

Core Principles & Values of Effective Team-Based Health Care

Working group on team-based care

Best Practices Innovation Collaborative

October 23, 2012



INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE **Advising the nation • Improving health**
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Values



INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advising the nation • Improving health



Principles

Shared Goals

Clear Roles

**Measurable
Processes
& Outcomes**

Mutual Trust

**Effective
Communication**

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advising the nation • Improving health



Related Articles and Viewpoints

VIEWPOINT

Challenges at the Intersection of Team-Based and Patient-Centered Health Care Insights From an IOM Working Group

Matthew K. Wynia, MD, MPH

Isabelle Von Kohorn, MD, PhD

Pamela H. Mitchell, PhD, RN

TEAM-BASED HEALTH CARE MAY HELP THE UNITED STATES achieve improved health and improved health care at a sustainable cost.¹ It is central to many reforms of health care delivery, both actual and proposed. Team-based care can occur in many settings (eg, home, office, hospital); focus on different problems (eg, specific diseases); and include team members with a variety of backgrounds. Health care teams can be large or small, centralized or dispersed, virtual or face-to-face, and their tasks can be focused and brief or broad and lengthy. This extreme heterogeneity in tasks, foci, and settings presents a challenge to defining optimal team-based health care.

Recently, we led a working group—a team comprising a patient advocate, physician, registered nurse, physician assistant, social worker, and pharmacist—convened by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to explore the foundations of team-based health care. The background work included structured discussions with high-functioning teams from a variety of settings, which revealed that such teams are guided by a set of shared principles and values that can be measured, compared, learned, and replicated (Box).²

These principles and values are seemingly straightforward. But considering the realities of implementation and spread of team-based care aligned with these principles and values raised difficult issues—3 of which deserve focused attention.

Patients on the Team

In high-functioning health care teams, patients are members of the team, not simply objects of the team's attention; they are the reason the team exists and the drivers of all that happens. The much-repeated phrase "nothing about me without me"³ conveys a powerful image of patients actively involved in care decisions. In team-based care, fulfilling this promise means integrating patients, families, and caregivers into health care teams.

Having patients as members of teams is more than a shift in framing. One of the 5 principles of team-based care is that being clear about each team member's role is critical. If patients are on teams, what, precisely, are their roles and those of their family members or caregivers? Although metaphors from sports

are used to describe team-based care, they are generally unhelpful. Is the patient the quarterback? The coach? What if a team has a different quarterback or coach every 15 minutes? How would this vary according to the team's particular structure since, for example, teams for patients receiving surgical care vs primary care are dramatically different? Certainly, the role of patients on teams will vary with the focus of the activity.

Because many different patients and families interact with different sets of clinicians each day, team members must continually adapt as they form and reform teams. In addition, high-functioning teams create, maintain, improve, and adapt formal and informal rules and customs over time. For patients entering such a team, there must be structured processes to both introduce and refine the roles, expectations, and norms of the team to meet the patient's needs. High-functioning teams also communicate well; effective communication requires transparency and a common language. Thus, integrating patients and families into teams requires consistent use of plain language, methods to ensure understanding,⁴ and systems that provide open access to information. Perhaps new metaphors are needed that look beyond competitive sports to describe teams with patient members. One possibility is an orchestra with individual patients as soloists, entering, leaving, and making unique contributions, always supported by the larger ensemble.⁵

Accountability and Flexibility on Teams

Providing patient-centered care in teams raises operational and legal questions about accountability. Team members, including patients, must engage in honest discussions about their preparation for, interest in, and capacity to complete tasks. These conversations must be handled respectfully and recognize the valuable contributions of each member. For example, each interviewed team developed clear lines of accountability and explicit leadership tasks, but did not equate leadership with clinical decision making. In fact, leadership roles were often situational and skill-based. In one instance, a team deemed the chaplain to be the most appropriate leader for a weekly clinical care meeting, illustrating that being an effective leader for a particular task can require a set of skills distinct from those required for making clinical decisions. Teams acknowledged that phy-

Author Affiliations: Institute for Ethics, American Medical Association, Chicago, Illinois (Dr Wynia); Institute of Medicine, National Academies, Washington, DC (Dr Von Kohorn); and Biobehavioral Nursing and Health Systems, School of Nursing, University of Washington, Seattle (Dr Mitchell).
Corresponding Author: Matthew K. Wynia, MD, MPH, 515 N State St, Chicago, IL 60654 (mattthew.wynia@ama-assn.org).



Scan for Author Audio Interview

Health Affairs

©2012 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

JGIM, October 3, 2012—Vol 27, No 10 1227

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advising the nation • Improving health



Impact

- “We live and breathe what you describe in your JAMA article, but I have never seen a better summary in 2 pages than that review. We have 5 PACE sites and 50+ team members and I plan on making your article required reading.” - *Paul Evans, Riverside PACE*
- 1,300 downloads from IOM website
- 2,000 views of JAMA Viewpoint





Ward rounds in medicine

Principles for best practice

A joint publication of the
Royal College of Physicians
and Royal College of Nursing
October 2012

Some ideas about next steps...

Build bridges to education, informatics and care coordination

Targeting TBC (who, what, where, how)

Supporting and sustaining TBC

- What organizational factors make effective teamwork easier?
- What financing arrangements support effective teamwork?

