and report training every	renewal (Act 31). See state		
	renewal (Act 31). See state web-	website for additional informa-		
	site for additional information.	tion.		
Rhode	RNs are required to complete 10	LPNs are required to complete		<u>Rhode Is-</u>
Island	contact hours every two years.	10 contact hours every two		land Board
	Online courses are acceptable.	years. Online courses are ac-		<u>of Nurse</u>
		ceptable.		<u>Registra-</u>
				<u>tion and</u>
				<u>Nursing</u>
				Education
				Office of
				<u>Health Pro-</u>
				fessionals
				<u>Regulation</u>

South	Demonstration of competency	Demonstration of competency	See state website	The State
Carolina	for renewal of an active RN li-	for renewal of an active LPN li-	for additional	Board of
	cense biennially requires docu-	cense biennially requires docu-	renewal options	Nursing for
	mented evidence of at least one	mented evidence of at least one	1	South Caro-
	of the following requirements	of the following requirements		lina: South
	during the licensure period:	during the licensure period:		<u>Carolina</u>
	- Completion of thirty contact	- Completion of thirty contact		State Board
	hours from a continuing educa-	hours from a continuing educa-		of Nursing
	tion provider recognized by the	tion provider recognized by the		_
	Board	Board		
	- Maintenance of certification	- Maintenance of certification		
	or re-certification by a national	or re-certification by a national		
	certifying body recognized by	certifying body recognized by		
	the Board	the Board		
	- Completion of an academic	- Completion of an academic		
	program of study in nursing or	program of study in nursing or		
	a related field recognized by the	a related field recognized by the		
	Board	Board		
	- Verification of competency and	- Verification of competency		
	the number of hours practiced	and the number of hours prac-		
	as evidenced by employer cer-	ticed as evidenced by employer		
	tification on a form approved by	certification on a form ap-		
	the Board	proved by the Board		
South	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>South Da-</u>
Dakota				<u>kota Board</u>
				of Nursing
Tennessee	CE for relicensure is not man-	CE for relicensure is not man-	See state website	<u>Tennessee</u>
	datory. However, mandatory	datory. However, mandatory	for competency	<u>State Board</u>
	continuing competency is re-	continuing competency is re-	requirements	<u>of Nursing</u>
	quired. To maintain continued	quired. To maintain continued		
	competence, the Board requires	competence, the Board requires		
	the nurse to have practiced in	-		
	nursing in the last five years and	nursing in the last five years		
	additionally, the Board sets out	and additionally, the Board sets		
	standards of competence and	out standards of competence		
	requirements to maintain com-	and requirements to maintain		
	petence.	competence.		

Texas	RNs are required to complete 20	LPNs are require to complete	See state web-	<u>Texas</u>
	contact hours every two years.	20 contact hours every two	site for specific	<u>Board of</u>
	There is also a targeted one-	years. There is also a targeted	requirements	<u>Nurse Ex-</u>
	time, two-contact hour CE re-	one-time, two-contact hour CE		<u>aminers</u>
	quirement for any RN practicing	requirement for any LPN prac-		
	in an emergency room setting	ticing in an emergency room		
	for Forensic Evidence Collection	setting for Forensic Evidence		
		Collection		
Utah	Renewal of an RN license re-	Renewal of an LPN license	See state website	<u>Utah State</u>
	quires one of the following ev-	requires one of the following	for additional	<u>Board of</u>
	ery two years: 30 contact hours,	every two years: 30 contact	renewal options	<u>Nursing</u>
	OR 200 practice hours and 15	hours, OR 200 practice hours		<u>Division</u>
	contact hours, OR 400 practice	and 15 contact hours, OR 400		<u>of Occupa-</u>
	hours.	practice hours.		<u>tional and</u>
				Profession-
				<u>al Licens-</u>
				ing
Vermont	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>Vermont</u>
				<u>Board of</u>
				Nursing

Virginia	To renew an active nursing li-	Same as RN	See state website	<u>Virginia</u>
	cense, a licensee shall complete		for additional	Board of
	at least one of the following		renewal options	Nursing
	learning activities or courses:		-	
	1. Current specialty certification			
	by a national certifying organiza-			
	tion, as defined in 18VAC90-19-			
	10; 2. Completion of a minimum			
	of three credit hours of post-			
	licensure academic education			
	relevant to nursing practice, of-			
	fered by a regionally accredited			
	college or university; 3. A Board-			
	approved refresher course in			
	nursing; 4. Completion of nurs-			
	ing-related, evidence-based			
	practice project or research			
	study; 5. Completion of publica-			
	tion as the author or co-author			
	during a renewal cycle; 6. Teach-			
	ing or developing a nursing-			
	related course resulting in no			
	less than three semester hours			
	of college credit, a 15-week			
	course, or specialty certifica-			
	tion; 7. Teaching or develop-			
	ing nursing-related continuing			
	education courses for up to 30			
	contact hours; 8. Fifteen contact			
	hours of workshops, seminars,			
	conferences, or courses relevant			
	to the practice of nursing and			
	640 hours of active practice as a			
	nurse; or 9. Thirty contact hours			
	of workshops, seminars, confer-			
	ences, or courses relevant to the			
	practice of nursing.			

Washing-	RNs and LPNs are required to	RNs and LPNs are required to	License renewals	<u>Washing-</u>
ton	keep documentation showing at	keep documentation showing at	will continue to	ton State
	least 531 hours of active prac-	least 531 hours of active prac-	be annual. The	<u>Nursing</u>
	tice and 45 clock hours of CE	tice and 45 clock hours of CE	Nursing Com-	<u>Care Qual-</u>
	within a three-year cycle. The	within a three-year cycle. The	mission sends a	<u>ity Assur-</u>
	first cycle starts on your first	first cycle starts on your first	courtesy renewal	<u>ance Com-</u>
	birthday after initial licensure.	birthday after initial licensure.	notice to RNs and	<u>mission</u>
	You must attest every three	You must attest every three	LPNs 90 days	
	years to reflect you have met the	years to reflect you have met	prior to license	
	requirements for both practice	the requirements for both prac-	expiration. The	
	and continuing education. Do	tice and continuing education.	renewal notice	
	not send documentation to the	Do not send documentation	will indicate	
	Nursing Commission in support	to the Nursing Commission in	the continuing	
	of the attestations unless noti-	support of the attestations un-	competency due	
	fied of an audit via your renewal	less notified of an audit via your	date. Notice: You	
	notice. The Nursing Care Quality	renewal notice. The Nursing	will see the at-	
	Assurance Commission adopted	Care Quality Assurance Com-	testation on your	
	rules in WA Code 246-840-200	mission adopted rules in WA	renewal notice	
	through 260 for an independent	Code 246-840-200 through 260	when your re-	
	continuing competency program	for an independent continuing	newal cycle ends.	
	effective January 2011 and re-	competency program effective	It is your respon-	
	cently updated effective January	January 2011 and recently up-	sibility to inform	
	2016.	dated effective January 2016.	the Nursing Care	
			Quality Assur-	
			ance Commission	
			of any change in	
			address, email,	
			and telephone	
			number, whether	
			renewing or not.	

West Vir-	Completion of the 12 contact	LPNs are required to complete	Click here to view	West Vir-
ginia	hours of CE required for RN re-	24 contact hours of continuing	AAACEUs courses	ginia State
5 ¹¹¹¹ a	licensure may be accomplished	education and engage in 400	that were de-	Board of
	by: 1. Completing 12 contact		signed specifically	Examiners
	hours of CE from an approved	each two-year reporting period.	for West Virginia.	for Regis-
	CE provider; or 2. Completing six			tered Pro-
	contact hours of CE from an ap-	years. There is also a one-time,	See state website	fessional
	proved CE provider, which may	two-contact hour requirement	for additional	<u>Nurses</u>
	include two contact hours of self-	for end of life care including	requirements	<u>muises</u>
		U U	requirements	
	study and one of the following	pain management.		
	completed during the reporting			
	period: A. National certification			
	initially earned or in effect the			
	entire reporting period; B. Com-			
	pletion of a nursing research			
	project as principal investiga-			
	tor, co-investigator or project			
	director; C. Published a nurs-			
	ing related article in a national			
	nursing or health care journal;			
	D. Developed and presented a			
	professional nursing education			
	presentation; E. Participated as			
	a clinical preceptor for at least			
	one student or one new employ-			
	ee undergoing orientation and			
	have 120 hours of one-on-one			
	relationship as a clinical precep-			
	tor during the reporting period;			
	F. Evidence of satisfactory evalu-			
	ation of employment that covers			
	at least six months of the report-			
	ing period; or G. Completion of			
	an approved nursing refresher			
	or re-entry course.			
Wisconsin	CE not required.	CE not required.		<u>State of</u>
				<u>Wisconsin</u>
				<u>Depart-</u>
				ment of
				Regulation
				and Licens-
				ing

		0		
Wyoming	Requirement for RN relicensure:	Requirement for LPN relicen-	See state website	<u>Wyoming</u>
	20 contact hours in the last two	sure: 20 contact hours in the	for additional	State Board
	years OR Combination of Nurs-	last two years OR Combination	renewal options	of Nursing
	ing practice and contact hours	of Nursing practice and con-		
	OR Minimum 1,600 hours in	tact hours OR Minimum 1,600		
	Nursing practice in the last five	hours in Nursing practice in		
	years OR Minimum 500 hours	the last five years OR Minimum		
	in Nursing practice in the last	500 hours in Nursing practice		
	two years OR Passing NCLEX li-	in the last two years OR Passing		
	censing exam within the last five	NCLEX licensing exam within		
	years OR National certification	the last five years OR National		
	in specialty area in last five years	certification in specialty area		
	OR Completion of a refresher/	in last give years OR Comple-		
	orientation program in the last	tion of a refresher/orientation		
	five years	program in the last five years		
Guam	30 hours every two years by	30 hours every two years by		<u>Guam</u>
	September 30th (odd numbered	September 30th (odd num-		Board of
	years)	bered years)		<u>Nurse Ex-</u>
				<u>aminers</u>

REFERENCES

AAACEUs. n.d. *Nursing Continuing Education Requirements by State.* https://www.aaaceus.com/state_ nursing_requirements.asp (accessed October 28, 2021).

ACCME (Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education). n.d.-a. *CME for FDA REMS.* https://www.accme.org/cme-for-fda-rems (accessed October 28, 2021).

ACCME. n.d.-b. *CME that Counts for MOC.* https://accme.org/cme-counts-for-moc (accessed October 28, 2021).

ACCME. n.d.-c. *In the Educational Needs Criterion, What is Meant by "Professional Practice Gap?"* https://www.accme.org/faq/educational-needs-criterion-what-meant-professional-practice-gap (accessed October 28, 2021).

ACCME. 2020. ACCME Data Report: Steady Growth in Accredited Continuing Medical Education - 2019. https://www.accme.org/2019-data-report (accessed October 28, 2021).

ACCME. 2021. ACCME Data Report: Rising to the Challenge in Accredited Continuing Education - 2020. https://accme.org/2020datareport (accessed October 28, 2021).

Addiction Technology Transfer Center Network. n.d. *About the ATTC Network*. https://attcnetwork. org/centers/global-attc/about-attc-network (accessed October 28, 2021).

Ahmad, F. B., L. M. Rossen, and P. Sutton. 2021. *Provisional Drug Overdose Death Counts.* National Center for Health Statistics. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm (accessed October 28, 2021).

Alexander, G. C., K. B. Stoller, R. L. Haffajee, and B. Saloner. 2020. An epidemic in the midst of a pandemic: Opioid use disorder and COVID-19. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 173(1):57-58. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1141.

AAFP (American Academy of Family Physicians). 2012. *Chronic Pain Management and Opioid Misuse:* A Public Health Concern (Position Paper). https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/chronic-pain-management-opiod-misuse.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

Andraka-Christou, B., and M. J. Capone. 2018. A qualitative study comparing physician-reported barriers to treating addiction using buprenorphine and extended-release naltrexone in U.S. office-based practices. *International Journal of Drug Policy* 54:9-17. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drugpo.2017.11.021.

Andraka-Christou, B., J. B. Rager, B. Brown-Podgorski, R. D. Silverman, and D. P. Watson. 2018. Pain clinic definitions in the medical literature and U.S. state laws: an integrative systematic review and comparison. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy* 13 (1): 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-018-0153-6.

Andrews, L. B., M. B. Bridgeman, K. S. Dalal, D. Abazia, C. Lau, D. F. Goldsmith, and D. St John. 2013. Implementation of a pharmacist-driven pain management consultation service for hospitalised adults with a history of substance abuse. *International Journal of Clinical Practice* 67(12):1342-1349. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12311.

Andrilla, C. H. A., C. Coulthard, and D. G. Patterson. 2018. Prescribing practices of rural physicians waivered to prescribe buprenorphine. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 54 (6, Supplement 3): S208-S214. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.02.006.

Barry, D. T., K. S. Irwin, E. S. Jones, W. C. Becker, J. M. Tetrault, L. E. Sullivan, H. Hansen, P. G. O'Connor, R. S. Schottenfeld, and D. A. Fiellin. 2010. Opioids, chronic pain, and addiction in primary care. *The Journal of Pain* 11(12):1442-1450. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2010.04.002.

Becker, W. C., and D. A. Fiellin. 2012. Federal plan for prescriber education on opioids misses opportunities. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 157(3):205-206. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-157-3-201208070-00448.

Becker, W. C., and D. A. Fiellin. 2020. When epidemics collide: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and the opioid crisis. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 173(1):59-60. https://doi.org/10.7326/m20-1210.

Behar, E., C. Rowe, G.-M. Santos, D. Coffa, C. Turner, N. C. Santos, and P. O. Coffin. 2017. Acceptability of naloxone co-prescription among primary care providers treating patients on long-term opioid therapy for pain. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 32(3):291-295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-016-3911-z.

Bergman, A. A., M. S. Matthias, J. M. Coffing, and E. E. Krebs. 2013. Contrasting tensions between patients and PCPs in chronic pain management: A qualitative study. *Pain Medicine* 14(11):1689-1697. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12172.

Blanco, C., M. M. Ali, A. Beswick, K. Drexler, C. Hoffman, C. M. Jones, T. R. A. Wiley, and A. Coukell. 2020. The American Opioid Epidemic in Special Populations: Five Examples. *NAM Perspectives*. Discussion Paper, National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC. https://doi.org/10.31478/202010b.

Blevins, C. E., N. Rawat, and M. D. Stein. 2018. Gaps in the substance use disorder treatment referral process: Provider perceptions. *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 12(4):273-277. https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.00000000000000400.

Bonnie, R. J., M. A. Schumacher, J. D. Clark, and A. S. Kesselheim. 2019. Pain management and opioid regulation: continuing public health challenges. *American Journal of Public Health* 109(1):31-34. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2018.304881.

Brady, K. T., J. L. McCauley, and S. E. Back. 2016. Prescription opioid misuse, abuse, and treatment in the United States: An update. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 173(1):18-26. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15020262.

Brandt, K. 2020. *Continuing progress in the fight against the opioid crisis.* Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Blog. https://www.cms.gov/blog/continuing-progress-fight-against-opioid-crisis (accessed October 28, 2021).

Bratberg, J. P., D. Finnell, V. Hruschak, S. Levy, V. A. Osborne-Leute, and J. R. Mattingly. 2018. *Specific Disciplines Addressing Substance Use: AMERSA in the 21st Century - 2018 Update.* The Association for Multidisciplinary Education and Research in Substance Use and Addiction. https://amersa.org/wp-content/uploads/AMERSA-Competencies-Final-31119.pdf (accessed October 28, 2021).

Brown, S.-E., D. F. Weisberg, G. Balf-Soran, and W. H. Sledge. 2015. Sickle cell disease patients with and without extremely high hospital use: Pain, opioids, and coping. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Manage*-

ment 49(3):539-547. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2014.06.007.

Burgess, D. J., S. Phelan, M. Workman, E. Hagel, D. B. Nelson, S. S. Fu, R. Widome, and M. Van Ryn. 2014. The effect of cognitive load and patient race on physicians' decisions to prescribe opioids for chronic low back pain: A randomized trial. *Pain Medicine* 15(6):965-974. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12378.

Calcaterra, S. L., A. D. Drabkin, S. E. Leslie, R. Doyle, S. Koester, J. W. Frank, J. A. Reich, and I. A. Binswanger. 2016. The hospitalist perspective on opioid prescribing: a qualitative analysis. *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 11 (8): 536-542. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.2602.

Carey, E. P., C. Nolan, R. D. Kerns, P. M. Ho, and J. W. Frank. 2018. Association between facility-level utilization of non-pharmacologic chronic pain treatment and subsequent initiation of long-term opioid therapy. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 33 (Suppl 1): 38-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-018-4324-y.

CBPP (Center on Budget and Policy Priorities). 2021. *Tracking the COVID-19 Recession's Effects on Food, Housing, and Employment Hardships.* https://www.cbpp.org/research/poverty-and-inequality/tracking-the-covid-19-recessions-effects-on-food-housing-and (accessed October 28, 2021).

CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2020a. *Introduction to COVID-19 Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/health-equity/racial-ethnic-disparities/index.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

CDC. 2020b. *Multiple Causes of Death, 1999-2019.* CDC WONDER Online Database. https://wonder.cdc. gov/controller/datarequest/D77 (accessed October 28, 2021).

CDC. 2021a. *Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs)*. https://www.cdc.gov/drugoverdose/pdmp/index.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

CDC. 2021b. *Understanding the Epidemic.* https://www.cdc.gov/opioids/basics/epidemic.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

CDC. 2021c. *Vital Statistics Rapid Release: Provisional Drug Overdose Death Counts.* Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/drug-overdose-data.htm (accessed December 10, 2021).

CMS (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services). n.d. *Drug Utilization Review*. https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/prescription-drugs/drug-utilization-review/index.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

Chambers, J., R. M. Gleason, K. L. Kirsh, R. Twillman, L. Webster, J. Berner, J. Fudin, and S. D. Passik. 2016. An online survey of patients' experiences since the rescheduling of hydrocodone: The first 100 days. *Pain Medicine* 17(9):1686-1693. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnv064.

Chen, J. H., J. Hom, I. Richman, S. M. Asch, T. Podchiyska, and N. A. Johansen. 2016. Effect of opioid prescribing guidelines in primary care. *Medicine* 95(35):e4760. https://doi.org/10.1097/md.0000000000004760.

Chen, L., M. Houghton, L. Seefeld, C. Malarick, and J. Mao. 2011. Opioid therapy for chronic pain: Physicians' attitude and current practice patterns. *Journal of Opioid Management* 7(4):267-276. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2011.0068.

Cheng, T., S. D'Amico, M. Luo, A. S. Lestoquoy, L. Yinusa-Nyahkoon, L. D. Laird, and P. M. Gardiner. 2019. Health disparities in access to nonpharmacologic therapies in an urban community. *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine* 25(1):48-60. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2018.0217.

Choo, E. K., M. Mazer-Amirshahi, D. Juurlink, S. Kobner, K. Scott, and M. Lin. 2016. The prescription opioid epidemic: Social media responses to the residents' perspective article. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* 67(1):40-48. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2015.05.005.

Davis, C. 2017. Appendix B: State-by-state summary of opioid prescribing regulations and guidelines. In 50 State Review on Opioid Related Policy. Phoenix, AZ: Arizona Department of Health Services.

Davis, C. S., and D. Carr. 2015. Legal changes to increase access to naloxone for opioid overdose reversal in the United States. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 157:112-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. drugalcdep.2015.10.013.

Davis, C. S., and D. Carr. 2016. Physician continuing education to reduce opioid misuse, abuse, and overdose: many opportunities, few requirements. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 163:100-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.04.002.

Dowell, D., T. M. Haegerich, and R. Chou. 2016. CDC guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain— United States, 2016. *JAMA* 315(15):1624-1645. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.1464.

Englander, H., M. Weimer, R. Solotaroff, C. Nicolaidis, B. Chan, C. Velez, A. Noice, T. Hartnett, E. Blackburn, P. Barnes, and P. T. Korthuis. 2017. Planning and designing the improving addiction care team (IMPACT) for hospitalized adults with substance use disorder. *Journal of Hospital Medicine* 12(5):339-342. https://doi.org/10.12788/jhm.2736.

FSMB (Federation of State Medical Boards). n.d.-a. *COVID-19.* https://www.fsmb.org/advocacy/covid-19/ (accessed October 28, 2021).

FSMB. n.d.-b *Pain Management Policies Board-by-Board Overview.* https://www.fsmb.org/siteassets/advocacy/key-issues/pain-management-by-state.pdf (accessed October 28, 2021).

FSMB. 2021. *Continuing Medical Education Board-by-Board Overview.* https://www.fsmb.org/siteas-sets/advocacy/key-issues/continuing-medical-education-by-state.pdf (accessed October 28, 2021).

Fishman, S. M., H. M. Young, E. Lucas Arwood, R. Chou, K. Herr, B. B. Murinson, J. Watt-Watson, D. B. Carr, D. B. Gordon, B. J. Stevens, D. Bakerjian, J. C. Ballantyne, M. Courtenay, M. Djukic, I. J. Koebner, J. M. Mongoven, J. A. Paice, R. Prasad, N. Singh, K. A. Sluka, B. St Marie, and S. A. Strassels. 2013. Core competencies for pain management: Results of an interprofessional consensus summit. *Pain Medicine* 14(7):971-981. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12107.

FDA (Food and Drug Administration). 2018. *FDA Education Blueprint for Health Care Providers Involved in the Treatment and Monitoring of Patients with Pain.* https://www.fda.gov/media/99496/download (accessed October 28, 2021).

Franklin, G. M., D. Fulton-Kehoe, J. A. Turner, M. D. Sullivan, and T. M. Wickizer. 2013. Changes in opioid prescribing for chronic pain in Washington state. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 26(4):394. https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2013.04.120274.

Gaither, J. R., J. L. Goulet, W. C. Becker, S. Crystal, E. J. Edelman, K. Gordon, R. D. Kerns, D. Rimland, M. Skanderson, A. C. Justice, and D. A. Fiellin. 2016. The association between receipt of guideline-concordant long-term opioid therapy and all-cause mortality. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 31(5):492-501. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-015-3571-4.

Ganem, V. J., A. G. Mora, S. M. Varney, and V. S. Bebarta. 2015. Emergency department opioid prescribing

practices for chronic pain: A 3-year analysis. *Journal of Medical Toxicology* 11(3):288-294. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13181-014-0449-5.

Gernant, S. A., R. Bastien, and A. Lai. 2015. Development evaluation of a multidisciplinary controlled substances committee within a patient-centered medical home. *Journal of the American Pharmacists Association* 55(6):656-663. https://doi.org/10.1331/JAPhA.2015.14257.

Gladden, R. M., J. O'Donnell, C. L. Mattson, and P. Seth. 2019. Changes in opioid-involved overdose deaths by opioid type and presence of benzodiazepines, cocaine, and methamphetamine - 25 states, July-December 2017 to January-June 2018. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 68(34):737-744. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6834a2.

Goesling, J., S. E. Moser, L. A. Lin, A. L. Hassett, R. A. Wasserman, and C. M. Brummett. 2018. Discrepancies between perceived benefit of opioids and self-reported patient outcomes. *Pain Medicine* 19(2):297-306. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw263.

Grasso, M. A., Z. D. Dezman, A. C. Comer, and D. A. Jerrard. 2016. The decline in hydrocodone/acetaminophen prescriptions in emergency departments in the Veterans Health Administration between 2009 to 2015. *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine* 17(4):396-403. https://doi.org/10.5811/ westjem.2016.5.29924.

Grasso, M. A., Z. D. W. Dezman, C. T. Grasso, and D. A. Jerrard. 2017. Opioid pain medication prescriptions obtained through emergency medical visits in the Veterans Health Administration. *Journal of Opioid Management* 13(2):77-84. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2017.0371.

Gugelmann, H., F. S. Shofer, Z. F. Meisel, and J. Perrone. 2013. Multidisciplinary intervention decreases the use of opioid medication discharge packs from 2 urban EDs. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine* 31(9):1343-1348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2013.06.002.

Guy, G. P., Jr., K. Zhang, M. K. Bohm, J. Losby, B. Lewis, R. Young, L. B. Murphy, and D. Dowell. 2017. Vital signs: Changes in opioid prescribing in the United States, 2006-2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 66(26):697-704. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6626a4.

Hah, J. M. 2018. Striving for evidence-based postoperative opioid prescribing while optimizing perioperative pain management—shifting to conservative prescribing. *JAMA Network Open* 1(8):e185432-e185432. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.5432.

Haley, D. F., and R. Saitz. 2020. The opioid epidemic during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA* 324(16):1615-1617. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.18543.

Harle, C. A., S. E. Bauer, H. Q. Hoang, R. L. Cook, R. W. Hurley, and R. B. Fillingim. 2015. Decision support for chronic pain care: how do primary care physicians decide when to prescribe opioids? A qualitative study. *BMC Family Practice* 16(1):48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-015-0264-3.

Hawkins, E. J., C. A. Malte, H. J. Hagedorn, D. Berger, A. Frank, A. Lott, C. E. Achtmeyer, A. J. Mariano, and A. J. Saxon. 2017. Survey of primary care and mental health prescribers' perspectives on reducing opioid and benzodiazepine co-prescribing among veterans. *Pain Medicine* 18(3):454-467. https://doi. org/10.1093/pm/pnw140.

Health Level Seven International. 2019. *FHIR Overview.* https://www.hl7.org/fhir/overview.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

Henry, S. G., R. A. Bell, J. J. Fenton, and R. L. Kravitz. 2018. Communication about chronic pain and opioids in primary care: impact on patient and physician visit experience. *Pain* 159(2):371-379. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000001098.

HHS (US Department of Health & Human Services). 2021. *HHS Releases New Buprenorphine Practice Guidelines, Expanding Access to Treatment for Opioid Use Disorder.* https://www.hhs.gov/about/ news/2021/04/27/hhs-releases-new-buprenorphine-practice-guidelines-expanding-access-to-treatment-for-opioid-use-disorder.html (accessed October 28, 2021).

Hoffman, J., and K. Benner. 2021. Purdue Pharma pleads guilty to criminal charges for opioid sales. *The New York Times.* https://www.nytimes.com/2020/10/21/health/purdue-opioids-criminal-charges. html (accessed October 28, 2021).

Huang, J. S., and C. L. Kuelbs. 2018. Clinician opioid prescribing practices and patient utilization of prescribed opioids in pediatrics. *Journal of Opioid Management* 14(5):309-316. https://doi. org/10.5055/jom.2018.0463.

Huhn, A. S., and K. E. Dunn. 2017. Why aren't physicians prescribing more buprenorphine? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 78:1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2017.04.005.

Indian Health Service. n.d. *Opioid Response at IHS.* https://www.ihs.gov/opioids/opioidresponse/ (accessed October 28, 2021).

IOM (Institute of Medicine). 2011. *Education challenges. In Relieving Pain in America: A Blueprint for Transforming Prevention, Care, Education, and Research.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/13172.

Irvine, J. M., S. E. Hallvik, C. Hildebran, M. Marino, T. Beran, and R. A. Deyo. 2014. Who uses a prescription drug monitoring program and how? Insights from a statewide survey of Oregon clinicians. *The Journal of Pain* 15(7):747-755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.04.003.

Jamison, R. N., E. Scanlan, M. L. Matthews, D. C. Jurcik, and E. L. Ross. 2016. Attitudes of primary care practitioners in managing chronic pain patients prescribed opioids for pain: A prospective longitudinal controlled trial. *Pain Medicine* 17(1):99-113. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12871.

Jamison, R. N., K. A. Sheehan, E. Scanlan, M. Matthews, and E. L. Ross. 2014. Beliefs and attitudes about opioid prescribing and chronic pain management: Survey of primary care providers. *Journal of Opioid Management* 10(6):375-382. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2014.0234.

JAICE (Joint Accreditation for Interprofessional Continuing Education). 2017. *New Credit Recognizes Interprofessional Continuing Education for Healthcare Teams.* https://www.jointaccreditation.org/newcredit-recognizes-interprofessional-continuing-education-healthcare-teams (accessed October 28, 2021).

JAIC. 2020. Joint Accreditation Data Report: Growth and Diversity of Accredited Continuing Education for Healthcare Teams - 2019. https://www.jointaccreditation.org/data-reports (accessed October 28, 2021).

Jones, M. R., O. Viswanath, J. Peck, A. D. Kaye, J. S. Gill, and T. T. Simopoulos. 2018. A brief history of the opioid epidemic and strategies for pain medicine. *Pain and therapy* 7(1):13-21. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40122-018-0097-6.

Jordan, A., M. L. Mathis, and J. Isom. 2020. Achieving mental health equity: Addictions. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America* 43(3):487-500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2020.05.007.

Kahler, Z. P., P. I. Musey, J. T. Schaffer, A. N. Johnson, C. C. Strachan, and C. M. Shufflebarger. 2017. Effect of a "no superuser opioid prescription" policy on ED visits and statewide opioid prescription. *Western Journal of Emergency Medicine* 18(5):894-902. https://doi.org/10.5811/westjem.2017.6.33414.

Keller, C. E., L. Ashrafioun, A. M. Neumann, J. Van Klein, C. H. Fox, and R. D. Blondell. 2012. Practices, perceptions, and concerns of primary care physicians about opioid dependence associated with the treatment of chronic pain. *Substance Abuse* 33(2):103-113. https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2011 .630944.

Khalid, L., J. M. Liebschutz, Z. Xuan, S. Dossabhoy, Y. Kim, D. Crooks, C. Shanahan, A. Lange, O. Heymann, and K. E. Lasser. 2015. Adherence to prescription opioid monitoring guidelines among residents and attending physicians in the primary care setting. *Pain Medicine* 16(3):480-487. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12602.

Khatri, U. G., and J. Perrone. 2020. Opioid use disorder and COVID-19: Crashing of the crises. *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 14(4):6-7. https://doi.org/10.1097/ADM.00000000000684.

Khatri, U. G., L. N. Pizzicato, K. Viner, E. Bobyock, M. Sun, Z. F. Meisel, and E. C. South. 2021. Racial/ ethnic disparities in unintentional fatal and nonfatal emergency medical services–attended opioid overdoses during the COVID-19 pandemic in Philadelphia. *JAMA Network Open* 4(1):e2034878-e2034878. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.34878.

Kircher, J., A. L. Drendel, A. S. Newton, A. C. Plint, B. Vandermeer, S. Dulai, and S. Ali. 2014. Acute pediatric musculoskeletal pain management in North America: A practice variation survey. *Clinical Pediatrics* 53(14):1326-1335. https://doi.org/10.1177/0009922814555972.

Kohlbeck, S., B. Akert, C. Pace, and A. Zosel. 2018. A multistep approach to address clinican knowledge, attitudes, and behavior around opioid prescribing. *Wisconsin Medical Journal* 117(1):38-41.

Kraus, C. N., A. T. Baldwin, F. A. Curro, and R. G. McAllister, Jr. 2015. Clinical implications of patientprovider agreements in opioid prescribing. *Current Drug Safety* 10(2):159-164. https://doi.org/10.217 4/1574886309666140922095844.

Larochelle, M. R., F. Zhang, D. Ross-Degnan, and J. F. Wharam. 2015. Trends in opioid prescribing and co-prescribing of sedative hypnotics for acute and chronic musculoskeletal pain: 2001–2010. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 24(8):885-892. https://doi.org/10.1002/pds.3776.

Leong, L., J. Ninnis, N. Slatkin, M. Rhiner, L. Schroeder, B. Pritt, J. Kagan, T. Ball, and R. Morgan. 2010. Evaluating the impact of pain management (PM) education on physician practice patterns—a continuing medical education (CME) outcomes study. *Journal of Cancer Education* 25(2):224-228. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13187-010-0040-y.

Leverence, R. R., R. L. Williams, M. Potter, D. Fernald, M. Unverzagt, W. Pace, B. Parnes, E. Daniels, B. Skipper, R. J. Volk, A. E. Brown, R. L. Rhyne, and P. N. c. on behalf of. 2011. Chronic non-cancer pain: A siren for primary care – A report from the PRImary care MultiEthnic Network (PRIME Net). *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 24(5):551. https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2011.05.110030.

Linnaus, M. E., W. W. Sheaffer, M. N. Ali-Mucheru, C. S. Velazco, M. Neville, and R. J. Gray. 2019. The

opioid crisis and surgeons: National survey of prescribing patterns and the influence of motivators, experience, and gender. *The American Journal of Surgery* 217(6):1116-1120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. amjsurg.2018.11.032.

Livingston, N. A., V. Ameral, A. N. Banducci, and R. B. Weisberg. 2021. Unprecedented need and recommendations for harnessing data to guide future policy and practice for opioid use disorder treatment following COVID-19. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 122:108222-108222. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jsat.2020.108222.

Luk, L. J., D. Mosen, C. J. MacArthur, and A. H. Grosz. 2016. Implementation of a pediatric posttonsillectomy pain protocol in a large group practice. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery* 154(4):720-724. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599815627810.

Lum, P. J., S. Little, M. Botsko, D. Hersh, R. E. Thawley, J. E. Egan, J. Mitty, J. Boverman, and D. A. Fiellin. 2011. Opioid-prescribing practices and provider confidence recognizing opioid analgesic abuse in HIV primary care settings. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 56:S91-S97. https://doi. org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e31820a9a82.

Macerollo, A. A., D. O. Mack, R. Oza, I. M. Bennett, and L. S. Wallace. 2014. Academic family medicine physicians' confidence and comfort with opioid analgesic prescribing for patients with chronic nonmalignant pain. *Journal of Opioid Management* 10(4):255-261. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2014.0213.

Mackey, K., S. Veazie, J. Anderson, D. Bourne, and K. Peterson. 2019. *Evidence brief: Barriers and facilitators to use of medications for opioid use disorder.* In VA Evidence-based Synthesis Program Reports. Washington (DC): US Department of Veterans Affairs.

Mafi, J. N., S. T. Edwards, N. P. Pedersen, R. B. Davis, E. P. McCarthy, and B. E. Landon. 2015. Trends in the ambulatory management of headache: analysis of NAMCS and NHAMCS data 1999–2010. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 30(5):548-555. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-014-3107-3.

Makris, U. E., R. C. Abrams, B. Gurland, and M. C. Reid. 2014. Management of persistent pain in the older patient: a clinical review. *JAMA* 312(8):825-836. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.9405.

Manchikanti, L., K. A. Cash, Y. Malla, V. Pampati, and B. Fellows. 2013. A prospective evaluation of psychotherapeutic and illicit drug use in patients presenting with chronic pain at the time of initial evaluation. *Pain Physician* 16(1):e1-e13.

McCalmont, J. C., K. D. Jones, R. M. Bennett, and R. Friend. 2018. Does familiarity with CDC guidelines, continuing education, and provider characteristics influence adherence to chronic pain management practices and opioid prescribing? *Journal of Opioid Management* 14(2):103-116. https://doi. org/10.5055/jom.2018.0437.

McCann, K. S., S. Barker, R. Cousins, A. Franks, C. McDaniel, S. Petrany, and E. Riley. 2018. Structured management of chronic nonmalignant pain with opioids in a rural primary care office. *The Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine* 31(1):57. https://doi.org/10.3122/jabfm.2018.01.170163.

McCarberg, B. H., A. A. Patel, C. J. Benson, S. H. Mody, W. Chow, C. L. Zema, G. J. Vorsanger, and M. S. Kim. 2013. Physician management of moderate-to-severe acute pain: Results from the Physicians Partnering Against Pain (P(3)) study. *Journal of Opioid Management* 9(6):401-406. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2013.0182.

McCauley, J. L., M. A. Mercer, K. S. Barth, K. T. Brady, and S. E. Back. 2014. Pain management perceptions among prescription opioid dependent individuals. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 142:354-358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.06.024.

McDonald, D. C., K. Carlson, and D. Izrael. 2012. Geographic variation in opioid prescribing in the U.S. *The Journal of Pain* 13(10):988-996. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2012.07.007.

Meghani, S. H., E. Byun, and R. M. Gallagher. 2012. Time to take stock: A meta-analysis and systematic review of analgesic treatment disparities for pain in the United States. *Pain Medicine* 13(2):150-174. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01310.x.

Mehta, S. S., E. L. Siegler, C. R. Henderson, Jr., and M. C. Reid. 2010. Acute pain management in hospitalized patients with cognitive impairment: A study of provider practices and treatment outcomes. *Pain Medicine* 11(10):1516-1524. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2010.00950.x.

Miles, M. B., and A. M. Huberman. 1994. *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook,* 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

Monitto, C. L., A. Hsu, S. Gao, P. T. Vozzo, P. S. Park, D. Roter, G. Yenokyan, E. D. White, D. Kattail, A. E. Edgeworth, K. J. Vasquenza, S. E. Atwater, J. E. Shay, J. A. George, B. A. Vickers, S. Kost-Byerly, B. H. Lee, and M. Yaster. 2017. Opioid prescribing for the treatment of acute pain in children on hospital discharge. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 125(6):2113-2122. https://doi.org/10.1213/ane.0000000002586.

Morse, J. S., H. Stockbridge, K. B. Egan, J. Mai, T. Wickizer, and G. M. Franklin. 2011. Primary care survey of the value and effectiveness of the Washington State Opioid Dosing Guideline. *Journal of Opioid Management* 7(6):427-433. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2011.0083.

Moskowitz, D., D. H. Thom, D. Guzman, J. Penko, C. Miaskowski, and M. Kushel. 2011. Is primary care providers' trust in socially marginalized patients affected by race? *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 26(8):846-851. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-011-1672-2.

Muench, U., J. Spetz, M. Jura, C. Guo, C. Thomas, and J. Perloff. 2019. Opioid-prescribing outcomes of medicare beneficiaries managed by nurse practitioners and physicians. *Medical Care* 57(6). https://doi. org/10.1097/MLR.00000000001126.

Murphy, J. L., K. M. Phillips, and S. Rafie. 2016. Sex differences between veterans participating in interdisciplinary chronic pain rehabilitation. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* 53(1):83-94. https://doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2014.10.0250.

Muzyk, A., Z. P. W. Smothers, D. Akrobetu, J. Ruiz Veve, M. MacEachern, J. M. Tetrault, and L. Gruppen. 2019. Substance use disorder education in medical schools: A scoping review. *Academic Medicine* 94(11):1825-1834. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.00000000002883.

Myers, R., J. Lozenski, M. Wyatt, M. Peña, K. Northrop, D. Bhavsar, and A. Kovac. 2017. Sedation and analgesia for dressing change: A survey of American Burn Association burn centers. *Journal of Burn Care & Research* 38(1):e48-e54. https://doi.org/10.1097/bcr.00000000000423.

NASEM (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine). 2018. *How People Learn II: Learners, Contexts, and Cultures.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

NCHS (National Center for Health Statistics). 2021. *Drug Overdose Deaths in the U.S. Up 30% in 2020.* https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/nchs_press_releases/2021/20210714.htm (accessed October

8, 2021).

NIH (National Institutes of Health) Office of Strategic Coordination - The Common Fund. *Acute to Chronic Pain Signatures (A2CPS).* Last reviewed May 26, 2021. https://commonfund.nih.gov/pain (accessed October 28, 2021).

NEJM Knowledge+. n.d. *State Requirements for Pain Management CME.* Last Modified April 9, 2020. https://knowledgeplus.nejm.org/cme-moc/pain-management-and-opioids-cme/state-requirements-for-pain-management-cme/ (accessed October 28, 2021).

Nugent, S. M., S. K. Dobscha, B. J. Morasco, M. I. Demidenko, T. H. A. Meath, J. W. Frank, and T. I. Lovejoy. 2017. Substance use disorder treatment following clinician-initiated discontinuation of long-term opioid therapy resulting from an aberrant urine drug test. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 32(10):1076-1082. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4084-0.

Office of National Drug Control Policy. 2004. *Leadership Conference on Medical Education in Substance Abuse.* Washington, DC: Office of National Drug Control Policy:37-45. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED495789.pdf

Okunseri, C., R. A. Dionne, S. M. Gordon, E. Okunseri, and A. Szabo. 2015. Prescription of opioid analgesics for nontraumatic dental conditions in emergency departments. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 156:261-266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.09.023.

Oliva, E. M., A. M. Midboe, E. T. Lewis, P. T. Henderson, A. L. Dalton, J. J. Im, K. Seal, M. C. Paik, and J. A. Trafton. 2015. Sex differences in chronic pain management practices for patients receiving opioids from the Veterans Health Administration. *Pain Medicine* 16(1):112-118. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12501.

Park, K. U., K. Kyrish, J. Terrell, M. Yi, A. S. Caudle, K. K. Hunt, H. M. Kuerer, I. Bedrosian, A. Thompson, and S. M. DeSnyder. 2019. Surgeon perception versus reality: Opioid use after breast cancer surgery. *Journal of Surgical Oncology* 119(7):909-915. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jso.25395.

Patel, I., L. A. Walter, and L. Li. 2021. Opioid overdose crises during the COVID-19 pandemic: Implication of health disparities. *Harm Reduction Journal* 18(1):89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-021-00534-z.

Patton, M. Q. 1990. *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods, 2nd ed.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.

Penm, J., N. J. MacKinnon, C. Connelly, R. Mashni, M. S. Lyons, E. A. Hooker, E. L. Winstanley, S. Carlton-Ford, E. Tolle, J. Boone, K. Koechlin, and J. Defiore-Hyrmer. 2019. Emergency physicians' perception of barriers and facilitators for adopting an opioid prescribing guideline in Ohio: A qualitative interview study. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine* 56(1):15-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2018.09.005.

Penney, L. S., C. Ritenbaugh, L. L. DeBar, C. Elder, and R. A. Deyo. 2017. Provider and patient perspectives on opioids and alternative treatments for managing chronic pain: a qualitative study. *BMC Family Practice* 17(1):164. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-016-0566-0.

Perrone, J., F. J. DeRoos, and L. S. Nelson. 2012. Prescribing practices, knowledge, and use of prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMP) by a national sample of medical toxicologists, 2012. *Journal of Medical Toxicology* 8(4):341-352. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13181-012-0250-2.

Phelan, S. M., M. Van Ryn, M. Wall, and D. Burgess. 2009. Understanding primary care physicians' treatment of chronic low back pain: The role of physician and practice factors. *Pain Medicine* 10(7):1270-1279. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2009.00717.x.

Platts-Mills, T. F., K. M. Hunold, A. V. Bortsov, A. C. Soward, D. A. Peak, J. S. Jones, R. A. Swor, D. C. Lee, R. M. Domeier, P. L. Hendry, N. K. Rathlev, and S. A. McLean. 2012. More educated emergency department patients are less likely to receive opioids for acute pain. *Pain* 153(5):967-973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pain.2012.01.013.

Portenoy, R. K., D. Bruns, B. Shoemaker, and S. A. Shoemaker. 2010. Breakthrough pain in communitydwelling patients with cancer pain and noncancer pain, part 1: Prevalence and characteristics. *Journal of Opioid Management* 6(2):97-108. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2010.0009.

Raneses, E., E. S. Secrist, K. B. Freedman, D. H. Sohn, T. B. Fleeter, and C. M. Aland. 2019. Opioid prescribing practices of orthopaedic surgeons: Results of a national survey. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* 27(4):e166-e172. https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaos-d-16-00750.

Rasu, R. S., and M. E. Knell. 2018. Determinants of opioid prescribing for nonmalignant chronic pain in US outpatient settings. *Pain Medicine* 19(3):524-532. https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnx025.

Ray, G. T., A. L. Bahorik, P. C. VanVeldhuisen, C. M. Weisner, A. L. Rubinstein, and C. I. Campbell. 2017. Prescription opioid registry protocol in an integrated health system. *The American Journal of Managed Care* 23(5):e146-e155.

Regunath, H., K. Cochran, K. Cornell, J. Shortridge, D. Kim, S. Akbar, B. Boshard, R. Chitima-Matsiga, J. Reddy, S. Keithahn, and J. P. Koller. 2016. Is it painful to manage chronic pain? A cross-sectional study of physicians in-training in a university program. *Missouri Medicine* 113 (1): 72-78.

Reid, M. C., C. R. Henderson, Jr, M. Papaleontiou, L. Amanfo, Y. Olkhovskaya, A. A. Moore, S. S. Parikh, and B. J. Turner. 2010. Characteristics of older adults receiving opioids in primary care: Treatment duration and outcomes. *Pain Medicine* 11(7):1063-1071. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2010.00883.x.

Richesson, R. L., B. E. Bray, C. Dymek, R. A. Greenes, L. D. McIntosh, B. Middleton, G. Perry, J. Platt, and C. Shaffer. 2020. Summary of second annual MCBK public meeting: Mobilizing Computable Biomedical Knowledge—A movement to accelerate translation of knowledge into action. *Learning Health Systems* 4(2): e10222. https://doi.org/10.1002/lrh2.10222.

Ringwalt, C., H. Gugelmann, M. Garrettson, N. Dasgupta, A. E. Chung, S. K. Proescholdbell, and A. C. Skinner. 2014. Differential prescribing of opioid analgesics according to physician specialty for Medicaid patients with chronic noncancer pain diagnoses. *Pain Research & Management* 19(4):179-185. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/857952.

Ringwalt, C., A. W. Roberts, H. Gugelmann, and A. C. Skinner. 2015. Racial disparities across provider specialties in opioid prescriptions dispensed to Medicaid beneficiaries with chronic noncancer pain. *Pain Medicine* 16(4):633-640. https://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12555.

Romanelli, R. J., L. I. Ikeda, B. Lynch, T. Craig, J. C. Cappelleri, T. Jukes, and D. Y. Ishisaka. 2017. Opioid prescribing for chronic pain in a community-based healthcare system. *The American Journal of Managed Care* 23(5):e138-e145.

Rosenblatt, R. A., C. H. A. Andrilla, M. Catlin, and E. H. Larson. 2015. Geographic and specialty distri-

bution of US physicians trained to treat opioid use disorder. *The Annals of Family Medicine* 13(1):23. https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1735.

Samuels, E. A., S. A. Clark, C. Wunsch, L. A. Jordison Keeler, N. Reddy, R. Vanjani, and R. S. Wightman. 2020. Innovation during COVID-19: Improving addiction treatment access. *Journal of Addiction Medicine* 14(4):e8-e9. https://doi.org/10.1097/adm.0000000000000685.

Samuels, E. A., K. Dwyer, M. J. Mello, J. Baird, A. R. Kellogg, and E. Bernstein. 2016. Emergency department-based opioid harm reduction: Moving physicians from willing to doing. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 23(4):455-465. https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12910.

Sansone, R. A., and L. A. Sansone. 2012. Doctor shopping: A phenomenon of many themes. *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience* 9(11-12):42-46.

Santoro, T. N., and J. D. Santoro. 2018. Racial bias in the US opioid epidemic: A review of the history of systemic bias and implications for care. *Cureus Journal of Medical Science* 10(12):e3733-e3733. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.3733.

Schuman-Olivier, Z., H. Connery, M. L. Griffin, S. A. Wyatt, A. A. Wartenberg, J. Borodovsky, J. A. Renner Jr., and R. D. Weiss. 2013. Clinician beliefs and attitudes about buprenorphine/naloxone diversion. *The American Journal on Addictions* 22(6):574-580. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1521-0391.2013.12024.x.

Schwartz, M. A., J. G. Naples, C. L. Kuo, and T. E. Falcone. 2018. Opioid prescribing patterns among otolaryngologists. *Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery* 158(5):854-859. https://doi. org/10.1177/0194599818757959.

Sera, L., M. Brown, M. L. McPherson, K. A. Walker, and W. Klein-Schwartz. 2017. State survey of medical boards regarding abrupt loss of a prescriber of controlled substances. *Journal of Opioid Management* 13(2):105-110. https://doi.org/10.5055/jom.2017.0374.

Shah, A., C. J. Hayes, and B. C. Martin. 2017. Factors influencing long-term opioid use among opioid naive patients: An examination of initial prescription characteristics and pain etiologies. *The Journal of Pain* 18(11):1374-1383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2017.06.010.

Shah, S., and S. Diwan. 2010. Methadone: Does stigma play a role as a barrier to treatment of chronic pain? *Pain Physician* 13(3):289-293.

Shugarman, L. R., S. M. Asch, L. S. Meredith, C. D. Sherbourne, E. Hagenmeier, L. Wen, A. Cohen, L. V. Rubenstein, J. Goebel, A. Lanto, and K. A. Lorenz. 2010. Factors associated with clinician intention to address diverse aspects of pain in seriously ill outpatients. *Pain Medicine* 11(9): 1365-1372. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2010.00931.x.

Singhal, A., Y. Y. Tien, and R. Y. Hsia. 2016. Racial-ethnic disparities in opioid prescriptions at emergency department visits for conditions commonly associated with prescription drug abuse. *PLOS ONE* 11(8):e0159224. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159224.

Sinsky, C., and M. Linzer. 2020. Practice and policy reset post-COVID-19: Reversion, transition, or transformation? *Health Affairs* 39(8):1405-1411. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00612.

Slevin, K. A., and M. A. Ashburn. 2011. Primary care physician opinion survey on FDA opioid risk evaluation and mitigation strategies. *Journal of Opioid Management* 7(2):109-115. https://doi.org/10.5055/ jom.2011.0053. Smith, M., R. Saunders, L. Stuckhardt, and J. M. McGinnis, Editors. 2013. *Best Care at Lower Cost: The Path to Continuously Learning Health Care in America.* Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Spetz, J., C. Toretsky, S. Chapman, B. Phoenix, and M. Tierney. 2019. Nurse practitioner and physician assistant waivers to prescribe buprenorphine and state scope of practice restrictions. *JAMA* 321(14):1407-1408. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.0834.

Spitz, A., A. A. Moore, M. Papaleontiou, E. Granieri, B. J. Turner, and M. C. Reid. 2011. Primary care providers' perspective on prescribing opioids to older adults with chronic non-cancer pain: A qualitative study. *BMC Geriatrics* 11(1):35. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-11-35.

Starrels, J. L., W. C. Becker, M. G. Weiner, X. Li, M. Heo, and B. J. Turner. 2011. Low use of opioid risk reduction strategies in primary care even for high risk patients with chronic pain. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* 26(9):958-964. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-011-1648-2.

Stein, B. D., A. J. Gordon, A. W. Dick, R. M. Burns, R. L. Pacula, C. M. Farmer, D. L. Leslie, and M. Sorbero. 2015. Supply of buprenorphine waivered physicians: the influence of state policies. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment* 48(1):104-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsat.2014.07.010.

Stewart, M. T., P. L. O'Brien, M. C. Shields, M. C. White, and N. Mulvaney-Day. 2019. *State Residential Treatment for Behavioral Health Conditions: Regulation and Policy Environmental Scan.* Washington, DC: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. https://aspe.hhs.gov/reports/state-residential-treatment-behavioral-health-conditions-regulation-policy-environmental-scan (accessed October 28, 2021).

SAMHSA (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration). n.d. *Certification of Opioid Treatment Programs (OTPs)*. Last Modified October 7, 2020. https://www.samhsa.gov/medication-assisted-treatment/become-accredited-opioid-treatment-program (accessed October 28, 2021).

SAMHSA. 2020. *Key Substance Use and Mental Health Indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health.* Rockville, MD: SAMHSA Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. https://www.samhsa.gov/data/ (accessed October 28, 2021).

SAMHSA and Office of the US Surgeon General. 2016. Health care systems and substance use disorders. In *Facing Addiction in America: The Surgeon General's Report on Alcohol, Drugs, and Health.* Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services.

Tori, M. E., M. R. Larochelle, and T. S. Naimi. 2020. Alcohol or benzodiazepine co-involvement with opioid overdose deaths in the United States, 1999-2017. *JAMA Network Open* 3(4):e202361-e202361. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.2361.

UAACHD (University of Alaska Anchorage Center for Human Development). n.d. *Alaska ECHO Projects.* https://www.uaa.alaska.edu/academics/college-of-health/departments/center-for-human-develop-ment/AK-ECHO/index.cshtml (accessed October 28, 2021).

Volkow, N. D. 2020. Collision of the COVID-19 and addiction epidemics. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 173(1):61-62. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1212.

Walt, G., and L. Gilson. 1994. Reforming the health sector in developing countries: The central role of policy analysis. *Health Policy and Planning* 9(4):353-370. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/9.4.353.

Whicher, D. 2017. *Accelerating Progress Toward Continuous Learning: A National Academy of Medicine Meeting Summary.* National Academy of Medicine (Washington, D.C.). https://nam.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/NAM-AHRQ-Learning-Health-Systems-Meeting-Summary.pdf (accessed October 28, 2021).

Wiznia, D. H., T. Zaki, J. Maisano, C.-Y. Kim, T. M. Halaszynski, and M. P. Leslie. 2017. Influence of medical insurance under the Affordable Care Act on access to pain management of the trauma patient. *Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine* 42(1):39. https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.000000000000502.

Yin, R. K. 2014. *Case Study Research Design and Methods, 5th ed.* The Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.